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## 1 Definition of Estimators

In what follows, when $A$ is a matrix $\|A\|$ denotes a submultiplicative matrix norm, such as the Frobenius one (i.e. $\|A\|=\sqrt{\operatorname{tr} A^{\prime} A}$ ). We denote with $\mathcal{P D}(k, \mathbb{R})$ the cone of positive definite matrices of dimension $k \times k$. When $x \in \mathbb{R}^{k},\|x\|_{W}$ denotes $\sqrt{x^{\prime} W x}$ with respect to the conformal positive definite matrix $W$. When $W$ is the identity we we just write $\|x\|$. Any subset of a metric space is (by abuse of terminology) considered as a metric space when endowed with the obvious restriction of the underlying metric. Analogously it is considered a measurable space when endowed with the resulting Borel $\sigma$ algebra. $\mathcal{O}_{\varepsilon}(\theta)$ denotes the open $\varepsilon$-ball around $\theta$ in a relevant metric space and $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}(\theta)$ its closure. For $s^{*}$ and $s$ positive integers, with $s^{*} \geq s$, let $a^{*}=\frac{s^{*}-1}{2}$ and $a=\frac{s-1}{2}$.

The notions employed in the paper essentially rely on the characteristics of the statistical model at hand. The following assumption sets these up.

Assumption A. 1 For a measurable space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$, the statistical model (SM) is a family of probability distributions on $\mathcal{F}$ parameterized by par a function that is onto a compact subset $\Theta \subset \mathbb{R}^{p}$ for some $p \in \mathbb{N}$. SM is considered endowed with the topology of weak convergence and par $^{-1}$ is continuous in the following manner: for any $\theta \in \Theta$ and $\theta_{n}$ converging to $\theta$ then $P_{n}$ an arbitrary member of $\operatorname{par}^{-1}\left(\theta_{n}\right)$ converges to a member of $\operatorname{par}^{-1}(\theta)$.

We abbreviate with $\theta_{0}=\operatorname{par}\left(P_{0}\right) \in \operatorname{Int}(\Theta)$, for $P_{0}$ in SM. The auxiliary estimator is denoted in the paper by $\beta_{n}$ whereas $\theta_{n}$ is the collective notation for the indirect ones. We also employ $b(\theta)$ to denote the binding function

Assumption A. 2 For $B$ a compact subset of $\mathbb{R}^{q}, Q_{n}: \Omega \times B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is jointly measurable. Moreover $Q_{n}$ is continuous on $B$ for $P_{\theta_{0}}$-almost every $\omega \in \Omega$.

We suppress the dependence of the random elements involved on $\Omega$, for notational simplicity.

Definition D. 1 The auxiliary estimator is defined as

$$
\beta_{n} \in \arg \min _{\beta \in B} Q_{n}(\beta)
$$

$Q_{n}$ could be a likelihood function, a GMM or more generally, a distance type criterion like the ones appearing in the following definitions.

Assumption A. 3 The binding function $b: \Theta \rightarrow B$ is injective and continuous on $\Theta$.

The initial estimators are denoted by $\theta_{n}^{*}$.
Assumption A. $4 W_{n}^{*}: \Omega \times \Theta \rightarrow \mathcal{P} \mathcal{D}(q, \mathbb{R})$ and $\theta_{n}^{*}: \Omega \rightarrow B$ are jointly measurable.

Definition D. 2 The GMR1 estimator is defined as

$$
\theta_{n} \in \arg \min _{\theta \in \Theta}\left\|\beta_{n}-b(\theta)\right\|_{W_{n}^{*}\left(\theta_{n}^{*}\right)}
$$

Lemma 1.1 Under assumptions A.1 and A.2, $\left\|E_{\theta} \beta_{n}\right\|<\infty$ on $\Theta$.
Proof. $\left\|E_{\theta} \beta_{n}-b(\theta)\right\| \leq E_{\theta}\left\|\beta_{n}-b(\theta)\right\| \leq M_{1}$, where $M_{1}$ denotes the diameter of $B$, finite due to the compactness of $B$.

Definition D. 3 The GMR2 estimator is defined as

$$
\theta_{n} \in \arg \min _{\theta \in \Theta}\left\|\beta_{n}-E_{\theta} \beta_{n}\right\|_{W_{n}^{*}\left(\theta_{n}^{*}\right)}
$$

Assumption A. 5 Let $Q_{n}$ be differentiable on $B$ for $P_{\theta}-$ almost every $\omega \in \Omega$. We denote with $c_{n}$ the derivative of $Q_{n}$ except for the case where $Q_{n}=$ $\left\|c_{n}(\beta)\right\|_{W_{n}\left(\beta_{n}^{*}\right)}$, where $c_{n}: \Omega \times B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{l}, W_{n}: \Omega \times B \rightarrow \mathcal{P D}(l, \mathbb{R})$, and $\beta_{n}^{*}: \Omega \rightarrow B$ are jointly measurable. Moreover $c_{n}$ is continuous on $B$ for $P_{\theta_{0}}$-almost every $\omega \in \Omega, c_{n}(\beta)$ is $P_{\theta}$-integrable on $\Theta \times B$ and $E_{\theta}\left(c_{n}(\beta)\right)$ is continuous on $\Theta \times B$. Also $W_{n}^{* *}: \Omega \times \Theta \rightarrow \mathcal{P D}(l, \mathbb{R})$ is jointly measurable.
$E_{\theta}\left(c_{n}\left(\beta_{n}\right)\right)$ denotes the quantity $\left.E_{\theta}\left(c_{n}(\beta)\right)\right|_{\beta=\beta_{n}}$ for notational simplicity.

Definition D. 4 The GT estimator is defined as

$$
\theta_{n} \in \arg \min _{\theta \in \Theta}\left\|E_{\theta}\left(c_{n}\left(\beta_{n}\right)\right)\right\|_{W_{n}^{* *}\left(\theta_{n}^{*}\right)}
$$

Some simple cases of almost sure (or possibly asymptotic) coincidence of the estimators are the following. Suppose, first, that $Q_{n}(\beta)=\left\|c_{n}(\beta)\right\|$, $c_{n}(\beta)=q_{n}-g(\beta)$ with the random element $q_{n} \in g(B)$ with $P_{\theta}$ probability 1 for any $\theta$. Suppose that $q_{n}$ converges in probability to $m(\theta)$ under $P_{\theta}$, and $g(\beta)$ and $m(\theta)$ are invertible. Then with $P_{\theta}$ probability 1 , for any $\theta$, $\beta_{n}=g^{-1}\left(q_{n}\right), b(\theta)=g^{-1}(m(\theta))$, and GMR1 $=m^{-1}\left(q_{n}\right)$. Also, when $m(\theta)=E_{\theta} q_{n}$ then GMR1 $=$ GT with $P_{\theta}$ probability 1. If moreover $g$ is linear then the GMR2 is also $P_{\theta}$ almost surely equal to the other two. Second, when $q=l, m(\theta) \neq E_{\theta} q_{n}$ yet $E_{\theta} q_{n}$ is injective, $\beta_{n}$ belongs to its range with $P_{\theta}$ probability $1-o(1)$ and $g$ is linear then GMR2 $=$ GT with $P_{\theta}$ probability $1-o(1)$. In this case, the existence of GMR2 would imply the existence of GT with $P_{\theta}$ probability $1-o(1)$.

## 2 Validity of Edgeworth Approximations

## Assumptions Specific to the Validity of the Edgeworth Approximations

We denote with $D^{r}$, the $r$-derivative operator and with $D^{r}\left(f\left(x_{0}\right)\right)\left(x^{r}\right)$ the $r^{\text {th }}$-linear function defined by the evaluation of $D^{r} f$ at $x_{0}$ evaluated at $\underbrace{(x, \ldots, x)}_{r \text { times }}$. Let $M$ denote a universal positive constant, independent of $n$ and $\theta$, not necessarily taking the same value across and inside assumptions proofs and results. $\mathrm{pr}_{i, j}(x)$ denotes the transformation of an $r^{\text {th }}$ dimensional vector, say $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{r}\right)^{\prime}$, to a vector containing only the elements of $x$ from the $i^{\text {th }}$ to the $j^{\text {th }}$ coordinate, i.e. $\operatorname{pr}_{i, j}(x)=\left(x_{i}, x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_{j}\right)^{\prime}$, where naturally $1 \leq i \leq j \leq r$. Finally whenever the assertion "locally independent of $\theta$ " appears in the sequel it implies "independent of $\theta$ for $\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)$ " unless
otherwise specified. Notice that due to the fact that the spaces $\Theta$ and $B$ are separable and closed, suprema of real random elements over these spaces are typically measurable (see van der Vaart and Wellner [7], example 1.7.5 p. 47 due to the theorem of measurable projections, completeness of the underlying probability space, the compactness of $\Theta$ and the continuity of $b$ ).

Assumption A. $6 \beta_{n}$ is uniformly consistent for $b(\theta)$ with rate $o\left(n^{-a^{*}}\right)$, i.e.

$$
\sup _{\theta \in \Theta} P_{\theta}\left(\left\|\beta_{n}-b(\theta)\right\|>\varepsilon\right)=o\left(n^{-a^{*}}\right), \forall \varepsilon>0 .
$$

Moreover $\theta_{n}^{*}$ is uniformly consistent for $\theta$ with rate o $\left(n^{-a^{*}}\right)$.
Assumption A. 7 For $j=*$ or $* *$ and $k=\left\{\begin{array}{c}q \text { if } j=* \\ l \text { if } j=* *\end{array}\right.$, suppose that there exists a sequence of random elements $x_{n}: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m}$, such that $W_{n}^{j}(\theta)=$ $\frac{1}{n} \sum W^{j}\left(x_{i}(\omega), \theta\right)$ for measurable $W^{j}: \mathbb{R}^{m} \times \Theta \rightarrow \mathcal{P} \mathcal{D}(k, \mathbb{R})$, integrable with respect to $P_{\theta^{*}}$, such that
a)

$$
\sup _{\theta^{*} \in \Theta} P_{\theta^{*}}\left(\left\|W_{n}^{j}(\theta)-E_{\theta^{*}} W^{j}(\theta)\right\|>\varepsilon\right)=o\left(n^{-a^{*}}\right), \quad \forall \varepsilon>0
$$

$E_{\theta^{*}} W^{j}(\theta)$ is Lipschitz w.r.t. $\theta$, for any $\theta^{*}$ and for the Lipschitz coefficient (say) $\kappa^{j}\left(\theta^{*}\right)$ we have that $\sup _{\theta^{*} \in \Theta} \kappa^{j}\left(\theta^{*}\right)<+\infty$.
b) For $j=*$ if $p \neq q$ and $j=* *$ if $p \neq l, W^{j}(x, \theta)$ is $s^{*}$-differentiable on $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon_{0}}\left(\theta_{0}\right)$ for $\varepsilon_{0}>\varepsilon$ and

$$
\sup _{\theta^{*} \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)} P_{\theta^{*}}\left(\sup _{\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)}\left\|D^{s^{*}+1} W_{n}^{j}(\theta)\right\|>M\right)=o\left(n^{-a^{*}}\right) .
$$

Let $f(x, \theta)$ denote the vector that contains stacked all the distinct components of $W^{*}(x, \theta)$ and $W^{* *}(x, \theta)$ as well as their derivatives up to the order $s^{*}-1$. If $f\left(x_{0}, \theta\right)-E_{\theta} f\left(x_{0}, \theta\right)$ contains zero elements then these are discarded. Furthermore when $p=q$ the elements corresponding to $W^{*}(x, \theta)$ and its derivatives are also discarded. Analogously when $p=l$ the elements corresponding to $W^{* *}(x, \theta)$ and its derivatives are discarded too. Obviously when $f\left(x_{0}, \theta\right)-E_{\theta} f\left(x_{0}, \theta\right)$ equals zero or $p=q=l, f$ becomes irrelevant to what follows. Let

$$
m_{n}(\theta)=\beta_{n}-b(\theta)
$$

when $f\left(x_{0}, \theta\right)-E_{\theta} f\left(x_{0}, \theta\right)$ is zero or $p=q=l$,

$$
m_{n}(\theta)=\binom{\beta_{n}-b(\theta)}{\frac{1}{n} \sum f\left(x_{i}\right)-E_{\theta} \frac{1}{n} \sum f\left(x_{i}\right)}
$$

when $f\left(x_{0}, \theta\right)$ is independent of $\theta$ yet $f\left(x_{0}\right)-E_{\theta} f\left(x_{0}\right)$ is not zero and the involved dimensions do not coincide, and

$$
m_{n}(\theta)=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\beta_{n}-b(\theta) \\
\theta_{n}^{*}-\theta \\
\frac{1}{n} \sum f\left(x_{i}, \theta\right)-E_{\theta} \frac{1}{n} \sum f\left(x_{i}, \theta\right)
\end{array}\right)
$$

in any other case. Furthermore $\Psi_{n, s^{*}}(\theta)$ denotes an Edgeworth measure of order $s^{*}$ (see for example equations (3.7) and (3.8) of Magdalinos [5]), and with $\pi_{i-1}(z, \theta)$ the polynomial (w.r.t. to $z$ ) in the density of $\Psi_{n, s^{*}}(\theta)$ with coefficient $\frac{1}{n^{\frac{i-1}{2}}}$, for $i=1, \ldots, s^{*}$ (notice that $\pi_{0}=1$ ).

Assumption A. $8 \sqrt{n} m_{n}(\theta)$ has an Edgeworth expansion of order s* uniformly on $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)$. Furthermore $\pi_{i}(z, \theta)$ is equicontinuous on $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right) \forall z \in$ $\mathbb{R}^{q}$, for $i=1, \ldots, a^{*}$, and if $V(\theta)$ denotes the variance matrix in the density of $\Psi_{n, s}(\theta)$ then it is continuous on $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)$ and positive definite.

The proof of the following theorem can be found in Arvanitis and Demos [1] (Proof of Theorem 3.2).

Theorem 2.1 Suppose that:
-POLFOC $M_{n}(\theta)$ satisfies $0_{p \times 1}=\sum_{i=0}^{s-1} \frac{1}{n^{i / 2}} \sum_{j=0}^{i+1} C_{i j_{n}}(\theta)\left(M_{n}(\theta)^{j}, S_{n}(\theta)^{i+1-j}\right)+$ $R_{n}(\theta)$ with probability $1-o\left(n^{-\frac{s-1}{2}}\right)$ independent of $\theta$ where $C_{i j_{n}}: \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right) \times$ $\mathbb{R}^{q^{i+1}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{p}$ is $(i+1)$-linear $\forall \theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right), C_{00_{n}}(\theta), C_{01_{n}}(\theta)$ are independent of $n$ and have rank $p \forall \theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right), C_{i j_{n}}$ are equicontinuous on $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right), \forall x^{i+1}$, -LUE $S_{n}(\theta)$ admits a locally uniform Edgeworth expansion the polynomials of the density of which are equicontinuous on $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)$ and the variance matrix is continuous on $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)$ and positive definite,
-UAT $\sup _{\theta \in \Theta} P\left(\left\|M_{n}(\theta)\right\|>C \ln ^{1 / 2} n\right)=o\left(n^{-\frac{s-1}{2}}\right)$ for some $C>0$ independent of $\theta$,

- USR $\sup _{\theta \in \Theta} P\left(\left\|R_{n}(\theta)\right\|>\gamma_{n}\right)=o\left(n^{-\frac{s-1}{2}}\right)$ for some real sequence $\gamma_{n}=$ $o\left(n^{-\frac{s-1}{2}}\right)$ independent of $\theta$.
Then $M_{n}(\theta)$ admits a locally uniform Edgeworth expansion he polynomials of the density of which are equicontinuous on $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)$ and the variance matrix is continuous on $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)$ and positive definite.


## Existence of Edgeworth Expansions for the GMR-type Estimators

The GMR1 Case

Assumption A. $9 b(\theta)$ is $s^{*}+1$ continuously differentiable and $\operatorname{rank} D b(\theta)=$ $p$, for all $\theta$ in $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon_{0}}\left(\theta_{0}\right)$ and $\varepsilon_{0}>\varepsilon$.

Lemma 2.2 i) Under the assumptions A.1, A.2, A.3, A.4, A.6 and A.7. a the GMR1 is uniformly consistent for $\theta$ with rate o $\left(n^{-a}\right)$.
ii) If additionally assumptions A.7. b, A.8 and A.9 hold then, $\sqrt{n}$ (GMR1 - $\theta$ ) has an Edgeworth expansion of order $s^{*}$ uniformly on $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)$, for $\varepsilon<\varepsilon_{0}$, where $\varepsilon_{0}$ as in the above assumption.

Proof: i) Due to the triangle inequality and assumption A.6 we have that for $\varepsilon>0$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sup _{\theta^{*} \in \Theta} P_{\theta^{*}}\left(\sup _{\theta \in \Theta}\left|\left\|\beta_{n}-b(\theta)\right\|-\left\|b\left(\theta^{*}\right)-b(\theta)\right\|\right|>\varepsilon\right) \\
\leq & \sup _{\theta^{*} \in \Theta} P_{\theta^{*}}\left(\left\|\beta_{n}-b\left(\theta^{*}\right)\right\|>\varepsilon\right)=o\left(n^{-a^{*}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence for $q_{n}(\theta)=\beta_{n}-b(\theta), q\left(\theta^{*}, \theta\right)=b\left(\theta^{*}\right)-b(\theta)$ and by assumption A.7. $a$ lemma AL. 3 applies. Hence for $\gamma(\theta)=\theta$ due to assumption A.3 lemma AL. 1 also applies delivering the result.
ii) Given i), we have that $\theta_{n} \in \mathcal{O}_{\epsilon}(\theta)$ with $P_{\theta}$-probability $1-o\left(n^{-a^{*}}\right)$ that is locally independent of $\theta$ for any $\epsilon>0$. For some $\epsilon$ small enough, such that $\mathcal{O}_{\epsilon}(\theta) \subset \mathcal{O}_{\varepsilon_{0}}\left(\theta_{0}\right)$ (which exists due to the fact that $\varepsilon_{0}>\varepsilon$ ) due to assumption A.9, we have that condition FOC of the appendix lemmas AL. 4 and AL. 5 is satisfied by the GMR1 estimator with $Q_{n} \doteqdot \frac{\partial b^{\prime}}{\partial \theta}$. Furthermore assumption A. 9 implies conditions HUB $\left(\gamma(\theta)=\theta\right.$ hence set $\left.\delta=\varepsilon_{0}\right)$ and RANK of lemma AL.4. Condition TIGHT, of the same lemma, follows from A.8, as under this assumption there is $C^{*}>0$ locally independent of $\theta$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)} P_{\theta}\left(\sqrt{n}\left\|\beta_{n}-b(\theta)\right\|>C^{*} \ln ^{1 / 2} n\right)=o\left(n^{-a^{*}}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(see lemma AL. 2 of Arvanitis and Demos [1]). Hence lemma AL. 4 applies ensuring that

$$
\sup _{\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}} \varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)<P_{\theta}\left(\sqrt{n}\|\mathrm{GMR} 1-\theta\|>C \ln ^{1 / 2} n\right)=o\left(n^{-a^{*}}\right)
$$

for some $C>0$ locally independent of $\theta$. Hence condition UTIGHT of lemma AL. 5 holds. Moreover assumption A. 8 implies condition UEDGE of the same lemma for $M_{n}(\theta)=\sqrt{n} m_{n}(\theta)$. Due to assumption A. 9 for any $\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)$ and any $\theta_{*}$ sufficiently close to $\theta, \frac{\partial b^{\prime}}{\partial \theta}\left(\theta_{*}\right)$ admits a Taylor expansion of order
$s^{*}-1$ around $\theta$ of the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial b^{\prime}}{\partial \theta}\left(\theta_{*}\right)= & \sum_{i=0}^{s^{*}-1} \frac{1}{i!} D^{i} \frac{\partial b^{\prime}}{\partial \theta}(\theta)\left(\left(\theta_{*}-\theta\right)^{i}\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{\left(s^{*}-1\right)!}\left(D^{s^{*}-1} \frac{\partial b^{\prime}}{\partial \theta}\left(\theta^{+}\right)-D^{s^{*}-1} \frac{\partial b^{\prime}}{\partial \theta}(\theta)\right)\left(\left(\theta_{*}-\theta\right)^{s^{*}-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\theta^{+}$lies between $\theta_{*}$ and $\theta$. This implies that for $\theta_{n}=$ GMR1 due to condition UTIGHT of lemma AL. 5 we have that with $P_{\theta}$-probability 1 -$o\left(n^{-a^{*}}\right)$ locally independent of $\theta$

$$
\frac{\partial b^{\prime}}{\partial \theta}\left(\theta_{n}\right)=\sum_{i=0}^{s^{*}-1} \frac{1}{i!} \frac{1}{n^{i / 2}} D^{i} \frac{\partial b^{\prime}}{\partial \theta}(\theta)\left(\left(\sqrt{n}\left(\theta_{n}-\theta\right)\right)^{i}\right)+R_{n}^{*}\left(\theta_{n}, \theta\right)
$$

where $R_{n}^{*}\left(\theta_{n}, \theta\right)=\frac{1}{\left(s^{*}-1\right)!} \frac{1}{n^{\left(s^{*}-1\right) / 2}}\left(D^{s^{*}-1} \frac{\partial b^{\prime}}{\partial \theta}\left(\theta_{n}^{+}\right)-D^{s^{*}-1} \frac{\partial b^{\prime}}{\partial \theta}(\theta)\right)\left(\left(\sqrt{n}\left(\theta_{n}-\theta\right)\right)^{s^{*}-1}\right)$, and $\theta_{n}^{+}$lies between $\theta_{n}$ and $\theta$. Now by assumption A. $9 \frac{\partial b^{\prime}}{\partial \theta}(\theta)$ has full rank for any $\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)$ and by submultiplicativity, the relation of $\theta_{n}^{+}$to $\theta_{n}$ and condition UTIGHT

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sup _{\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)} P_{\theta}\left(\left\|\frac{1}{\left(s^{*}-1\right)!} \frac{1}{n^{\left(s^{*}-1\right) / 2}}\left(D^{s^{*}-1} \frac{\partial b^{\prime}}{\partial \theta}\left(\theta_{n}^{+}\right)-D^{s^{*}-1} \frac{\partial b^{\prime}}{\partial \theta}(\theta)\right) \times\right\|>\gamma_{n}^{*}\right) \\
& \leq\left.\sup _{\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)} P_{\theta}\left(\frac{1}{n}\left(\theta_{n}-\theta\right)\right)^{s^{*}-1}\right) \\
& \leq \sup _{\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)} P_{\theta}\left(\frac{1}{\left(s^{*}-1\right)!} \frac{M}{n^{\left(s^{*}-1\right) / 2}} \sup _{\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon_{0}}\left(\theta_{0}\right)}\left\|D^{s^{*}} \frac{\partial b^{\prime}}{\partial \theta}(\theta)\right\|\left\|\theta_{n}^{+}-\theta\right\|\left\|\sqrt{n}\left(\theta_{n}-\theta\right)\right\|^{s^{*}-1}>\gamma_{n}^{*}\right) \\
& n^{s^{*} / 2} \\
&\left.\ln ^{s^{*} / 2} n>\gamma_{n}^{*}\right)+o\left(n^{-a^{*}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

which is of order $o\left(n^{-a^{*}}\right)$ for $\gamma_{n}^{*}=\frac{M}{\left(s^{*}-1\right)!} \frac{C^{s^{*}}}{n^{s^{*} / 2}} \ln s^{s^{*} / 2} n=o\left(n^{-a^{*}}\right)$ and locally independent of $\theta$. Analogously, due to assumption A. 9 for any $\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)$ and any $\theta_{*}$ sufficiently close to $\theta, b\left(\theta_{*}\right)$ admits a Taylor expansion of order $s^{*}-1$ around $\theta$ of the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
q_{n}= & \beta_{n}-b\left(\theta_{*}\right)=\beta_{n}-b\left(\theta_{*}\right)-\sum_{i=1}^{s^{*}} \frac{1}{i!} D^{i} b(\theta)\left(\left(\theta_{*}-\theta\right)^{i}\right) \\
& -\frac{1}{s^{*}!}\left(D^{s^{*}} b\left(\theta^{+}\right)-D^{s^{*}} b(\theta)\right)\left(\left(\theta_{*}-\theta\right)^{s^{*}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\theta^{+}$lies between $\theta_{*}$ and $\theta$. This implies that for $\theta_{n}$ we have that with $P_{\theta}$-probability $1-o\left(n^{-a^{*}}\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sqrt{n}\left(\beta_{n}-b\left(\theta_{n}\right)\right) \\
= & \sqrt{n}\left(\beta_{n}-b(\theta)\right) \\
& +\sum_{i=0}^{s^{*}-1} \frac{1}{(i+1)!} \frac{1}{n^{i / 2}} D^{i+1} b(\theta)\left(\left(\sqrt{n}\left(\theta_{n}-\theta\right)\right)^{i+1}\right)+R_{n}^{\#}\left(\theta_{n}, \theta\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $R_{n}^{\#}\left(\theta_{n}, \theta\right)=\frac{1}{s^{*}!} \frac{1}{n^{\left(s^{*}-1\right) / 2}}\left(D^{s^{*}} b\left(\theta_{n}^{+}\right)-D^{s^{*}} b(\theta)\right)\left(\left(\sqrt{n}\left(\theta_{n}-\theta\right)\right)^{s^{*}}\right)$, and $\theta_{n}^{+}$lies between $\theta_{n}$ and $\theta$. Now by assumption A.9 $\frac{\partial b^{\prime}}{\partial \theta}(\theta)$ has full rank for any $\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)$ and so does the identity matrix in front of $\sqrt{n}\left(\beta_{n}-b(\theta)\right)$, and thereby due to submultiplicativity, the relation of $\theta_{n}^{+}$to $\theta_{n}$ and condition UTIGHT

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sup _{\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)} P_{\theta}\left(\| \| \begin{array}{l}
\left.\frac{1}{s^{*}!\frac{1}{n^{\left(s^{*}-1\right) / 2}}}\left(D^{s^{*}} b\left(\theta_{n}^{+}\right)-D^{s^{*}} b(\theta)\right) \times \|>\gamma_{n}^{\#}\right) \\
\left(\left(\sqrt{n}\left(\theta_{n}-\theta\right)\right)^{s^{*}}\right)
\end{array}\right. \\
& \leq \sup _{\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)} P_{\theta}\left(\begin{array}{c}
\frac{1}{s^{*}!} \frac{1}{n^{\left(s^{*}-1\right) / 2}} \\
\sup _{\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon_{0}}\left(\theta_{0}\right)}\left\|D^{s^{*}+1} b(\theta)\right\|\left\|\theta_{n}^{+}-\theta\right\| \\
\times\left\|\sqrt{n}\left(\theta_{n}-\theta\right)\right\|^{s^{*}}>\gamma_{n}^{\#}
\end{array}\right) \\
& \leq \sup _{\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}} \varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right) \quad P_{\theta}\left(\frac{M}{s^{*}!} \frac{C^{s^{*}+1}}{n^{s^{*} / 2}} \ln \mathrm{l}^{\left(s^{*}+1\right) / 2} n>\gamma_{n}^{\#}\right)+o\left(n^{-a^{*}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

which is of order $o\left(n^{-a^{*}}\right)$ for $\gamma_{n}^{\#}=\frac{M}{s^{*!}!C^{S^{*}+1}} \sin ^{s^{*} / 2} \ln s^{\left(s^{*}+1\right) / 2} n=o\left(n^{-a^{*}}\right)$ and locally independent of $\theta$. Finally due to lemma AL. 6 which applies by assumptions A. 8 and A. 7 condition EXPAND of lemma AL. 5 holds and the result follows by the same lemma.

## The GMR2 Case

Assumption A. $10 \sup _{\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon_{0}}\left(\theta_{0}\right)}\left\|D^{s^{*}} E_{\theta} \beta_{n}\right\|<M$.
Lemma 2.3 i) Under the assumptions A.1, A.2, A.3, A.4, A.6 and A.7 a the GMR2 is uniformly consistent for $\theta$ with rate o $\left(n^{-a^{*}}\right)$.
ii) If additionally assumptions A.7.b, A.8, A.9 and A.10 hold then $\sqrt{n}$ (GMR2 - $\theta$ ) has an Edgeworth expansion of order $s^{*}-1$ uniformly on $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)$.

Proof: For $\varepsilon>0$, let $E(\varepsilon, \theta)=\left\{\omega \in \Omega:\left\|\beta_{n}-b(\theta)\right\|>\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right\} \in \mathcal{F}$, then

$$
\sup _{\theta \in \Theta}\left\|E_{\theta} \beta_{n}-b(\theta)\right\| \leq \sup _{\theta \in \Theta} E_{\theta}\left\|\beta_{n}-b(\theta)\right\| 1_{E(\varepsilon, \theta)}+\frac{\varepsilon}{2} .
$$

As $B$ is bounded, due to assumption A. 2 and by assumption A. 6 there exists an $n^{*}$ such that $\sup _{\theta \in \Theta} P_{\theta}\left(\left\|\beta_{n}-b(\theta)\right\|>\frac{\varepsilon}{3}\right) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2 M}$ where $M$ denotes the diameter of $B$. Hence

$$
\sup _{\theta \in \Theta}\left\|E_{\theta} \beta_{n}-b(\theta)\right\| \leq \varepsilon \text { for all } n \geq n^{*}
$$

and since $\varepsilon$ is arbitrary

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{\theta \in \Theta}\left\|E_{\theta} \beta_{n}-b(\theta)\right\|=o(1) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Due to the triangle inequality and assumption A.6 we have that for $\varepsilon>0$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sup _{\theta^{*} \in \Theta} P_{\theta^{*}}\left(\sup _{\theta \in \Theta}\left|\left\|\beta_{n}-E_{\theta} \beta_{n}\right\|-\left\|b\left(\theta^{*}\right)-b(\theta)\right\|\right|>\varepsilon\right) \\
\leq & \sup _{\theta^{*} \in \Theta} P_{\theta^{*}}\left(\left\|\beta_{n}-b\left(\theta^{*}\right)\right\|+\sup _{\theta \in \Theta}\left\|E_{\theta} \beta_{n}-b(\theta)\right\|>\varepsilon\right)=o\left(n^{-a^{*}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

For $q_{n}(\theta)=\beta_{n}-E_{\theta} \beta_{n}, q\left(\theta^{*}, \theta\right)=b\left(\theta^{*}\right)-b(\theta)$ and by assumption A.7. $a$ lemma AL. 3 applies. Hence for $\gamma(\theta)=\theta$ due to assumption A.3 lemma AL. 1 also applies implying the result.
ii) Given i), we have that $\theta_{n} \in \mathcal{O}_{\epsilon}(\theta)$ with $P_{\theta}$-probability $1-o\left(n^{-a^{*}}\right)$ that is locally independent of $\theta$ for any $\epsilon>0$. For some $\epsilon$ small enough, such that $\mathcal{O}_{\epsilon}(\theta) \subset \mathcal{O}_{\varepsilon_{0}}\left(\theta_{0}\right)$ (which exists due to the fact that $\varepsilon_{0}>\varepsilon$ ) due to assumption A.10, we have that condition FOC of the appendix lemmas AL. 4 and AL. 5 is satisfied by the GMR1 estimator with $Q_{n} \doteqdot \frac{\partial E_{\theta} \beta_{n}^{\prime}}{\partial \theta}$. Furthermore assumption A. 10 and A.9 imply conditions HUB $\left(\gamma(\theta)=\theta\right.$ hence set $\delta=\varepsilon_{0}$ ) and RANK of lemma AL. 4 due to the fact that since $D^{2} E_{\theta} \beta_{n}$ is uniformly bounded on $\mathcal{O}_{\varepsilon_{0}}\left(\theta_{0}\right), D E_{\theta} \beta_{n}$ converges uniformly to $D b(\theta)$ due to lemma AL. 7 and therefore the RANK condition is implied by A. 10 for large enough $n$. Now as $a^{*}>a \geq 0$ we have that $a^{*}>0$ and there exists a $C_{2}>0$ locally independent of $\theta$ such that for $E^{*}=\left\{\omega \in \Omega:\left\|\beta_{n}-b(\theta)\right\|>C_{2} \frac{\ln ^{1 / 2} n}{n^{1 / 2}}\right\} \in \mathcal{F}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sup _{\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)}\left\|E_{\theta} \beta_{n}-b(\theta)\right\| \\
\leq & \sup _{\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)} E_{\theta}\left[\left\|\beta_{n}-b(\theta)\right\| 1_{E^{*}}\right]+\sup _{\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)} E_{\theta}\left[\left\|\beta_{n}-b(\theta)\right\| 1_{\left(E^{*}\right)^{C}}\right] \\
\leq & M \sup _{\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)} P_{\theta}\left(\left\|\beta_{n}-b(\theta)\right\|>C_{2} \frac{\ln ^{1 / 2} n}{n^{1 / 2}}\right)+C_{2} \frac{\ln ^{1 / 2} n}{n^{1 / 2}} \sup _{\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)} E_{\theta} 1_{E^{*}} \\
= & M \sup _{\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)} P_{\theta}\left(\left\|\beta_{n}-b(\theta)\right\|>C_{2} \frac{\ln ^{1 / 2} n}{n^{1 / 2}}\right) \\
& +C_{2} \frac{\ln ^{1 / 2} n}{n^{1 / 2}} \sup _{\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)} P_{\theta}\left(\left\|\beta_{n}-b(\theta)\right\| \leq C_{2} \frac{\ln ^{1 / 2} n}{n^{1 / 2}}\right) \\
= & o\left(n^{-a^{*}}\right)+C_{2} \frac{\ln ^{1 / 2} n}{n^{1 / 2}}\left(1-o\left(n^{-a^{*}}\right)\right) \\
= & o\left(n^{-a^{*}}\right)+C_{2} \frac{\ln ^{1 / 2} n}{n^{1 / 2}}=O\left(\frac{\ln ^{1 / 2} n}{n^{1 / 2}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where the penultimate line comes from equation 1, above. Hence

$$
\sup _{\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)}\left\|E_{\theta} \beta_{n}-b(\theta)\right\|=O\left(\frac{\ln ^{1 / 2} n}{n^{1 / 2}}\right)
$$

and therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sup _{\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)} P_{\theta}\left(\left\|\beta_{n}-E_{\theta} \beta_{n}\right\|>C_{1} \frac{\ln ^{1 / 2} n}{n^{1 / 2}}\right) \\
\leq & \sup _{\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)} P_{\theta}\left(\left\|\beta_{n}-b(\theta)\right\|+\left\|E_{\theta} \beta_{n}-b(\theta)\right\|>C_{1} \frac{\ln ^{1 / 2} n}{n^{1 / 2}}\right) \\
\leq & \sup _{\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)} P_{\theta}\left(\left\|\beta_{n}-b(\theta)\right\|+O\left(\frac{\ln ^{1 / 2} n}{n^{1 / 2}}\right)>C_{1} \frac{\ln ^{1 / 2} n}{n^{1 / 2}}\right)=o\left(n^{-a^{*}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence due to A. 8 and lemma AL. 2 of Arvanitis and Demos [1] there exist $C_{1}>0$ large enough and locally independent of $\theta$ for which

$$
\sup _{\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)} P_{\theta}\left(\left\|\beta_{n}-E_{\theta} \beta_{n}\right\|>C_{1} \frac{\ln ^{1 / 2} n}{n^{1 / 2}}\right)=o\left(n^{-a^{*}}\right) .
$$

Hence lemma AL. 4 applies ensuring that

$$
\sup _{\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)} P_{\theta}\left(\sqrt{n}\|\mathrm{GMR} 2-\theta\|>C \ln ^{1 / 2} n\right)=o\left(n^{-a^{*}}\right)
$$

for some $C>0$ locally independent of $\theta$, hence condition UTIGHT of lemma AL. 5 holds. Moreover assumption A. 8 along with the fact that the support of $\beta_{n}-b(\theta)$ is uniformly bounded by $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{3 \eta}(0)$ for any $\eta$ greater or equal than the diameter of $B$, and the fact that $\sqrt{n}\left(\beta_{n}-E_{\theta} \beta_{n}\right)$ admits a locally uniform Edgeworth expansion of order $s^{*}-1$ (see lemma 4.1 of Arvanitis and Demos [1]) imply condition UEDGE of lemma AL. 5 for $M_{n}(\theta)=\sqrt{n}\binom{m_{n}(\theta)}{\beta_{n}-E_{\theta} \beta_{n}}$ with order $s^{*}-1$. Due to assumption A. 10 for any $\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)$ and any $\theta_{*}$ sufficiently close to $\theta, \frac{\partial E_{\theta} \beta_{n}^{\prime}}{\partial \theta}\left(\theta_{*}\right)$ admits a Taylor expansion of order $s^{*}-1$ around $\theta$ of the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial E_{\theta_{*}} \beta_{n}^{\prime}}{\partial \theta}= & \sum_{i=0}^{s^{*}-2} \frac{1}{i!} D^{i} \frac{\partial E_{\theta} \beta_{n}^{\prime \prime}}{\partial \theta}\left(\left(\theta_{*}-\theta\right)^{i}\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{\left(s^{*}-2\right)!}\left(D^{s^{*}-2} \frac{\partial E_{\theta^{+}} \beta_{n}^{\prime \prime}}{\partial \theta}-D^{s^{*}-1} \frac{\partial E_{\theta} \beta_{n}^{\prime \prime}}{\partial \theta}\right)\left(\left(\theta_{*}-\theta\right)^{s^{*}-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\theta^{+}$lies between $\theta_{*}$ and $\theta$. This implies that for $\theta_{n}=$ GMR2 due to condition UTIGHT of lemma AL.5 we have that with $P_{\theta}$-probability 1 -$o\left(n^{-a^{*}}\right)$ locally independent of $\theta$

$$
\frac{\partial E_{\theta_{n}} \beta_{n}^{\prime}}{\partial \theta}=\sum_{i=0}^{s^{*}-2} \frac{1}{i!} \frac{1}{n^{i / 2}} D^{i} \frac{\partial E_{\theta_{n}} \beta_{n}^{\prime}}{\partial \theta}(\theta)\left(\left(\sqrt{n}\left(\theta_{n}-\theta\right)\right)^{i}\right)+R_{n}^{*}\left(\theta_{n}, \theta\right)
$$

where $R_{n}^{*}\left(\theta_{n}, \theta\right)=\frac{1}{\left(s^{*}-2\right)!} \frac{1}{n^{\left(s^{*}-2\right) / 2}}\left(D^{s^{*}-2} \frac{\partial E_{\theta_{n}^{+}} \beta_{n}^{\prime}}{\partial \theta}-D^{s^{*}-1} \frac{\partial E_{\theta} \beta_{n}^{\prime}}{\partial \theta}\right)\left(\left(\sqrt{n}\left(\theta_{n}-\theta\right)\right)^{s^{*}-2}\right)$, and $\theta_{n}^{+}$lies between $\theta_{n}$ and $\theta$. Now by assumption A.10, by submultiplicativity, the relation of $\theta_{n}^{+}$to $\theta_{n}$ and condition UTIGHT

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sup _{\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)} P_{\theta}\left(\left\|\frac{1}{\left(s^{*}-2\right)!} \frac{1}{n^{\left(s^{*}-2\right) / 2}}\left(D^{s^{*}-2} \frac{\partial E_{\theta_{n}^{+}} \beta_{n}^{\prime}}{\partial \theta}-D^{s^{*}-1} \frac{\partial E_{\theta} \beta_{n}^{\prime}}{\partial \theta}\right) \times\right\|>\gamma_{n}^{*}\right) \\
\leq & \left(\left(\sqrt{n}\left(\theta_{n}-\theta\right)\right)^{s^{*}-2}\right)
\end{aligned} \sup _{\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)} P_{\theta}\left(\frac{1}{\left(s^{*}-2\right)!} \frac{1}{n^{\left(s^{*}-2\right) / 2}} \sup _{\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon_{0}}\left(\theta_{0}\right)}\left\|D^{s^{*}-1} \frac{\partial E_{\theta} \beta_{n}^{\prime}}{\partial \theta}\right\|\left\|\theta_{n}^{+}-\theta\right\|\left\|\sqrt{n}\left(\theta_{n}-\theta\right)\right\|^{s^{*}-2}>\gamma_{n}^{*}\right), ~ \sup _{\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)} P_{\theta}\left(\frac{M}{\left(s^{*}-2\right)!} \frac{C^{s^{*}}}{n^{\left(s^{*}-1\right) / 2}} \ln ^{\left(s^{*}-1\right) / 2} n>\gamma_{n}^{*}\right)+o\left(n^{-a^{*}}\right) \quad .
$$

which is of order $o\left(n^{-a^{*}}\right)$ for $\gamma_{n}^{*}=\frac{M}{\left(s^{*}-2\right)!} \frac{C^{s^{*}}}{n^{\left(s^{*}-1\right) / 2}} \ln n^{\left(s^{*}-1\right) / 2} n=o\left(n^{-a^{*}}\right)$ and locally independent of $\theta$. Analogously, due to assumption A.9 for any $\theta \in$ $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)$ and any $\theta_{*}$ sufficiently close to $\theta, E_{\theta_{*}} \beta_{n}$ admits a Taylor expansion of order $s^{*}-1$ around $\theta$ of the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
q_{n}= & \beta_{n}-E_{\theta_{*}} \beta_{n}=\beta_{n}-E_{\theta} \beta_{n}-\sum_{i=1}^{s^{*}-1} \frac{1}{i!} D^{i} E_{\theta} \beta_{n}\left(\left(\theta_{*}-\theta\right)^{i}\right) \\
& -\frac{1}{\left(s^{*}-1\right)!}\left(D^{s^{*}-1} E_{\theta^{+}} \beta_{n}-D^{s^{*}-1} E_{\theta} \beta_{n}\right)\left(\left(\theta_{*}-\theta\right)^{s^{*}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\theta^{+}$lies between $\theta_{*}$ and $\theta$. This implies that for $\theta_{n}$ we have that with $P_{\theta}$-probability $1-o\left(n^{-a^{*}}\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sqrt{n}\left(\beta_{n}-E_{\theta_{n}} \beta_{n}\right) \\
= & \sqrt{n}\left(\beta_{n}-E_{\theta} \beta_{n}\right) \\
& +\sum_{i=0}^{s^{*}-2} \frac{1}{(i+1)!} \frac{1}{n^{i / 2}} D^{i+1} E_{\theta} \beta_{n}\left(\left(\sqrt{n}\left(\theta_{n}-\theta\right)\right)^{i+1}\right)+R_{n}^{\#}\left(\theta_{n}, \theta\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $R_{n}^{\#}\left(\theta_{n}, \theta\right)=\frac{1}{\left(s^{*}-1\right)!} \frac{1}{n^{\left(s^{*}-2\right) / 2}}\left(D^{s^{*}-1} E_{\theta^{+}} \beta_{n}-D^{s^{*}-1} E_{\theta} \beta_{n}\right)\left(\left(\sqrt{n}\left(\theta_{n}-\theta\right)\right)^{s^{*}-1}\right)$, and $\theta_{n}^{+}$lies between $\theta_{n}$ and $\theta$. Now by the previous for large enough $n$
$\frac{\partial E_{\theta} \beta_{n}^{\prime}}{\partial \theta}(\theta)$ has full rank for any $\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)$ and so does the identity matrix in front of $\sqrt{n}\left(\beta_{n}-E_{\theta} \beta_{n}\right)$, and thereby due to submultiplicativity, the relation of $\theta_{n}^{+}$to $\theta_{n}$ and condition UTIGHT of lemma AL. 5

$$
\left.\left.\begin{array}{rl} 
& \sup _{\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)} P_{\theta}\left(\| \begin{array}{l}
\left.\frac{1}{\left(s^{*}-1\right)!} \frac{1}{n^{\left(s^{*}-2\right) / 2}}\left(D^{s^{*}-1} E_{\theta^{+}} \beta_{n}-D^{s^{*}-1} E_{\theta} \beta_{n}\right) \times \|>\gamma_{n}^{\#}\right) \\
\leq \\
\\
\left.\leq \sup _{\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)} P_{\theta}\left(\sqrt{n}\left(\theta_{n}-\theta\right)\right)^{s^{*}-1}\right)
\end{array}\right) \\
\leq & \sup _{\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)} P_{\theta}\left(\frac{1}{\left(s^{*}-1\right)!} \frac{1}{n^{\left(s^{*}-2\right) / 2}} \sup _{\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon_{0}}\left(\theta_{0}\right)}\left\|D^{s^{*}-1} E_{\theta} \beta_{n}\right\|\left\|\theta_{n}^{+}-\theta\right\|\right. \\
\left.\times\left\|\sqrt{n}\left(\theta_{n}-\theta\right)\right\|\right)^{s^{*}-1}>\gamma_{n}^{\#}
\end{array}\right) \frac{C^{s^{*}}}{\left(s^{*}-1\right)!} n^{\left(s^{*}-1\right) / 2} \ln ^{s^{*} / 2} n>\gamma_{n}^{\#}\right)+o\left(n^{-a^{*}}\right) .
$$

which is of order $o\left(n^{-a^{*}}\right)$ for $\gamma_{n}^{\#}=\frac{M}{\left(s^{*}-1\right)!} \frac{C^{s^{*}}}{n^{\left(s^{*}-1\right) / 2}} \ln ^{s^{*} / 2} n=o\left(n^{-a^{*}}\right)$ and locally independent of $\theta$. Finally due to lemma AL. 6 which applies by assumptions A. 8 and A. 7 condition EXPAND of lemma AL. 5 holds and the result follows by the same lemma.

We denote with $k_{i_{\beta}}(z, \theta)=z \pi_{i-1}(z, \theta)$ and with $\mathcal{I}_{V}\left(k_{i_{\beta}}(z, \theta)\right)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{q}} k_{i_{\beta}}(z, \theta) \varphi_{V(\theta)}(z) d z$ where $\pi_{i-1}(z, \theta)$ and $V(\theta)$ as in assumption A.8.

Assumption A. $11 \mathcal{I}_{V}\left(k_{i_{\beta}}(z, \theta)\right)$ is $s^{*}$ continuously differentiable for $i=$ $1, \ldots, s^{*}-1$ over $\mathcal{O}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)$.

Lemma 2.4 If assumptions A.8, A.9 and A.11 hold for $s^{*}>s$ then for any sequence $\theta_{n}^{+}$for which

$$
\sup _{\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)} P_{\theta}\left(\sqrt{n}\left\|\theta_{n}^{+}-\theta\right\|>M \ln ^{1 / 2} n\right)=o\left(n^{-a^{*}}\right)
$$

we have that for any $\varepsilon_{*}<\varepsilon$

$$
\sup _{\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon_{*}\left(\theta_{0}\right)}} P_{\theta}\left(\left\|\sqrt{n}\left(E_{\theta_{n}^{+}} \beta_{n}-E_{\theta} \beta_{n}\right)-A_{n}(\theta)\right\|>\gamma_{n}\right)=o\left(n^{-a^{*}}\right)
$$

where

$$
A_{n}(\theta)=\sum_{i=1}^{s} \frac{1}{n^{\frac{i-1}{2}} i!} D^{i}\left(b(\theta)+\sum_{j=1}^{s-i} \frac{\mathcal{I}_{V}\left(k_{j_{\beta}}(z, \theta)\right)}{n^{\frac{j}{2}}}\right)\left(\sqrt{n}\left(\theta_{n}^{+}-\theta\right)^{i}\right)
$$

$\gamma_{n}=o\left(n^{-a}\right)$ independent of $\theta$, using the convention that when $s-i=0$, then $\sum_{j=1}^{s-i}$ is empty.

Proof. By assumption A.8, lemma 3.1, below, adding subtracting
$\sqrt{n}\left(b(\theta)+\sum_{i=1}^{s} \frac{\mathcal{I}_{V}\left(k_{i_{\beta}}(z, \theta)\right)}{n^{\frac{i}{2}}}\right)$ and $\sqrt{n}\left(b\left(\theta_{n}^{+}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{s} \frac{\mathcal{I}_{V}\left(k_{i_{\beta}}\left(z, \theta_{n}^{+}\right)\right)}{n^{\frac{i}{2}}}\right)$, we get $\sqrt{n}\left(E_{\theta_{n}^{*}} \beta_{n}-E_{\theta} \beta_{n}\right)-A_{n}(\theta)=$
$\sqrt{n}\left(E_{\theta_{n}^{*}} \beta_{n}-b\left(\theta_{n}^{+}\right)-\sum_{i=1}^{s} \frac{\mathcal{I}_{V}\left(k_{j_{\beta}}\left(z, \theta_{n}^{+}\right)\right)}{n^{\frac{2}{2}}}\right)-\sqrt{n}\left(E_{\theta} \beta_{n}-b(\theta)-\sum_{i=1}^{s} \frac{\mathcal{I}_{V}\left(k_{i_{\beta}}(z, \theta)\right)}{n^{\frac{2}{2}}}\right)+$
$\sqrt{n}\left(b\left(\theta_{n}^{+}\right)-b(\theta)-\sum_{i=1}^{s} \frac{1}{i!} D^{i} b(\theta)\left(\left(\theta_{n}^{+}-\theta\right)^{i}\right)\right)+B_{n}$ where
$B_{n}=\sum_{i=1}^{s} \frac{\mathcal{I}_{V}\left(k_{i_{\beta}}\left(z, \theta_{n}^{+}\right)\right)}{n^{\frac{i-1}{2}}}-\sum_{i=1}^{s} \frac{\mathcal{I}_{V}\left(k_{i_{\beta}}(z, \theta)\right)}{n^{\frac{i-1}{2}}}-\sum_{i=1}^{s} \frac{1}{i!} \sum_{j=1}^{s-i} D^{i} \frac{\mathcal{I}_{V}\left(k_{j_{\beta}}(z, \theta)\right)}{n^{\frac{j-1}{2}}}\left(\left(\theta_{n}^{+}-\theta\right)^{i}\right)$.
Employing the mean value theorem for $\mathcal{I}_{V}\left(k_{i_{\beta}}\left(z, \theta_{n}^{+}\right)\right)$, and for $\theta_{n}^{++}$such that $\left\|\theta_{n}^{++}-\theta\right\|<\left\|\theta_{n}^{+}-\theta\right\|$, we get $B_{n}=$
$=\sum_{i=1}^{s}\left(\frac{1}{n^{\frac{i-1}{2}}} \sum_{m=1}^{s-i} \frac{1}{m!} D^{m} \mathcal{I}_{V}\left(k_{i_{\beta}}(z, \theta)\right)\left(\left(\theta_{n}^{+}-\theta\right)^{m}\right)-\frac{1}{i!} \sum_{j=1}^{s-i} D^{i} \frac{\mathcal{I}_{V}\left(k_{j_{\beta}}(z, \theta)\right)}{n^{\frac{j-1}{2}}}\left(\left(\theta_{n}^{+}-\theta\right)^{i}\right)\right)+$
$\sum_{i=1}^{s} \frac{1}{n^{\frac{i-1}{2}} \frac{1}{(s-i+1)!}} D^{s-i+1} \mathcal{I}_{V}\left(k_{i_{\beta}}(z, \theta)\right)\left(\left(\theta_{n}^{++}-\theta\right)^{s-i+1}\right)$. Collecting terms we get:

$$
B_{n}=\sum_{i=1}^{s} \frac{1}{n^{\frac{i-1}{2}}} \frac{1}{(s-i+1)!} D^{s-i+1} \mathcal{I}_{V}\left(k_{i_{\beta}}(z, \theta)\right)\left(\left(\theta_{n}^{++}-\theta\right)^{s-i+1}\right) .
$$

Taking into account that $\theta_{n}^{+} \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)$ with probability $1-o\left(n^{-a^{*}}\right)$ and employing the triangular inequality we have that, for $s<s^{*}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sup _{\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)} P_{\theta}\left(\left\|\sqrt{n}\left(E_{\theta_{n}^{+}} \beta_{n}-E_{\theta} \beta_{n}\right)-A_{n}(\theta)\right\|>\gamma_{n}\right) \\
\leq & \sup _{\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)} P_{\theta}\left(\sup _{\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)} \sqrt{n}\left\|E_{\theta} \beta_{n}-b(\theta)-\sum_{i=1}^{s} \frac{\mathcal{I}_{V}\left(k_{i_{\beta}}(z, \theta)\right)}{n^{\frac{i}{2}}}\right\|>\frac{\gamma_{n}}{6}\right) \\
& +\sum_{i=1}^{s} \sup _{\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)} P_{\theta}\left(\frac{1}{n^{\frac{i-1}{2}}}\left\|B_{n}\right\|>\frac{\gamma_{n}}{3 s}\right) \\
& +\sup _{\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)} P_{\theta}\left(\sqrt{n}\left\|b\left(\theta_{n}^{+}\right)-b(\theta)-\sum_{i=1}^{s} \frac{1}{i!} D^{i} b(\theta)\left(\left(\theta_{n}^{+}-\theta\right)^{i}\right)\right\|>\frac{\gamma_{n}}{3}\right)+o\left(n^{-a^{*}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we have that

$$
a_{n}=\sqrt{n}\left\|E_{\theta} \beta_{n}-b(\theta)-\sum_{i=1}^{s} \frac{\mathcal{I}_{V}\left(k_{i_{\beta}}(z, \theta)\right)}{n^{\frac{i}{2}}}\right\|=o\left(n^{-a}\right)
$$

independent of $\theta$, due to lemma 3.1.
Now, due to the continuity of $D^{s-i+1} \mathcal{I}_{V}\left(k_{i_{\beta}}(z, \theta)\right)$, assumption A.11, and
the assumption of the asymptotic behavior of $\theta_{n}^{+}$we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sup _{\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)} P_{\theta}\left(\frac{1}{n^{\frac{i-1}{2}}}\left\|B_{n}\right\|>\frac{\gamma_{n}}{3 s}\right) \\
\leq & \sup _{\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)} P_{\theta}\left(\frac{1}{n^{\frac{i-1}{2}}} \frac{1}{(s-i+1)!} \sup _{\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)}\left\|D^{s-i+1} \mathcal{I}_{V}\left(k_{i_{\beta}}(z, \theta)\right)\right\|\left\|\theta_{n}^{+}-\theta\right\|^{s-i+1}>\frac{\gamma_{n}}{3 s}\right) \\
\leq & \sup _{\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)} P_{\theta}\left(\frac{\ln \frac{s-i+1}{2} n}{n^{\frac{s}{2}}} \frac{1}{(s-i+1)!} \sup _{\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)}\left\|D^{s-i+1} \mathcal{I}_{V}\left(k_{i_{\beta}}(z, \theta)\right)\right\|>\frac{\gamma_{n}}{3 s}\right)+o\left(n^{-a^{*}}\right) \\
= & o\left(n^{-a^{*}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

provided that $\gamma_{n} \geq \frac{\ln ^{\frac{s-i+1}{2}} n^{\frac{s}{2}}}{n s \sup _{\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)}\left\|D^{s-i+1} \mathcal{I}_{V}\left(k_{i_{\beta}}(z, \theta)\right)\right\|}(s-i+1)!$.
Furthermore using the same reasoning as above

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sup _{\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)} P_{\theta}\left(\sqrt{n}\left\|b\left(\theta_{n}^{+}\right)-b(\theta)-\sum_{i=1}^{s} \frac{1}{i!} D^{i} b(\theta)\left(\left(\theta_{n}^{*}-\theta\right)^{i}\right)\right\|>\frac{\gamma_{n}}{3}\right) \\
\leq & \sup _{\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)} P_{\theta}\left(\sqrt{n}\left\|\theta_{n}^{+}-\theta\right\|^{s+1}>\frac{(s+1)!\gamma_{n}}{3 \sup _{\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)}\left\|D^{s+1} b(\theta)\right\|}\right)+o\left(n^{-a^{*}}\right) \\
\leq & \sup _{\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)} P_{\theta}\left(\frac{\ln \frac{s+1}{2} n}{n^{\frac{s}{2}}}>\frac{(s+1)!\gamma_{n}}{3 \sup _{\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)}\left\|D^{s+1} b(\theta)\right\|}\right)+o\left(n^{-a^{*}}\right)=o\left(n^{-a^{*}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

when $\gamma_{n} \geq \frac{3 \sup _{\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)}\left\|D^{s+1} b(\theta)\right\|}{(s+1)!} \frac{\ln ^{\frac{s+1}{2}} n}{n^{\frac{s}{2}}}$. Hence for

the result follows for large enough $n$.
Lemma 2.5 Suppose that $p=q$ and assumptions A.1, A.2, A.3, A.4, A.6, A.7. A.8, A.9 and A.11 hold for $s^{*}>s$. i) If $\sup _{\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon_{0}\left(\theta_{0}\right)} \|}\left\|D^{2} E_{\theta} \beta_{n}\right\|<M$ then $\sqrt{n}(\overline{\mathrm{GMR}} 2-\theta)$ has an Edgeworth expansion of order $s$ uniformly on $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon_{*}}\left(\theta_{0}\right)$ for any $\varepsilon_{*}<\varepsilon$.
ii) if $\beta_{n}=b$ (GMR1) with probability $1-o\left(n^{-a}\right)$ uniformly on $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)$ and $\beta_{n}=E_{\mathrm{GMR} 2} \beta_{n}$ with probability $1-o\left(n^{-a}\right)$ uniformly on $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)$ then $\sqrt{n}(\operatorname{GMR} 2-\theta)$ has an Edgeworth expansion of order s uniformly on $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon_{*}}\left(\theta_{0}\right)$ for any $\varepsilon_{*}<\varepsilon$.

Proof. i) Notice that the uniform consistency follow for the GMR1 and GMR2 as in the first parts of lemmas 2.2, 2.3. Assumption A.9 along with i) imply that for $r=1,2, \sup _{\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)}\left\|D^{r}\left(E_{\theta} \beta_{n}-b(\theta)\right)\right\|<M$, which in turn means that $D^{r-1}\left(E_{\theta} \beta_{n}-b(\theta)\right)$ are uniformly Lipschitz on $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)$,
and therefore uniformly equicontinuous on the same ball. This implies the commutativity of the limit, with respect to $n$ and the derivative operator, uniformly over $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)$. This along with the second part of assumption A.9, i.e. $\operatorname{rank} D b(\theta)=p$ for all $\theta$ in $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon_{0}}\left(\theta_{0}\right)$, and continuity imply that $\operatorname{rank} D E_{\theta} \beta_{n}=p$, for all $\theta$ in $\mathcal{O}_{\varepsilon_{0}}\left(\theta_{0}\right)$ for $n$ large enough. As now $p=q$, by the definition of GMR2 we get that $\beta_{n}=E_{\mathrm{GMR} 2} \beta_{n}$ with probability $1-o\left(n^{-a^{*}}\right)$ uniformly on $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)$. This implies condition FOC of lemma AL.5. Furthermore by the second part of lemma 2.2 we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)} P_{\theta}\left(\sqrt{n}\|\mathrm{GMR} 1-\theta\|>M \ln ^{1 / 2} n\right)=o\left(n^{-a^{*}}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence with probability $1-o\left(n^{-a^{*}}\right)$ locally independent of $\theta$, applying the mean value theorem we have that

$$
b(\operatorname{GMR} 1)=b(\operatorname{GMR} 2)+\frac{\partial b^{\prime}\left(\theta_{n}^{+}\right)}{\partial \theta}(\operatorname{GMR} 1-\operatorname{GMR} 2),
$$

where $\theta_{n}^{+}$is such that $\left\|\theta_{n}^{+}-\mathrm{GMR} 2\right\|<\|$ GMR1 - GMR2 $\|$. It follows that with $P_{\theta}$-probability $1-o\left(n^{-a^{*}}\right)$ locally independent of $\theta$

$$
\operatorname{GMR} 1-\operatorname{GMR} 2=\left(\frac{\partial b^{\prime}\left(\theta_{n}^{+}\right)}{\partial \theta}\right)^{-1}(b(\operatorname{GMR} 1)-b(\operatorname{GMR} 2))
$$

As now $p=q$, by the definition of GMR1 we get that $b$ (GMR1) $=\beta_{n}$ with probability $1-o\left(n^{-a^{*}}\right)$ uniformly on $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)$. Hence with probability $1-o\left(n^{-a^{*}}\right)$ uniformly on $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|\mathrm{GMR} 1-\mathrm{GMR} 2\| & \leq M\left\|\beta_{n}-b(\mathrm{GMR} 2)\right\| \\
& \leq M\left(\left\|\beta_{n}-E_{\mathrm{GMR} 2} \beta_{n}\right\|+\left\|E_{\mathrm{GMR} 2} \beta_{n}-b(\mathrm{GMR} 2)\right\|\right) \\
& \leq M\left\|E_{\mathrm{GMR} 2} \beta_{n}-b(\mathrm{GMR} 2)\right\|=O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and the last equality is true (as $\beta_{n}$ has a uniform Edgeworth expansion on $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)$, assumption A.8, and apply lemma 3.1. Taking into account equation 3 we get that, for some $C>0$, locally independent of $\theta$

$$
\sup _{\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)} P_{\theta}\left(\sqrt{n}\|\mathrm{GMR} 2-\theta\|>C \ln ^{1 / 2} n\right)=o\left(n^{-a^{*}}\right) .
$$

This implies condition UTIGHT of lemma AL.5. It also, along with lemmas 2.4 and 3.1, implies that for any $\varepsilon_{*}<\varepsilon$

$$
\sup _{\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon_{*}\left(\theta_{0}\right)}} P_{\theta}\left(\left\|\sqrt{n}\left(\beta_{n}-E_{\mathrm{GMR} 2} \beta_{n}\right)-\Gamma_{n}(\theta)\right\|>\gamma_{n}\right)=o\left(n^{-a}\right)
$$

where $\gamma_{n}=o\left(n^{-a}\right)$ independent of $\theta$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Gamma_{n}(\theta)= & \sqrt{n}\left(\beta_{n}-E_{\theta} \beta_{n}\right)-\sum_{i=1}^{s-1} \frac{1}{n^{\frac{i}{2}}} \mathcal{I}_{V}\left(k_{i_{\beta}}(z, \theta)\right) \\
& -\sum_{i=1}^{s} \frac{1}{n^{\frac{i-1}{2}} i!} D^{i}\left(b(\theta)+\sum_{j=1}^{s-i} \frac{\mathcal{I}_{V}\left(k_{j_{\beta}}(z, \theta)\right)}{n^{\frac{j}{2}}}\right)\left(\sqrt{n}(\operatorname{GMR} 2-\theta)^{i}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

which validates condition EXPAND of lemma AL. 5 for $Q_{n}=W_{n}^{j}=\mathrm{Id}_{p \times p}$. Moreover assumption A.8 along with the fact that the support of $\beta_{n}-b(\theta)$ is uniformly bounded by $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{3 \eta}(0)$ for any $\eta$ greater or equal than the diameter of $B$, and lemma 4.1 of Arvanitis and Demos 11 imply condition UEDGE of lemma AL. 5 for $M_{n}(\theta)=\sqrt{n}\binom{m_{n}(\theta)}{\beta_{n}-E_{\theta} \beta_{n}}$ with order $s^{*}-1$. Hence the conditions of lemma AL. 5 are satisfied and the result follows. ii) follows the same way as i) except now $\left\|\beta_{n}-E_{\text {GMR } 2} \beta_{n}\right\|$ is zero with probability $1-o\left(n^{-a^{*}}\right)$ independent of $\theta$.

## Existence of Edgeworth Expansion for the GT Estimator

We first consider two cases which link the asymptotic behaviors of the three estimators.

Lemma 2.6 A. Suppose that $p=q=l, E_{\mathrm{GT}}\left(c_{n}\left(\beta_{n}\right)\right)=\mathbf{0}_{l}$ with probability $1-o\left(n^{-a^{*}}\right)$ independent of $\theta$ and $E_{\theta}\left(c_{n}(\beta)\right)=\mathbf{0}_{l}$ iff $\beta=b(\theta)$. i) If the provisions of lemma 2.2 $i$ hold then the GT is uniformly consistent for $\theta$ with rate $o\left(n^{-a}\right)$. ii) If the provisions of lemma 2.2 ii hold then $\sqrt{n}(\mathrm{GT}-\theta)$ has an Edgeworth expansion of order $s^{*}$ uniformly on $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)$ which coincides with the one of lemma 2.2.
B. Suppose that $q=l, c_{n}(\beta)=q_{n}-\beta$ for $q_{n}$ an appropriate $q$-dimensional random element and $W_{n}^{*}=W_{n}^{* *}\left(P_{\theta}\right.$ almost everywhere for all $\left.\theta\right)$. i) If the provisions of lemma 2.31 hold then the GT is uniformly consistent for $\theta$ with rate $o\left(n^{-a}\right)$. ii) If the provisions of lemma 2.3 ii or the ones of lemma 2.5 i or $i i$ hold then $\sqrt{n}(\mathrm{GT}-\theta)$ has an Edgeworth expansion of order $s^{*}$ uniformly on $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)$ which coincides with the expansions of lemmas 2.3 or 2.5. or ii respectively.

Proof: A. From the assumptions we have that

$$
E_{\mathrm{GT}} c_{n}(\beta)=\mathbf{0}_{p} \text { iff } \beta=b(\mathrm{GT})
$$

hence the GT equivalently satisfies

$$
\beta_{n}-b(\mathrm{GT})=\mathbf{0}_{p}
$$

which defines the GMR1 estimator in these special circumstances. Hence under these special assumptions we have that GMR1 $=$ GT with probability $1-o\left(n^{-a^{*}}\right)$ independent of $\theta$. The rest are trivial consequences of lemma 2.2 . B. Similarly this special assumption implies that $\beta_{n}=q_{n}$ ( $P_{\theta}$ almost surely for all $\theta$ ). Hence $\left.E_{\theta} c_{n}(\beta)\right|_{\beta=\beta_{n}}=E_{\theta} q_{n}-\beta_{n}=E_{\theta} \beta_{n}-\beta_{n}$. This and the assumed coincidence of the weighting matrices involved along with lemmas 2.3 or 2.5 i) or ii) imply the result.

In a more general case, due to the definition of the particular estimator, we utilize the following two assumptions concerning the asymptotic behavior of $c_{n}$.

Assumption A. 12 Let $Q_{n}=\left\|c_{n}(\beta)\right\|_{W_{n}\left(\beta_{n}^{*}\right)}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|c_{n}(\beta)-c_{n}\left(\beta^{\prime}\right)\right\| \leq \kappa_{n}\left\|\beta-\beta^{\prime}\right\|, \text { for all } \beta, \beta^{\prime} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\sup _{\theta \in \Theta} E_{\theta} \kappa_{n}=O(1)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{\theta \in \Theta} P_{\theta}\left(\sup _{\beta \in B}\left\|c_{n}(\beta)-c(\theta, \beta)\right\|>\varepsilon\right)=o\left(n^{-a^{*}}\right), \forall \varepsilon>0 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c(\theta, \beta)$ is continuous on $B$ and equals zero iff $\beta=b(\theta)$ for any $\theta$. Furthermore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{\theta^{*} \in \Theta} \lim \sup _{n} E_{\theta^{*}}\left\|c_{n}(\beta)\right\|^{2}<+\infty, \text { for all } \beta \text {. } \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assumption A. 13 For $\varphi=\left(\theta^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}, \varphi_{0}$ as before and $\eta$ large enough for $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\eta}\left(\varphi_{0}\right) \supset \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon_{0}}\left(\theta_{0}\right) \times \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon^{\prime}}\left(b\left(\theta_{0}\right)\right), \operatorname{rank}\left(\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\partial E_{\theta} c_{n}(b(\theta))}{\partial \theta^{\prime}}\right)=p, \operatorname{rank}\left(\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\partial E_{\theta} c_{n}(b(\theta))}{\partial \beta^{\prime}}\right)=$ $q$ on $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon_{0}}\left(\theta_{0}\right), \sup _{\varphi \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\eta}\left(\varphi_{0}\right)}\left\|D^{s^{*}+1} E_{\theta} c_{n}(\beta)\right\|<M$.

Lemma 2.7 i) Under the assumptions A.1, A.2, A.3, A.4, A.6, A.7. a and A. 12 the GT is uniformly consistent for $\theta$ with rate o $\left(n^{-a}\right)$.
ii) If additionally $c(\theta, \beta)=E_{\theta} c_{n}(\beta)$ and assumptions A.7.b, A.8 and A.13 hold then $\sqrt{n}(\mathrm{GT}-\theta)$ has an Edgeworth expansion of order $s^{*}$ uniformly on $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)$.

Proof: i) By assumption A.12,4, we have that for $\varepsilon>0$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sup _{\theta^{*} \in \Theta} P_{\theta^{*}}\left(\sup _{\theta \in \Theta}\left\|E_{\theta} c_{n}\left(\beta_{n}\right)-E_{\theta} c_{n}\left(b\left(\theta^{*}\right)\right)\right\|>\varepsilon\right) \\
\leq & \sup _{\theta^{*} \in \Theta} P_{\theta^{*}}\left(\left(\sup _{\theta \in \Theta} E_{\theta} \kappa_{n}\right)\left\|\beta_{n}-b\left(\theta^{*}\right)\right\|>\varepsilon\right)=o\left(n^{-a^{*}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and the equality is due to assumption A.6. Moreover due to A.12[5.6 and uniform integrability we obtain that

$$
\sup _{\theta \in \Theta}\left\|E_{\theta} c_{n}\left(b\left(\theta^{*}\right)\right)-c\left(\theta, b\left(\theta^{*}\right)\right)\right\|=o(1)
$$

These via the triangle inequality imply that

$$
\sup _{\theta^{*} \in \Theta} P_{\theta^{*}}\left(\sup _{\theta \in \Theta}\left\|E_{\theta} c_{n}\left(\beta_{n}\right)-c\left(\theta, b\left(\theta^{*}\right)\right)\right\|>\varepsilon\right)=o\left(n^{-a^{*}}\right)
$$

Hence for $q_{n}(\theta)=E_{\theta} c_{n}\left(\beta_{n}\right), q\left(\theta^{*}, \theta\right)=c\left(\theta, b\left(\theta^{*}\right)\right)$ and by assumptions A.7. $a$ lemma AL. 3 applies. Hence for $\gamma(\theta)=\theta$ due to assumptions A.3, A.12 lemma AL. 1 also applies proving the result.
ii) Given i), we have that $\theta_{n} \in \mathcal{O}_{\epsilon}(\theta)$ with $P_{\theta}$-probability $1-o\left(n^{-a^{*}}\right)$ that is locally independent of $\theta$ for any $\epsilon>0$. For some $\epsilon$ small enough, such that $\mathcal{O}_{\epsilon}(\theta) \subset \mathcal{O}_{\varepsilon_{0}}\left(\theta_{0}\right)$ (which exists due to the fact that $\varepsilon_{0}>\varepsilon$ ) due to assumption A.13, we have that condition FOC of lemma AL. 4 (in the Appendix) is satisfied by the GT estimator for $Q_{n}=\frac{\partial E_{\theta} c_{n}\left(\beta_{n}\right)}{\partial \theta}$. Furthermore assumption A. 13 implies conditions HUB $\left(\gamma(\theta)=\theta\right.$ hence set $\left.\delta=\varepsilon_{0}\right)$ and RANK of the same lemma. Condition TIGHT follows from A. 8 lemma AL. 2 of Arvanitis and Demos [1] and as $E_{\theta} c_{n}(b(\theta))=0$ the fact that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sup _{\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)} P_{\theta}\left(\left\|E_{\theta} c_{n}\left(\beta_{n}\right)\right\|>C_{1} \frac{\ln ^{1 / 2} n}{n^{1 / 2}}\right) \\
\leq & \sup _{\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)} P_{\theta}\left(E_{\theta}\left\|c_{n}\left(\beta_{n}\right)-c_{n}(b(\theta))\right\|>C_{1} \frac{\ln ^{1 / 2} n}{n^{1 / 2}}\right) \\
\leq & \sup _{\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)} P_{\theta}\left(\left\|\beta_{n}-b(\theta)\right\|>\frac{C_{1}}{\sup _{\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)} E_{\theta}\left(\kappa_{n}\right)} \frac{\ln ^{1 / 2} n}{n^{1 / 2}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

imply that there exist $C_{1}>0$ large enough locally independent of $\theta$ for which the last term in the previous display is of order $o\left(n^{-a^{*}}\right)$. Hence lemma AL. 4 applies ensuring that

$$
\sup _{\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)} P_{\theta}\left(\sqrt{n}\|\mathrm{GT}-\theta\|>C \ln ^{1 / 2} n\right)=o\left(n^{-a^{*}}\right)
$$

for some $C>0$ independent of $\theta$. Hence condition UTIGHT of lemma AL. 5 holds. Moreover assumption A. 8 implies condition UEDGE of the same lemma for $M_{n}(\theta)=\sqrt{n} m_{n}(\theta)$. Due to assumption A.13 for any $\varphi=\binom{\theta}{b(\theta)}$ for any $\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon_{0}}\left(\theta_{0}\right)$ and any $\varphi_{*}=\binom{\theta_{*}}{\varphi_{*}}$ sufficiently close
to $\varphi, \frac{\partial E_{\theta_{*}} c_{n}\left(\beta_{*}\right)^{\prime}}{\partial \theta}$ admits a Taylor expansion of order $s^{*}-1$ around $\varphi$ of the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial E_{\theta_{*}} c_{n}\left(\beta_{*}\right)^{\prime}}{\partial \theta} \\
= & \frac{\partial E_{\theta_{*}} c_{n}\left(b\left(\theta_{*}\right)\right)^{\prime}}{\partial \theta} \\
& +\sum_{i_{1}+i_{2}=1}^{s^{*}-1} \frac{1}{i_{1}!i_{2}!} D^{i_{1}, i_{2}}\left(\frac{\partial E_{\theta} c_{n}(b(\theta))^{\prime}}{\partial \theta}\right)\left(\left(\beta_{*}-b(\theta)\right)^{i_{1}},\left(\theta_{*}-\theta\right)^{i_{2}}\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{\left(s^{*}-1\right)!}\left(D^{s^{*}-1}\left(\frac{\partial E_{\theta^{+}} c_{n}\left(\beta^{+}\right)^{\prime}}{\partial \theta}\right)-D^{s^{*}-1}\left(\frac{\partial E_{\theta} c_{n}(b(\theta))^{\prime}}{\partial \theta}\right)\right) \\
& \left(\left(\varphi_{*}-\varphi\right)^{s^{*}-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\varphi^{+}=\binom{\theta^{+}}{\beta^{+}}$lies between $\varphi_{*}$ and $\varphi$. This implies that for $\theta_{n}=\mathrm{GT}$ due to conditions UTIGHT and EXPAND we have that with $P_{\theta}$-probability $1-o\left(n^{-a^{*}}\right)$ that is independent of $\theta$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial E_{\theta_{n}} c_{n}\left(\beta_{n}\right)^{\prime}}{\partial \theta} \\
= & \frac{\partial E_{\theta} c_{n}(b(\theta))^{\prime}}{\partial \theta} \\
& +\sum_{i_{1}+i_{2}=1}^{s^{*}-1} \frac{1}{i_{1}!i_{2}!} D^{i_{1}, i_{2}}\left(\frac{\partial E_{\theta} c_{n}(b(\theta))^{\prime}}{\partial \theta}\right)\left(\left(\beta_{n}-b(\theta)\right)^{i_{1}},\left(\theta_{n}-\theta\right)^{i_{2}}\right)+R_{n}^{*}\left(\theta_{n}, \theta\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $R_{n}^{*}\left(\theta_{n}, \theta\right)=\frac{1}{\left(s^{*}-1\right)!}\left(D^{s^{*}-1}\left(\frac{\partial E_{\theta_{n}^{+}} c_{n}\left(\beta_{n}^{+}\right)^{\prime}}{\partial \theta}\right)-D^{s^{*}-1}\left(\frac{\partial E_{\theta} c_{n}(b(\theta))^{\prime}}{\partial \theta}\right)\right)\left(\left(\varphi_{n}-\varphi\right)^{s^{*}-1}\right)$, and $\theta_{n}^{+}, \beta_{n}^{+}$lie between $\theta_{n}$ and $\theta$ and $\beta_{n}$ and $b(\theta)$ respectively. Due to assumptions A.13, A.8, lemma AL. 2 of Arvanitis and Demos [1] and by submultiplicativity, the relation of $\theta_{n}^{+}$to $\theta_{n}$ and condition UTIGHT

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sup _{\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)} P_{\theta}\left(\| \frac{1}{\left(s^{*}-1\right)!\frac{1}{n^{\left.s^{*}-1\right) / 2}}\left(D^{s^{*}-1}\left(\frac{\partial E_{\theta_{n}^{+}} c_{n}\left(\beta_{n}^{+}\right)^{\prime}}{\partial \theta}\right)-D^{s^{*}-1}\left(\frac{\partial E_{\theta} c_{n}(b(\theta))^{\prime}}{\partial \theta}\right)\right) \times \| \gamma_{n}^{*}} \begin{array}{l}
\left(\left(\varphi_{n}-\varphi\right)^{s^{*}-1}\right)
\end{array}\right. \\
\leq & \sup _{\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)} P_{\theta}\binom{\frac{2^{s^{*}-1}}{\left(s^{*}-1\right)!} \frac{1}{n^{\left(s^{*}-1\right) / 2}} \sup _{\varphi \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\eta}\left(\varphi_{0}\right)}\left\|D^{s^{*}} \frac{\partial E_{\theta} c_{n}(\beta)^{\prime}}{\partial \theta}\right\| \times}{\left(\left\|\theta_{n}^{+}-\theta\right\|+\left\|\beta_{n}^{+}-b(\theta)\right\|\right)\left(\left\|\sqrt{n}\left(\theta_{n}-\theta\right)\right\|^{s^{*}-1}+\left\|\beta_{n}-b(\theta)\right\|^{s^{*}-1}\right)>\gamma_{n}^{*}} \\
\leq & \sup _{\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)} P_{\theta}\left(\frac{2^{s^{*}-1} M}{\left(s^{*}-1\right)!} \frac{\max ^{s^{*}}\left(C, C^{+}\right)}{n^{s^{*} / 2}} \ln ^{s^{*} / 2} n>\gamma_{n}^{*}\right)+o\left(n^{-a^{*}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

which is of order $o\left(n^{-a^{*}}\right)$ for $\gamma_{n}^{*}=\frac{2^{s^{*}-1} M}{\left(s^{*}-1\right)!} \frac{\max ^{s^{*}}\left(C, C^{+}\right)}{n^{s^{*} / 2}} \ln ^{s^{*} / 2} n=o\left(n^{-a^{*}}\right)$ and independent of $\theta$. Furthermore, due to the same assumption and the fact that $c(\theta, b(\theta))=\mathbf{0}$ we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
q_{n}= & E_{\theta_{*}} c_{n}\left(\beta_{*}\right)=\sum_{i_{1}+i_{2}=1}^{s^{*}} \frac{1}{i_{1}!i_{2}!} D^{i_{1}, i_{2}} E_{\theta} c_{n}(b(\theta))\left(\left(\beta_{*}-b(\theta)\right)^{i_{1}},\left(\theta_{*}-\theta\right)^{i_{2}}\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{\left(s^{*}-1\right)!}\left(D^{s^{*}-1}\left(\frac{\partial E_{\theta^{+}} c_{n}\left(\beta^{+}\right)^{\prime}}{\partial \theta}\right)-D^{s^{*}-1}\left(\frac{\partial E_{\theta} c_{n}(b(\theta))^{\prime}}{\partial \theta}\right)\right)\left(\left(\varphi_{*}-\varphi\right)^{s^{*}-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\theta^{+}$lies between $\theta_{*}$ and $\theta$. Hence with $P_{\theta}$-probability $1-o\left(n^{-a^{*}}\right)$ locally independent of $\theta$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sqrt{n} E_{\theta_{n}} c_{n}\left(\beta_{n}\right) \\
= & \sum_{i_{1}+i_{2}=0}^{s^{*}-1} \frac{1}{\left(i_{1}+1\right)!\left(i_{2}+1\right)!} \frac{1}{n^{i_{1} / 2}} \frac{1}{n^{i_{2} / 2}} D^{\left(i_{1}+1\right),\left(i_{2}+1\right)} E_{\theta} c_{n}(b(\theta)) \\
& \left(\left(\sqrt{n}\left(\beta_{n}-b(\theta)\right)\right)^{i_{1}+1},\left(\theta_{n}-\theta\right)^{i_{2}+1}\right)+R_{n}^{\#}\left(\theta_{n}, \theta\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

 and $\theta_{n}^{+}$lies between $\theta_{n}$ and $\theta$. Hence analogously to the previous

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sup _{\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)} P_{\theta}\left(\| \frac{1}{s^{*}!} \frac{1}{n^{\left(s^{*}-1\right) / 2}}\binom{\left.D^{s^{*}} E_{\theta_{n}^{+}} c_{n}\left(\beta_{n}^{+}\right)-D^{s^{*}} E_{\theta} c_{n}(b(\theta))\right) \times \|>\gamma_{n}^{\#}}{\left(\left(\sqrt{n}\left(\varphi_{n}-\varphi\right)\right)^{s^{*}}\right)}\right. \\
& \leq \sup _{\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)} P_{\theta}\binom{\frac{1}{s^{*}!} \frac{1}{n^{\left(s^{*}-1\right) / 2}} \sup _{\varphi \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{n}\left(\varphi_{0}\right)}\left\|D^{s^{*}+1} E_{\theta} c_{n}(\beta)\right\|}{\times\left(\left\|\theta_{n}^{+}-\theta\right\|+\left\|\beta_{n}^{+}-b(\theta)\right\|\right)\left(\left\|\sqrt{n}\left(\theta_{n}-\theta\right)\right\|^{s^{*}}+\left\|\beta_{n}-b(\theta)\right\|^{s^{*}}\right)>\gamma_{n}^{\#}} \\
& \leq \sup _{\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)} P_{\theta}\left(\frac{2^{s^{*}} M}{s^{*}!} \frac{\max ^{s^{*}+1}\left(C, C^{+}\right)}{n^{s^{*} / 2}} \ln ^{\left(s^{*}+1\right) / 2} n>\gamma_{n}^{\#}\right)+o\left(n^{-a^{*}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

which is of order $o\left(n^{-a^{*}}\right)$ for $\gamma_{n}^{\#}=\frac{2^{s^{*}} M}{s^{*}!} \frac{\max ^{s^{*}+1}\left(C, C^{+}\right)}{n^{s^{*} / 2}} \ln ^{\left(s^{*}+1\right) / 2} n=o\left(n^{-a^{*}}\right)$ and independent of $\theta$. Then due to assumption A.13 and the fact that $E_{\theta} c_{n}(\beta)=c(\theta, \beta), \frac{\partial E_{\theta} c_{n}(b(\theta))^{\prime}}{\partial \theta}, \frac{\partial E_{\theta} c_{n}(b(\theta))^{\prime}}{\partial \beta}$ are of full rank for any $\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)$. Finally due to lemma AL. 6 which applies by assumptions A. 8 and A. 7 condition EXPAND holds and the result follows by the same lemma.

## 3 Validity of 1st and 2nd Moment Expansions

Lemma 3.1 Suppose that $K$ is a m-linear real function on $\mathbb{R}^{w}$, the support of an $\mathbb{R}^{w}$ valued random element (say) $\zeta_{n}$ is bounded by $\mathcal{O}_{\sqrt{n} \rho}(0)$ for some
$\rho>0$, and $\zeta_{n}$ admits an Edgeworth expansion of order $s^{*}=2 a+m+1$ then

$$
\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{q}} K\left(z^{m}\right)\left(d P_{n}-\left(1+\sum_{i=1}^{s-1} \frac{\pi_{i}(z)}{n^{\frac{i}{2}}}\right) \varphi_{V}(z) d z\right)\right|=o\left(n^{-a}\right)
$$

where $P_{n}$, and $\left(1+\sum_{i=1}^{s} \frac{\pi_{i}(z)}{n^{\frac{i}{2}}}\right) \varphi_{V}(z)$ denote the distribution of $\zeta_{n}$ and the density of the analogous Edgeworth measure of order $s=2 a+1$ respectively. Moreover if $P_{n}$ depends on $\theta$, and $\pi_{i}(z)$ are continuous on $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)$ for any $z$, $V$ is continuous on $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)$ and the expansion is uniformly valid on $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)$, the approximation holds uniformly on $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)$.

Proof. Let $Q_{n}$ denote the measure with density $\left(1+\sum_{i=1}^{s-1} \frac{\pi_{i}(z)}{n^{\frac{i}{2}}}\right) \varphi_{V}(z)$. Since $2 a+m+1>2 a+1$, we have that $\sup _{A \in \mathcal{B}_{C}}\left|P_{n}(A)-Q_{n}(A)\right|=$ $O\left(n^{-a-\eta}\right)$, where $\eta>0$. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& n^{a}\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{q}} K\left(x^{m}\right)\left(d P_{n}-d Q_{n}\right)\right| \leq n^{a}\left|\int_{\mathcal{O}_{c(\ln n)^{\epsilon}(0)}} K\left(x^{m}\right)\left(d P_{n}-d Q_{n}\right)\right| \\
& +n^{a}\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{q} \backslash \mathcal{O}_{c(\ln n)^{\epsilon}(0)}} K\left(x^{m}\right) d P_{n}\right|+n^{a}\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{q} \backslash \mathcal{O}_{c(\ln n)^{\epsilon}(0)}} K\left(x^{m}\right) d Q_{n}\right| \\
\leq & n^{a} M(\ln n)^{m \epsilon} \int_{\mathcal{O}_{c(\ln n)^{\epsilon}(0)}}\left|d P_{n}-d Q_{n}\right|+n^{a} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{q} \backslash \mathcal{O}_{c(\ln n)^{\epsilon}(0)}}\left|K\left(x^{m}\right)\right|\left(d P_{n}+\left|d Q_{n}\right|\right) \\
\leq & M(\ln n)^{m \epsilon} \sup _{A \in \mathcal{B}_{C}} n^{a}\left|P_{n}(A)-Q_{n}(A)\right|+n^{a} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{q} \backslash \mathcal{O}_{c(\ln n)^{\epsilon}(0)}}\left|K\left(x^{m}\right)\right|\left(d P_{n}+\left|d Q_{n}\right|\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Due to the hypothesis for the support of $P_{n}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& n^{a} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{q} \backslash \mathcal{O}_{c(\ln n)^{\epsilon}(0)}}\left|K\left(x^{m}\right)\right| d P_{n} \\
= & n^{a} \int_{\left[\mathbb{R}^{q} \backslash \mathcal{O}_{\left.c(\ln n)^{\epsilon}(0)\right] \cap \mathcal{O}_{\sqrt{n} \rho}(0)}\right.}\left|K\left(x^{m}\right)\right| d P_{n}+n^{a} \int_{\left[\mathbb{R}^{q} \backslash \mathcal{O}_{c(\ln n)^{\epsilon}}(0)\right] \cap\left(\mathcal{O}_{\sqrt{n} \rho}(0)\right)^{c}}\left|K\left(x^{m}\right)\right| d P_{n} \\
= & n^{a} \int_{\left[\mathbb{R}^{q} \backslash \mathcal{O}_{\left.c(\ln n)^{\epsilon}(0)\right] \cap \mathcal{O}_{\sqrt{n} \rho}(0)}\left|K\left(x^{m}\right)\right| d P_{n}=n^{a} \int_{\mathcal{O}_{\sqrt{n} \rho}(0) \backslash \mathcal{O}_{c(\ln n)^{\epsilon}(0)}}\left|K\left(x^{m}\right)\right| d P_{n}\right.}^{\leq} n^{a+m \beta} \rho^{m} q^{m} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{q}} 1_{\|x\| \mid>c(\ln n)^{\epsilon}} d P_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& n^{a}\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{q}} x^{m}\left(d P_{n}-d Q_{n}\right)\right| \leq M(\ln n)^{m \epsilon} \sup _{A \in \mathcal{B}_{C}} n^{a}\left|P_{n}(A)-Q_{n}(A)\right| \\
& +n^{a+m \beta} \rho^{m} q^{m} P\left(\left\|\zeta_{n}\right\|>c(\ln n)^{\epsilon}\right)+n^{a} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{q} \backslash \mathcal{O}_{c(\ln n)^{\epsilon}(0)}}\left|K\left(x^{m}\right)\right|\left|d Q_{n}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

As $\sup _{A \in \mathcal{B}_{C}} n^{a}\left|P_{n}(A)-Q_{n}(A)\right|=O\left(n^{-\eta}\right)$ for $\eta>0$, we have that

$$
(\ln n)^{2 \epsilon} \sup _{A \in \mathcal{B}_{C}} n^{a}\left|P_{n}(A)-Q_{n}(A)\right|=o(1)
$$

and $n^{a+\frac{m}{2}} \rho^{m} q^{m} P\left(\left\|\zeta_{n}\right\|>c(\ln n)^{\epsilon}\right)=o(1)$ if $\epsilon \geq \frac{1}{2}$ and $c \geq \sqrt{2 a+m+1}$ by lemma 2 of Magdalinos [5]. Finally $n^{a} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{q} \backslash \mathcal{O}_{c(\ln n)^{\epsilon}(0)}}\left|K\left(x^{m}\right)\right|\left|d Q_{n}\right|=o(1)$ due to Gradshteyn and Ryzhik [4] formula 8.357. For the uniform case first notice that

$$
\sup _{\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)} P_{\theta}\left(\left\|\zeta_{n}\right\|>M \ln ^{1 / 2} n\right)=o\left(n^{-a^{*}}\right)
$$

This is due to the fact that the set $\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{q}:\|x\| \leq M \ln ^{1 / 2} n\right\}$ has boundary of Lebesgue measure zero and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sup _{\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)} \int_{\|x\|>M \ln ^{1 / 2} n}\left(1+\sum_{i=1}^{s^{*}} \frac{1}{n^{\frac{i}{2}}}\left|\pi_{i}(x, \theta)\right|\right) \varphi_{V(\theta)}(x) d x \\
\leq & \sup _{\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)} \int_{\|z\|>\frac{M}{\lambda_{\max (\theta)}} \ln ^{1 / 2} n}\left(1+\sum_{i=1}^{s^{*}} \frac{1}{n^{\frac{i}{2}}}\left|\pi_{i}\left(V^{1 / 2}(\theta) z, \theta\right)\right|\right) \varphi(z) d z \\
\leq & \int_{\|z\|>} \frac{M}{\lambda_{\max }\left(\theta^{*}\right)} \ln ^{1 / 2} n \\
& \left(1+\sum_{i=1}^{s^{*}} \frac{1}{n^{\frac{i}{2}}}\left|\pi_{i}\left(V^{1 / 2}\left(\theta_{i}^{*}\right) z, \theta_{i}^{*}\right)\right|\right) \varphi(x) d x
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\lambda_{\max }(\theta)$ denotes the maximum absolute eigenvalue of $V^{1 / 2}(\theta)$ and $\theta_{i}^{*} \in$ $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)$ exist for all $i=1, \ldots, s^{*}$ due to the continuity and are independent of $z$ due to the positivity and the fact that $\pi_{i}$ are polynomials in $x$, and $\theta^{*}$ exists due to continuity of $V$ and the compactness of $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)$. For $M \geq s^{*} \lambda_{\max }\left(\theta^{*}\right)$ the result follows from lemma 2 of Magdalinos [5]. The rest follows in the same spirit of the first part.

Remark R. 1 Notice that in the case that the support of $\zeta_{n}$ is not bounded the previous result would hold for $s^{*}=2 a+m+2$. This follows easily from the previous proof by letting $\rho=\ln ^{\epsilon} n(\epsilon \geq 1 / 2)$ and by the fact that the Edgeworth approximation is uniform w.r.t. the Borel algebra.

In the following we suppress the dependence on $\theta$ and $z$ where possible for notational convenience. For the rest of this section we denote by $b=b(\theta), b_{, j}$ is the $j^{t h}$ element of $b, W^{*}=E_{\theta} W^{*}(\theta), W_{j, j^{\prime}}^{*}$ is the $\left(j, j^{\prime}\right)$ element of $W^{*}$, and analogously for $W^{* *}$ and $\mathcal{C}=\frac{\partial b^{\prime}}{\partial \theta} W^{*} \frac{\partial b}{\partial \theta^{\prime}}$. $z$ denotes a variable with values in the Euclidean space of dimension equal to the dimension of the random vector $m_{n}(\theta)$ in assumption A.8, $k_{i_{\beta}}(z, \theta)=\pi_{i-1}(z, \theta) \operatorname{pr}_{1, q}(z)$, for any $i=1, \ldots, s^{*}, k_{1_{\theta^{*}}}(z, \theta)=\operatorname{pr}_{q+1, p+q}(z)$ if $\theta_{n}^{*}-\theta$ appears in the
vector $m_{n}(\theta)$, otherwise it is $0_{q} . \quad k_{i_{w^{*}}}(z, \theta)$ is the symmetric $q \times q$ matrix, defined as follows: for $j^{\prime} \geq j,\left(k_{i_{w^{*}}}(z, \theta)\right)_{j, j^{\prime}}=z_{q}$ where $q$ is the position of $\left(W_{n}^{*}(\theta)-E_{\theta} W^{*}(\theta)\right)_{j, j^{\prime}}$ if the latter appears in $m_{n}(\theta)$ otherwise it is zero. Analogously, $k_{i_{w^{* *}}}(z, \theta)$ is the symmetric $l \times l$ matrix, defined as follows: for $j^{\prime} \geq j,\left(k_{i_{w^{* *}}}(z, \theta)\right)_{j, j^{\prime}}=z_{q}$ where $q$ is the position of $\left(W_{n}^{* *}(\theta)-E_{\theta} W^{* *}(\theta)\right)_{j, j^{\prime}}$ if the latter appears in $m_{n}(\theta)$ otherwise it is zero.

### 3.1 Valid $2^{\text {nd }}$ order Bias approximation for the Indirect estimators

## GMR1 Estimator

Lemma 3.2 Let $\theta_{n}$ denote the GMR1 estimator. If assumptions A.1, A.2, A.3, A.4, A.6 and A.7, A.8, A.9 and A.10 hold with $s^{*} \geq 3$ then uniformly over $\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}_{\varepsilon}}\left(\theta_{0}\right)$

$$
\left\|E_{\theta} \sqrt{n}\left(\theta_{n}-\theta\right)-\frac{\xi_{1}(\theta)}{\sqrt{n}}\right\|=o\left(n^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\xi_{1}(\theta)= & \mathcal{C}^{-1} \frac{\partial b^{\prime}}{\partial \theta} W^{*} \mathcal{I}_{\varphi_{V^{*}}}\left(k_{2_{\beta}}\right) \\
& -\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{C}^{-1} \frac{\partial b^{\prime}}{\partial \theta} W^{*} \mathcal{I}_{\varphi_{V^{*}}}\left(\left[\left(\mathcal{C}^{-1} \frac{\partial b^{\prime}}{\partial \theta} W^{*} k_{1_{\beta}}\right)^{\prime} \frac{\partial b_{j}}{\partial \theta \partial \theta^{\prime}} \mathcal{C}^{-1} \frac{\partial b^{\prime}}{\partial \theta} W^{*} k_{1_{\beta}}\right]_{j=1, \ldots, q}\right) \\
& +\mathcal{C}^{-1} \mathcal{I}_{\varphi_{V^{*}}}\left(\binom{\left[\left(\mathcal{C}^{-1} \frac{\partial b^{\prime}}{\partial \theta} W^{*} k_{1_{\beta}}\right)^{\prime} \frac{\partial^{2} b^{\prime}}{\partial \theta \partial \theta_{j}}\right]_{j=1, \ldots, p} W^{*}(\theta)}{+\frac{\partial b^{\prime}}{\partial \theta} k_{1_{w^{*}}}+\frac{\partial b^{\prime}}{\partial \theta}\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^{\prime}} W_{j, j^{\prime}}^{*} k_{1_{\theta^{*}}}\right]_{j, j^{\prime}=1, \ldots, q}}\left(I d_{q}-\frac{\partial b}{\partial \theta^{\prime}} \mathcal{C}^{-1} \frac{\partial b^{\prime}}{\partial \theta} W^{*}\right) k_{1_{\beta}}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mathcal{C}=\frac{\partial b^{\prime}}{\partial \theta} W^{*} \frac{\partial b}{\partial \theta^{\prime}}$.
Proof. Our assumptions and lemmas 2.2, 3.1 ensure the validity of the mean approximation. Then the relevant moment approximation can be obtained if $\sqrt{n}\left(\beta_{n}-b\left(\theta_{n}\right)\right)$ is approximated by (see theorem 3.1 of Arvanitis and Demos 1])
$\sqrt{n}\left(\beta_{n}-b(\theta)\right)-\frac{\partial b}{\partial \theta^{\prime}} \sqrt{n}\left(\theta_{n}-\theta\right)-\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{n}}\left[\sqrt{n}\left(\theta_{n}-\theta\right)^{\prime} \frac{\partial b_{j}}{\partial \theta \partial \theta^{\prime}} \sqrt{n}\left(\theta_{n}-\theta\right)\right]_{j=1, \ldots, q}$
Moreover $W_{n}^{*}\left(\theta_{n}^{*}\right)$ is appropriately approximated by

$$
W_{n}^{*}(\theta)+\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^{\prime}} W_{n}^{*}(\theta)_{j, j^{\prime}} \sqrt{n}\left(\theta_{n}^{*}-\theta\right)\right]_{j, j=1, \ldots, q}
$$

that is by

$$
W^{*}(\theta)+\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} k_{1_{w^{*}}}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^{\prime}} W^{*}(\theta)_{j, j^{\prime}} k_{1_{\theta^{*}}}\right]_{j, j^{\prime}=1, \ldots, q}
$$

and analogously $\frac{\partial b^{\prime}\left(\theta_{n}\right)}{\partial \theta}$ is appropriately approximated by

$$
\frac{\partial b^{\prime}}{\partial \theta}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\left[\sqrt{n}\left(\theta_{n}^{*}-\theta\right)^{\prime} \frac{\partial^{2} b_{j}}{\partial \theta \partial \theta^{\prime}}\right]_{j=1, \ldots, l}
$$

hence by

$$
\frac{\partial b^{\prime}}{\partial \theta}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\left[\sqrt{n}\left(\theta_{n}-\theta\right)^{\prime} \frac{\partial^{2} b^{\prime}}{\partial \theta \partial \theta_{j}}\right]_{j=1, \ldots, p}
$$

Therefore an appropriate approximation for $\sqrt{n}\left(\theta_{n}-\theta\right)$ is obtained by inverting

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\frac{\partial b^{\prime}}{\partial \theta} W^{*}(\theta)+\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\binom{\left[\sqrt{n}\left(\theta_{n}-\theta\right)^{\prime} \frac{\partial^{2} b^{\prime}}{\partial \theta \partial \theta_{j}}\right]_{j=1, \ldots, p} W^{*}(\theta)}{+\frac{\partial b^{\prime}}{\partial \theta} k_{1_{w^{*}}}+\frac{\partial b^{\prime}}{\partial \theta}\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^{\prime}} W^{*}(\theta)_{j, j^{\prime}} k_{1_{\theta^{*}}}\right]_{j, j^{\prime}=1, \ldots, q}}\right) \times \\
& \left(\sqrt{n}\left(\beta_{n}-b(\theta)\right)-\frac{\partial b}{\partial \theta^{\prime}} \sqrt{n}\left(\theta_{n}-\theta\right)-\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{n}}\left[\sqrt{n}\left(\theta_{n}-\theta\right)^{\prime} \frac{\partial b_{j}}{\partial \theta \partial \theta^{\prime}} \sqrt{n}\left(\theta_{n}-\theta\right)\right]_{j=1, \ldots, q}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and for $\mathcal{C}=\frac{\partial b^{\prime}}{\partial \theta} W^{*} \frac{\partial b}{\partial \theta^{\prime}}, \sqrt{n}\left(\theta_{n}-\theta\right)$ is approximated by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{C}^{-1} \frac{\partial b^{\prime}}{\partial \theta} W^{*}(\theta) \sqrt{n}\left(\beta_{n}-b(\theta)\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \mathcal{C}^{-1}\binom{\left[\left(\mathcal{C}^{-1} \frac{\partial b^{\prime}}{\partial \theta} W^{*}(\theta) k_{1_{\beta}}\right)^{\prime} \frac{\partial^{2} b^{\prime}}{\partial \theta \partial \theta_{j}}\right]_{j=1, \ldots, p}}{+\frac{\partial b^{\prime}}{\partial \theta} k_{1_{w^{*}}}+\frac{\partial b^{\prime}}{\partial \theta}\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} W^{*}(\theta)_{j, j^{\prime}} k_{1_{\theta^{*}}}\right]_{j, j^{\prime}=1, \ldots, q}^{*}(\theta)}\left(I d_{q}-\frac{\partial b}{\partial \theta^{\prime}} \mathcal{C}^{-1} \frac{\partial b^{\prime}}{\partial \theta} W^{*}(\theta)\right) k_{1_{\beta}} \\
& -\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{n}} \mathcal{C}^{-1} \frac{\partial b^{\prime}}{\partial \theta} W^{*}(\theta)\left[\left(\mathcal{C}^{-1} \frac{\partial b^{\prime}}{\partial \theta} W^{*}(\theta) k_{1_{\beta}}\right)^{\prime} \frac{\partial b_{j}}{\partial \theta \partial \theta^{\prime}} \mathcal{C}^{-1} \frac{\partial b^{\prime}}{\partial \theta} W^{*}(\theta) k_{1_{\beta}}\right]_{j=1, \ldots, q} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Integrating with respect to $\left(1+\frac{\pi_{1}(z, \theta)}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \varphi_{V^{*}(\theta)}(z)$, noting that $k_{1_{\beta}}(z, \theta)=z$, $k_{2_{\beta}}(z, \theta)=z \pi_{1}(z, \theta)$ we obtain that

$$
\left\|E_{\theta} \sqrt{n}\left(\theta_{n}-\theta\right)-\frac{\xi_{1}(\theta)}{\sqrt{n}}\right\|=o\left(n^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\xi_{1}(\theta)= & \mathcal{C}^{-1} \frac{\partial b^{\prime}}{\partial \theta} W^{*} \mathcal{I}_{\varphi_{V^{*}}}\left(k_{2_{\beta}}\right) \\
& -\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{C}^{-1} \frac{\partial b^{\prime}}{\partial \theta} W^{*} \mathcal{I}_{\varphi_{V^{*}}}\left(\left[\left(\mathcal{C}^{-1} \frac{\partial b^{\prime}}{\partial \theta} W^{*} k_{1_{\beta}}\right)^{\prime} \frac{\partial b_{j}}{\partial \theta \partial \theta^{\prime}} \mathcal{C}^{-1} \frac{\partial b^{\prime}}{\partial \theta} W^{*} k_{1_{\beta}}\right]_{j=1, \ldots, q}\right) \\
& +\mathcal{C}^{-1} \mathcal{I}_{\varphi_{V^{*}}}\left(\binom{\left[\left(\mathcal{C}^{-1} \frac{\partial b^{\prime}}{\partial \theta} W^{*} k_{1_{\beta}}\right)^{\prime} \frac{\partial^{2} b^{\prime}}{\partial \theta \partial \theta_{j}}\right]_{j=1, \ldots, p} W^{*}(\theta)}{+\frac{\partial b^{\prime}}{\partial \theta} k_{1_{w^{*}}}+\frac{\partial b^{\prime}}{\partial \theta}\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^{\prime}} W_{j, j^{\prime}}^{*} k_{1_{\theta^{*}}}\right]_{j, j^{\prime}=1, \ldots, q}}\left(I d_{q}-\frac{\partial b}{\partial \theta^{\prime}} \mathcal{C}^{-1} \frac{\partial b^{\prime}}{\partial \theta} W^{*}\right) k_{1_{\beta}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where the dependences of $W^{*}(\theta)$ and $b(\theta)$ on $\theta$ have been suppressed.
It follows trivially.
Corollary 1 When $W^{*}$ is independent of $x$ and $\theta$ and $b(\theta)$ is affine then

$$
\xi_{1}(\theta)=\mathcal{C}^{-1} \frac{\partial b^{\prime}}{\partial \theta} W^{*} \mathcal{I}_{V}\left(k_{2_{\beta}}\right)
$$

## GMR2 Estimator

Lemma 3.3 Let $\theta_{n}$ denote the GMR2 estimator. If assumptions A.1, A.2, A.3, A.4, A.6 and A.7, A.8, A.9, A.10 and A.11 hold for $s^{*} \geq 4$ then uniformly over $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon_{*}}\left(\theta_{0}\right)$ for any $\varepsilon_{*}<\varepsilon$

$$
\left\|E_{\theta} \sqrt{n}\left(\theta_{n}-\theta\right)-\frac{\xi_{2}(\theta)}{\sqrt{n}}\right\|=o\left(n^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)
$$

where

$$
\xi_{2}(\theta)=\xi_{1}(\theta)-\mathcal{C}^{-1} \frac{\partial b^{\prime}}{\partial \theta} W^{*} \mathcal{I}_{V}\left(k_{2_{\beta}}\right)
$$

Proof. The assumptions and lemmas 2.2, 3.1 ensure the validity of the mean approximation uniformly over $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon_{*}}\left(\theta_{0}\right)$. Furthermore from lemma AL. 7 we get that $\sup _{\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon_{*}}\left(\theta_{0}\right)}\left\|D E_{\theta} \beta_{n}-D b(\theta)-\frac{1}{n} D \mathcal{I}_{\varphi_{V^{*}}}\left(k_{2_{\beta}}\right)(\theta)\right\|=o$ (1) (recall that $\left.\mathcal{I}_{\varphi_{V^{*}}}\left(k_{1_{\beta}}\right)=\mathbf{0}\right)$. Then again from theorem 3.1 of Arvanitis and Demos [1] we get that the relevant moment approximation can be obtained if $\sqrt{n}\left(\beta_{n}-E_{\theta_{n}} \beta_{n}\right)$ is approximated by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sqrt{n}\left(\beta_{n}-b(\theta)\right)-\frac{\mathcal{I}_{\varphi_{V^{*}}}\left(k_{2_{\beta}}\right)}{\sqrt{n}}-\left(\frac{\partial b}{\partial \theta^{\prime}}+\frac{1}{n} \frac{\partial \mathcal{I}_{\varphi_{V^{*}}}\left(k_{2_{\beta}}\right)}{\partial \theta^{\prime}}\right) \sqrt{n}\left(\theta_{n}-\theta\right) \\
& -\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{n}}\left[\sqrt{n}\left(\theta_{n}-\theta\right)^{\prime} \frac{\partial\left(b+\frac{1}{n} \mathcal{I}_{\varphi_{V^{*}}}\left(k_{2_{\beta}}\right)\right)_{j}}{\partial \theta \partial \theta^{\prime}} \sqrt{n}\left(\theta_{n}-\theta\right)\right]_{j=1, \ldots, q}
\end{aligned}
$$

$W_{n}^{*}\left(\theta_{n}^{*}\right)$ is the same as the proof of lemma 3.2 before and analogously $\frac{\partial E_{\theta_{n}} \beta_{n}}{\partial \theta}$ is appropriately approximated by

$$
\frac{\partial\left(b+\frac{1}{n} \mathcal{I}_{\varphi_{V^{*}}}\left(k_{2_{\beta}}\right)\right)^{\prime}}{\partial \theta}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\left[\sqrt{n}\left(\theta_{n}-\theta\right)^{\prime} \frac{\partial^{2}\left(b+\frac{1}{n} \mathcal{I}_{\varphi_{V^{*}}}\left(k_{2_{\beta}}\right)\right)^{\prime}}{\partial \theta \partial \theta_{j}}\right]_{j=1, \ldots, p}
$$

hence by

$$
\frac{\partial b^{\prime}}{\partial \theta}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\left[\sqrt{n}\left(\theta_{n}-\theta\right)^{\prime} \frac{\partial^{2} b^{\prime}}{\partial \theta \partial \theta_{j}}\right]_{j=1, \ldots, p}
$$

In this respect an approximation for $\sqrt{n}\left(\theta_{n}-\theta\right)$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{C}^{-1} \frac{\partial b^{\prime}}{\partial \theta} W^{*} \sqrt{n}\left(\beta_{n}-b(\theta)\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \mathcal{C}^{-1}\left[\begin{array}{c}
{\left[\left(\mathcal{C}^{-1} \frac{\partial b^{\prime}}{\partial \theta} W^{*}(\theta) k_{1_{\beta}}\right)^{\prime} \frac{\partial^{2} b^{\prime}}{\partial \theta \partial \theta_{j}}\right]_{j=1, \ldots, p} W^{*}} \\
+\frac{\partial b^{\prime}}{\partial \theta} k_{1_{w^{*}}}+\frac{\partial b^{\prime}}{\partial \theta}\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^{\prime}} W^{*}(\theta)_{j, j^{\prime}} k_{1_{\theta^{*}}}\right]_{j, j^{\prime}=1, \ldots, q}
\end{array}\right]\left(I d_{q}-\mathcal{C}^{-1} \frac{\partial b^{\prime}}{\partial \theta} W^{*}(\theta)\right) k_{1_{\beta}} \\
& -\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \mathcal{C}^{-1} \frac{\partial b^{\prime}}{\partial \theta} W^{*}\left[\mathcal{I}_{\varphi_{V^{*}}}\left(k_{2_{\beta}}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left[\sqrt{n}\left(\theta_{n}-\theta\right)^{\prime} \frac{\partial b_{j}}{\partial \theta \partial \theta^{\prime}} \sqrt{n}\left(\theta_{n}-\theta\right)\right]_{j=1, \ldots, q}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Integrating with respect to $\left(1+\frac{\pi_{1}(z, \theta)}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \varphi_{V^{*}(\theta)}(z)$, noting that $k_{1_{\beta}}(z, \theta)=z$, $k_{2_{\beta}}(z, \theta)=z \pi_{1}(z, \theta)$ we obtain that

$$
\left\|E_{\theta} \sqrt{n}\left(\theta_{n}-\theta\right)-\frac{\xi_{2}(\theta)}{\sqrt{n}}\right\|=o\left(n^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\xi_{2}(\theta)= & -\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{C}^{-1} \frac{\partial b^{\prime}}{\partial \theta} W^{*} \mathcal{I}_{\varphi_{V^{*}}}\left(\left[k_{1_{\beta}}^{\prime} W^{*} \frac{\partial b}{\partial \theta^{\prime}} \mathcal{C}^{-1} \frac{\partial b_{j}}{\partial \theta \partial \theta^{\prime}} \mathcal{C}^{-1} \frac{\partial b^{\prime}}{\partial \theta} W^{*} k_{1_{\beta}}\right]_{j=1, \ldots, q}\right) \\
& +\mathcal{C}^{-1} \mathcal{I}_{\varphi_{V^{*}}}\left(\left[\begin{array}{c}
{\left[k_{1_{\beta}}^{\prime} W^{*} \frac{\partial b}{\partial \theta^{\prime}} \mathcal{C}^{-1} \frac{\partial^{2} b^{\prime}}{\partial \theta \partial \theta_{j}}\right]_{j=1, \ldots, p^{p}} W^{*}} \\
+\frac{\partial b^{\prime}}{\partial \theta} k_{1_{w^{*}}}+\frac{\partial b^{\prime}}{\partial \theta}\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^{\prime}} W_{j, j^{\prime}}^{*} k_{1_{\theta^{*}}}\right]_{j, j^{\prime}=1, \ldots, q}
\end{array}\right]\left(\operatorname{Id}_{q}-\mathcal{C}^{-1} \frac{\partial b^{\prime}}{\partial \theta} W^{*}\right) k_{1_{\beta}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where the dependences of $W^{*}(\theta)$ and $b(\theta)$ on $\theta$ have been suppressed. Taking into account the expression of $\xi_{1}(\theta)$ in lemma 3.2 we get the result.

The following corollary is trivial and establishes general conditions under which the GMR2 estimator is second order unbiased.

Corollary 2 When $W^{*}$ is independent of $x$ and $\theta$ and $b(\theta)$ is affine then $\xi_{2}(\theta)=\mathbf{0}_{p}$.

GT Estimator Denoting with $\mathcal{D}=\frac{\partial b^{\prime}}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial c(\theta, b)}{\partial \beta^{\prime}} W^{* *}(\theta) \frac{\partial c(\theta, b)}{\partial \beta^{\prime}} \frac{\partial b}{\partial \theta^{\prime}}, \mathcal{E}=\frac{\partial b^{\prime}}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial c(\theta, b)}{\partial \beta^{\prime}} W^{* *}(\theta)$, $\mathcal{H}_{j}=\frac{\partial b^{\prime}}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial^{2} c_{j}(\theta, b)}{\partial \beta \partial \beta^{\prime}} \frac{\partial b}{\partial \theta^{\prime}}-\left[\frac{\partial c_{j}(\theta, b)}{\partial \beta^{\prime}} \frac{\partial^{2} b}{\partial \theta^{\prime} \partial \theta_{r}}\right]_{r=1, \ldots, p}, \mathcal{J}=k_{1_{w^{* *}}}+\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^{\prime}} W^{* *}(\theta)_{j, j^{\prime}} k_{1_{\theta^{*}}}\right]_{j, j^{\prime}=1, \ldots, l}$, $\mathcal{J}^{*}=\left(\frac{\partial c(\theta, b)}{\partial \beta^{\prime}} \frac{\partial b}{\partial \theta^{\prime}} \mathcal{D}^{-1} \mathcal{E}-\mathrm{Id}_{l}\right) \frac{\partial c(\theta, b)}{\partial \beta^{\prime}}$ and $q_{1_{\beta}}=\mathcal{D}^{-1} \mathcal{E} \frac{\partial c(\theta, b)}{\partial \beta^{\prime}} k_{1_{\beta}}$ we obtain the following lemma.

Lemma 3.4 Using A.12 suppose that $E_{\theta} c_{n}(\beta)=c(\theta, \beta)$. Furthermore let A.1, A.2, A.3, A.4, A.6, A.7, A.8, A.13 hold for $s^{*} \geq 3$, then uniformly on $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)$

$$
\left\|E_{\theta} \sqrt{n}\left(\theta_{n}-\theta\right)-\frac{\xi_{3}(\theta)}{\sqrt{n}}\right\|=o\left(n^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\xi_{3}(\theta)= & \mathcal{D}^{-1} \mathcal{E} \frac{\partial c(\theta, b)}{\partial \beta^{\prime}} \mathcal{I}_{V}\left(k_{2_{\beta}}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{D}^{-1} \mathcal{E}\left[\mathcal{I}_{V}\left(k_{1_{\beta}}^{\prime} \frac{\partial^{2} c_{j}(\theta, b)}{\partial \beta \partial \beta^{\prime}} k_{1_{\beta}}\right)\right]_{j=1, \ldots, l} \\
& -\mathcal{D}^{-1} \mathcal{E}\left[\mathcal{I}_{V}\left(q_{1_{\beta}}^{\prime} \frac{\partial b^{\prime}}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial^{2} c_{j}(\theta, b)}{\partial \beta \partial \beta^{\prime}} k_{1_{\beta}}\right)\right]_{j=1, \ldots, l}+\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{D}^{-1} \mathcal{E}\left[\mathcal{I}_{V}\left(q_{1_{\beta}}^{\prime} \mathcal{H}_{j} q_{1_{\beta}}\right)\right]_{j=1, \ldots, l} \\
& +\mathcal{D}^{-1} \mathcal{I}_{V}\left(\left[\mathcal{H}_{j} q_{1_{\beta}}-\left.\frac{\partial b^{\prime}}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial^{2} c_{j}(\theta, \beta)}{\partial \beta \partial \beta^{\prime}}\right|_{b} k_{1_{\beta}}\right]_{j=1, \ldots, l} W^{* *}(\theta) \mathcal{J}^{*} k_{1_{\beta}}\right) \\
& -\mathcal{D}^{-1} \frac{\partial b^{\prime}}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial c^{\prime}(\theta, b)}{\partial \beta} \mathcal{I}_{V}\left(\mathcal{J} \mathcal{J}^{*} k_{1_{\beta}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof: The assumptions and lemmas 2.2, 3.1 ensure the validity of the mean approximation. Then theorem 3.1 of Arvanitis and Demos implies that the relevant moment approximation can be obtained as follows. Due to the fact that $c(\theta, b(\theta))=\mathbf{0}_{l}$ we obtain, by the implicit function theorem, that

$$
\left.\frac{\partial c(\theta, \beta)}{\partial \beta^{\prime}}\right|_{b} \frac{\partial b}{\partial \theta^{\prime}}=-\left.\frac{\partial c(\theta, \beta)}{\partial \theta^{\prime}}\right|_{b}
$$

Moreover as $\frac{\partial c(\theta, b(\theta))}{\partial \theta^{\prime}}=\mathbf{0}_{l x p}$ we have, by the same theorem, that for any $j$, we obtain that

$$
\left.\frac{\partial^{2} c_{j}(\theta, \beta)}{\partial \beta \partial \theta^{\prime}}\right|_{b}=-\left.\frac{\partial^{2} c_{j}(\theta, \beta)}{\partial \beta \partial \beta^{\prime}}\right|_{b} \frac{\partial b}{\partial \theta^{\prime}},
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^{\prime}}\left(\left.\frac{\partial c_{j}(\theta, \beta)}{\partial \theta}\right|_{b}+\left.\frac{\partial b^{\prime}}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial c_{j}(\theta, \beta)}{\partial \beta}\right|_{b}\right)=\left.\frac{\partial^{2} c_{j}(\theta, \beta)}{\partial \theta \partial \theta^{\prime}}\right|_{b} \\
& +\left.\frac{\partial^{2} c_{j}(\theta, \beta)}{\partial \theta \partial \beta^{\prime}}\right|_{b} \frac{\partial b}{\partial \theta^{\prime}}+\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^{\prime}}\left(\left.\frac{\partial b^{\prime}}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial c_{j}(\theta, \beta)}{\partial \beta}\right|_{b}\right) \\
= & \left.\frac{\partial^{2} c_{j}(\theta, \beta)}{\partial \theta \partial \theta^{\prime}}\right|_{b}+\left.\frac{\partial^{2} c_{j}(\theta, \beta)}{\partial \theta \partial \beta^{\prime}}\right|_{b} \frac{\partial b}{\partial \theta^{\prime}}+\left[\left.\frac{\partial^{2} b^{\prime}}{\partial \theta \partial \theta_{j^{\prime}}} \frac{\partial c_{j}(\theta, \beta)}{\partial \beta}\right|_{b}\right]_{j^{\prime}=1, \ldots, p} \\
= & \left.\frac{\partial^{2} c_{j}(\theta, \beta)}{\partial \theta \partial \theta^{\prime}}\right|_{b}-\left.\frac{\partial b^{\prime}}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial^{2} c_{j}(\theta, \beta)}{\partial \beta \partial \beta^{\prime}}\right|_{b} \frac{\partial b}{\partial \theta^{\prime}}+\left[\left.\frac{\partial^{2} b^{\prime}}{\partial \theta \partial \theta_{j^{\prime}}} \frac{\partial c_{j}(\theta, \beta)}{\partial \beta}\right|_{b}\right]_{j^{\prime}=1, \ldots, p}
\end{aligned}
$$

and therefore

$$
\left.\frac{\partial^{2} c_{j}(\theta, \beta)}{\partial \theta \partial \theta^{\prime}}\right|_{b}=\left.\frac{\partial b^{\prime}}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial^{2} c_{j}(\theta, \beta)}{\partial \beta \partial \beta^{\prime}}\right|_{b} \frac{\partial b}{\partial \theta^{\prime}}-\left[\left.\frac{\partial^{2} b^{\prime}}{\partial \theta \partial \theta_{j^{\prime}}} \frac{\partial c_{j}(\theta, \beta)}{\partial \beta}\right|_{b}\right]_{j^{\prime}=1, \ldots, p}
$$

Now

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sqrt{n} c\left(\theta_{n}, \beta_{n}\right)= & \frac{\partial c(\theta, b)}{\partial \beta^{\prime}} \sqrt{n}\left(\beta_{n}-b\right)-\frac{\partial c(\theta, b)}{\partial \beta^{\prime}} \frac{\partial b}{\partial \theta^{\prime}} \sqrt{n}\left(\theta_{n}-\theta\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{n}}\left[\operatorname{tr} \sqrt{n}\left(\beta_{n}-b\right) \sqrt{n}\left(\beta_{n}-b\right)^{\prime} \frac{\partial^{2} c_{j}(\theta, b)}{\partial \beta \partial \beta^{\prime}}\right]_{j=1, \ldots, l} \\
& +\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\left[\operatorname{tr} \sqrt{n}\left(\beta_{n}-b\right) \sqrt{n}\left(\theta_{n}-\theta\right)^{\prime} \frac{\partial^{2} c_{j}(\theta, \beta)}{\partial \beta \partial \theta^{\prime}}\right]_{j=1, \ldots, l} \\
& +\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{n}}\left[\operatorname{tr} \sqrt{n}\left(\theta_{n}-\theta\right) \sqrt{n}\left(\theta_{n}-\theta\right)^{\prime} \frac{\partial^{2} c_{j}(\theta, \beta)}{\partial \theta \partial \theta^{\prime}}\right]_{j=1, \ldots, l}
\end{aligned}
$$

and it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sqrt{n} c\left(\theta_{n}, \beta_{n}\right)=\frac{\partial c(\theta, b)}{\partial \beta^{\prime}} k_{1_{\beta}}-\frac{\partial c(\theta, b)}{\partial \beta^{\prime}} \frac{\partial b}{\partial \theta^{\prime}} \sqrt{n}\left(\theta_{n}-\theta\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{n}}\left[\operatorname{trk}_{1_{\beta}} k_{1_{\beta}}^{\prime} \frac{\partial^{2} c_{j}(\theta, b)}{\partial \beta \partial \beta^{\prime}}\right]_{j=1, \ldots, l} \\
& -\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\left[\left.\operatorname{tr} k_{1_{\beta}} \sqrt{n}\left(\theta_{n}-\theta\right)^{\prime} \frac{\partial^{2} c_{j}(\theta, \beta)}{\partial \beta \partial \beta^{\prime}}\right|_{b} \frac{\partial b}{\partial \theta^{\prime}}\right]_{j=1, \ldots, l}
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover $W_{n}^{* *}\left(\theta_{n}^{*}\right)$ is appropriately approximated by

$$
W_{n}^{* *}\left(\theta_{n}^{*}\right)=W_{n}^{* *}(\theta)+\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^{\prime}} W_{n}^{* *}(\theta)_{r j} \sqrt{n}\left(\theta_{n}^{*}-\theta\right)\right]_{r, j=1, \ldots, l}
$$

that is by

$$
W_{n}^{* *}\left(\theta_{n}^{*}\right)=W^{* *}(\theta)+\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} k_{1_{w^{* *}}}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^{\prime}} W^{* *}(\theta)_{j, j^{\prime}} k_{1_{\theta^{*}}}\right]_{j, j^{\prime}=1, \ldots, l}
$$

and analogously $\frac{\partial c^{\prime}\left(\theta_{n}, \beta_{n}\right)}{\partial \theta}$ is appropriately approximated by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial c^{\prime}(\theta, b)}{\partial \theta}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\left[\left.\frac{\partial^{2} c_{j}(\theta, \beta)}{\partial \theta \partial \beta^{\prime}}\right|_{b} \sqrt{n}\left(\beta_{n}-b\right)\right]_{j=1, \ldots, l}^{\prime} \\
& +\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\left[\left.\frac{\partial^{2} c_{j}(\theta, \beta)}{\partial \theta \partial \theta^{\prime}}\right|_{b} \sqrt{n}\left(\theta_{n}-\theta\right)\right]_{j=1, \ldots, l}^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

that is by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial c^{\prime}\left(\theta_{n}, \beta_{n}\right)}{\partial \theta}=-\frac{\partial b^{\prime}}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial c^{\prime}(\theta, b)}{\partial \beta}-\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\left[\left.\frac{\partial b^{\prime}}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial^{2} c_{j}(\theta, \beta)}{\partial \beta \partial \beta^{\prime}}\right|_{b} k_{1_{\beta}}\right]_{j=1, \ldots, l} \\
& +\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\left[\binom{\left.\frac{\partial b^{\prime}}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial^{2} c_{j}(\theta, \beta)}{\partial \beta \partial \beta^{\prime}}\right|_{b} \frac{\partial b}{\partial \theta^{\prime}}}{-\left[\left.\frac{\partial c_{j}(\theta, \beta)}{\partial \beta^{\prime}}\right|_{b} \frac{\partial^{2} b}{\partial \theta^{\prime} \partial \theta_{j^{\prime}}}\right]_{j^{\prime}=1, \ldots, p}} \sqrt{n}\left(\theta_{n}-\theta\right)\right]_{j=1, \ldots, l} . \\
& 0=-\frac{\partial b^{\prime}}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial c^{\prime}(\theta, b)}{\partial \beta} W^{* *}(\theta) \frac{\partial c(\theta, b)}{\partial \beta^{\prime}} k_{1_{\beta}} \\
& +\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\left\{\begin{array}{c}
-\left[\left.\frac{\partial b^{\prime}}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial^{2} c_{j}(\theta, \beta)}{\partial \beta \partial \beta^{\prime}}\right|_{b} k_{1_{\beta}}\right]_{j=1, \ldots, l} W^{* *}(\theta) \\
+\left[\binom{\left.\frac{\partial b^{\prime}}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial^{2} c_{j}(\theta, \beta)}{\partial \beta \partial \beta^{\prime}}\right|_{b} \frac{\partial b}{\partial \theta^{\prime}}}{-\left[\left.\frac{\partial c_{j}(\theta, \beta)}{\partial \beta^{\prime}}\right|_{b} \frac{\partial^{2} b}{\partial \theta^{\prime} \partial \theta_{j^{\prime}}}\right]_{j^{\prime}=1, \ldots, p}}^{\left[\sqrt{n}\left(\theta_{n}-\theta\right)\right.}\right]_{j=1, \ldots, l} W^{* *}(\theta) \\
-\frac{\partial b^{\prime}}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial c^{\prime}(\theta, b)}{\partial \beta} k_{1_{w^{* *}}}-\frac{\partial b^{\prime}}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial c^{\prime}(\theta, b)}{\partial \beta}\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^{\prime}} W^{* *}(\theta)_{j, j^{\prime}} k_{1_{\theta^{*}}}\right]_{j, j^{\prime}=1, \ldots, l}
\end{array}\right\} \frac{\partial c(\theta, b)}{\partial \beta^{\prime}} k_{1_{\beta}} \\
& +\frac{\partial b^{\prime}}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial c^{\prime}(\theta, b)}{\partial \beta} W^{* *}(\theta) \frac{\partial c(\theta, b)}{\partial \beta^{\prime}} \frac{\partial b}{\partial \theta^{\prime}} \sqrt{n}\left(\theta_{n}-\theta\right) \\
& -\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\left\{\begin{array}{c}
-\left[\left.\frac{\partial b^{\prime}}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial^{2} c_{j}(\theta, \beta)}{\partial \beta \partial \beta^{\prime}}\right|_{b} k_{1_{\beta}}\right]_{j=1, \ldots, l} W^{* *}(\theta) \\
+\left[\binom{\frac{\partial b^{\prime}}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial^{2} c_{j}(\theta, b)}{\partial \beta \partial \beta^{\prime}} \frac{\partial b}{\partial \theta^{\prime}}}{-\left[\frac{\partial c_{j}(\theta, b)}{\partial \beta^{\prime}} \frac{\partial^{2} b}{\partial \theta^{\prime} \partial \theta_{j^{\prime}}}\right]_{j^{\prime}=1, \ldots, p}}^{2} \sqrt{n}\left(\theta_{n}-\theta\right)\right]_{j=1, \ldots, l} W^{* *}(\theta) \\
-\frac{\partial b^{\prime}}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial c^{\prime}(\theta, b)}{\partial \beta} k_{1_{w^{* *}}}-\frac{\partial b^{\prime}}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial c^{\prime}(\theta, b)}{\partial \beta}\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^{\prime}} W^{* *}(\theta)_{j, j^{\prime}} k_{1_{\theta^{*}}}\right]_{j, j^{\prime}=1, \ldots, l}
\end{array}\right\} \\
& \times \frac{\partial c(\theta, b)}{\partial \beta^{\prime}} \frac{\partial b}{\partial \theta^{\prime}} \sqrt{n}\left(\theta_{n}-\theta\right) \\
& -\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{n}} \frac{\partial b^{\prime}}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial c^{\prime}(\theta, b)}{\partial \beta} W^{* *}(\theta)\left[\operatorname{tr} k_{1_{\beta}} k_{1_{\beta}}^{\prime} \frac{\partial^{2} c_{j}(\theta, b)}{\partial \beta \partial \beta^{\prime}}\right]_{j=1, \ldots, l} \\
& +\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \frac{\partial b^{\prime}}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial c^{\prime}(\theta, b)}{\partial \beta} W^{* *}(\theta)\left[\left.t r k_{1_{\beta}} \sqrt{n}\left(\theta_{n}-\theta\right)^{/} \frac{\partial b^{\prime}}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial^{2} c_{j}(\theta, \beta)}{\partial \beta \partial \beta^{\prime}}\right|_{b}\right]_{j=1, \ldots, l}
\end{aligned}
$$

$-\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{n}} \frac{\partial b^{\prime}}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial c^{\prime}(\theta, b)}{\partial \beta} W^{* *}(\theta)\left[\operatorname{tr} \sqrt{n}\left(\theta_{n}-\theta\right) \sqrt{n}\left(\theta_{n}-\theta\right)^{\prime}\binom{\left.\frac{\partial b^{\prime}}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial^{2} c_{j}(\theta, \beta)}{\partial \beta \partial \beta^{\prime}}\right|_{b} \frac{\partial b}{\partial \theta^{\prime}}}{-\left[\left.\frac{\partial^{2} b^{\prime}}{\partial \theta \partial \theta_{j^{\prime}}} \frac{\partial c_{j}(\theta, \beta)}{\partial \beta}\right|_{b}\right]_{j^{\prime}=1, \ldots, p}}\right]_{j=1, \ldots, l}$ $\mathcal{E}=\frac{\partial b^{\prime}}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial c^{\prime}(\theta, b)}{\partial \beta} W^{* *}(\theta)$, It follows that $\sqrt{n}\left(\theta_{n}-\theta\right)=\mathcal{D}^{-1} \mathcal{E} \frac{\partial c(\theta, b)}{\partial \beta^{\prime}} k_{1_{\beta}}$

$\times \frac{\partial c(\theta, b)}{\partial \beta^{\prime}} \frac{\partial b}{\partial \theta^{\prime}} \sqrt{n}\left(\theta_{n}-\theta\right)+\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{n}} \mathcal{D}^{-1} \mathcal{E}\left[\operatorname{tr}_{1_{\beta}} k_{1_{\beta}}^{\prime} \frac{\partial^{2} c_{j}(\theta, b)}{\partial \beta \partial \beta^{\prime}}\right]_{j=1, \ldots, l}$
$-\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \mathcal{D}^{-1} \mathcal{E}\left[\left.\operatorname{tr}\left(\mathcal{D}^{-1} \mathcal{E} \frac{\partial c(\theta, b)}{\partial \beta^{\prime}} k_{1_{\beta}}\right)^{\prime} \frac{\partial b^{\prime}}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial^{2} c_{j}(\theta, \beta)}{\partial \beta \partial \beta^{\prime}}\right|_{b} k_{1_{\beta}}\right]_{j=1, \ldots, l}$
$+\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{n}} \mathcal{D}^{-1} \mathcal{E}\left[\operatorname{tr} \sqrt{n}\left(\theta_{n}-\theta\right) \sqrt{n}\left(\theta_{n}-\theta\right)^{/}\binom{\left.\frac{\partial b^{\prime}}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial^{2} c_{j}(\theta, \beta)}{\partial \beta \partial \beta^{\prime}}\right|_{b} \frac{\partial b}{\partial \theta^{\prime}}}{-\left[\left.\frac{\partial^{2} b^{\prime}}{\partial \theta \partial \theta_{j^{\prime}}} \frac{\partial c_{j}(\theta, \beta)}{\partial \beta}\right|_{b}\right]_{j^{\prime}=1, \ldots, p}}\right]_{j=1, \ldots, l}$,
where $\mathcal{D}=\frac{\partial b^{\prime}}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial c^{\prime}(\theta, b)}{\partial \beta} W^{* *}(\theta) \frac{\partial c(\theta, b)}{\partial \beta^{\prime}} \frac{\partial b}{\partial \theta^{\prime}}$ and $\mathcal{E}=\frac{\partial b^{\prime}}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial c^{\prime}(\theta, b)}{\partial \beta} W^{* *}(\theta)$. It follows that $\sqrt{n}\left(\theta_{n}-\theta\right)=\mathcal{D}^{-1} \mathcal{E} \frac{\partial c(\theta, b)}{\partial \beta^{\prime}} k_{1_{\beta}}$

$-\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \mathcal{D}^{-1} \frac{\partial b^{\prime}}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial c^{\prime}(\theta, b)}{\partial \beta} \mathcal{J} \mathcal{J}^{*} k_{1_{\beta}}+\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{n}} \mathcal{D}^{-1} \mathcal{E}\left[\operatorname{trk}_{1_{\beta}} k_{1_{\beta}}^{\prime} \frac{\partial^{2} c_{j}(\theta, b)}{\partial \beta \partial \beta^{\prime}}\right]_{j=1, \ldots, l}$
$-\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \mathcal{D}^{-1} \mathcal{E}\left[\left.\operatorname{tr}\left(\mathcal{D}^{-1} \mathcal{E} \frac{\partial c(\theta, b)}{\partial \beta^{\prime}} k_{1_{\beta}}\right)^{\prime} \frac{\partial b^{\prime}}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial^{2} c_{j}(\theta, \beta)}{\partial \beta \partial \beta^{\prime}}\right|_{b} k_{1_{\beta}}\right]_{j=1, \ldots, l}$
$+\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{n}} \mathcal{D}^{-1} \mathcal{E}\left[\operatorname{tr} \mathcal{D}^{-1} \mathcal{E} \frac{\partial c(\theta, b)}{\partial \beta^{\prime}} k_{1_{\beta}}\left(\mathcal{D}^{-1} \mathcal{E} \frac{\partial c(\theta, b)}{\partial \beta^{\prime}} k_{1_{\beta}}\right)^{\prime}\binom{\left.\frac{\partial b^{\prime}}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial^{2} c_{j}(\theta, \beta)}{\partial \beta \partial \beta^{\prime}}\right|_{b} \frac{\partial b}{\partial \theta^{\prime}}}{-\left[\left.\frac{\partial^{2} b^{\prime}}{\partial \theta \partial \theta_{j^{\prime}}} \frac{\partial c_{j}(\theta, \beta)}{\partial \beta}\right|_{b}\right]_{j^{\prime}=1, \ldots, p}}\right]_{j=1, \ldots, l}$
where $\mathcal{J}=k_{1_{w^{* *}}}+\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^{\prime}} W^{* *}(\theta)_{j, j^{\prime}} k_{1_{\theta^{*}}}\right]_{j, j^{\prime}=1, \ldots, l}, \mathcal{J}^{*}=\left(\frac{\partial c(\theta, b)}{\partial \beta^{\prime}} \frac{\partial b}{\partial \theta^{\prime}} \mathcal{D}^{-1} \mathcal{E}-\operatorname{Id}_{l}\right) \frac{\partial c(\theta, b)}{\partial \beta^{\prime}}$.

Again as $\left[\frac{\partial^{2} b^{\prime}}{\partial \theta \partial \theta_{j^{\prime}}} \frac{\partial c_{j}(\theta, b)}{\partial \beta}\right]_{j^{\prime}=1, \ldots, p}=\left[\frac{\partial c_{j}(\theta, b)}{\partial \beta^{\prime}} \frac{\partial^{2} b}{\partial \theta^{\prime} \partial \theta_{r}}\right]_{r=1, \ldots, p}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sqrt{n}\left(\theta_{n}-\theta\right)=\mathcal{D}^{-1} \mathcal{E} \frac{\partial c(\theta, b)}{\partial \beta^{\prime}} k_{1_{\beta}} \\
& +\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{n}} \mathcal{D}^{-1} \mathcal{E}\left[k_{1_{\beta}}^{\prime} \frac{\partial^{2} c_{j}(\theta, b)}{\partial \beta \partial \beta^{\prime}} k_{1_{\beta}}\right]_{j=1, \ldots, l}-\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \mathcal{D}^{-1} \mathcal{E}\left[q_{1_{\beta}}^{\prime} \frac{\partial b^{\prime}}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial^{2} c_{j}(\theta, b)}{\partial \beta \partial \beta^{\prime}} k_{1_{\beta}}\right]_{j=1, \ldots, l} \\
& +\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{n}} \mathcal{D}^{-1} \mathcal{E}\left[q_{1_{\beta}}^{\prime} \mathcal{H}_{j} q_{1_{\beta}}\right]_{j=1, \ldots, l} \\
& +\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \mathcal{D}^{-1}\left[\mathcal{H}_{j} q_{1_{\beta}}-\left.\frac{\partial b^{\prime}}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial^{2} c_{j}(\theta, \beta)}{\partial \beta \partial \beta^{\prime}}\right|_{b} k_{1_{\beta}}\right]_{j=1, \ldots, l} W^{* *}(\theta) \mathcal{J}^{*} k_{1_{\beta}} \\
& -\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \mathcal{D}^{-1} \frac{\partial b^{\prime}}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial c^{\prime}(\theta, b)}{\partial \beta} \mathcal{J}^{*} \mathcal{J}^{*} k_{1_{\beta}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mathcal{D}=\frac{\partial b^{\prime}}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial c^{\prime}(\theta, b)}{\partial \beta} W^{* *}(\theta) \frac{\partial c(\theta, b)}{\partial \beta^{\prime}} \frac{\partial b}{\partial \theta^{\prime}}, \mathcal{E}=\frac{\partial b^{\prime}}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial c^{\prime}(\theta, b)}{\partial \beta} W^{* *}(\theta), \mathcal{J}=k_{1_{w^{* *}}}+$ $\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^{\prime}} W^{* *}(\theta)_{j, j^{\prime}} k_{1_{\theta^{*}}}\right]_{j, j^{\prime}=1, \ldots, l}, \mathcal{J}^{*}=\left(\frac{\partial c(\theta, b)}{\partial \beta^{\prime}} \frac{\partial b}{\partial \theta^{\prime}} \mathcal{D}^{-1} \mathcal{E}-\operatorname{Id}_{l}\right) \frac{\partial c(\theta, b)}{\partial \beta^{\prime}}, q_{1_{\beta}}=\mathcal{D}^{-1} \mathcal{E} \frac{\partial c(\theta, b)}{\partial \beta^{\prime}} k_{1_{\beta}}$ , $\mathcal{H}_{j}=\frac{\partial b^{\prime}}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial^{2} c_{j}(\theta, b)}{\partial \beta \partial \beta^{\prime}} \frac{\partial b}{\partial \theta^{\prime}}-\left[\frac{\partial c_{j}(\theta, b)}{\partial \beta^{\prime}} \frac{\partial^{2} b}{\partial \theta^{\prime} \partial \theta_{r}}\right]_{r=1, \ldots, p}$. Integrating the above w.r.t. $\left(1+\frac{\pi_{1}(z, \theta)}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \varphi_{V(\theta)}(z)$ we get the result.

Corollary 3 When $W^{*}$ is independent of $x$ and $\theta$ and $b(\theta)$ is affine then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\xi_{3}(\theta)= & \mathcal{D}^{-1} \mathcal{E} \frac{\partial c(\theta, b)}{\partial \beta^{\prime}} \mathcal{I}_{V}\left(k_{2_{\beta}}\right)+\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{n}} \mathcal{D}^{-1} \mathcal{E}\left[\mathcal{I}_{V}\left(k_{1_{\beta}}^{\prime} \frac{\partial^{2} c_{j}(\theta, b)}{\partial \beta \partial \beta^{\prime}} k_{1_{\beta}}\right)\right]_{j=1, \ldots, l} \\
& +\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{n}} \mathcal{D}^{-1} \mathcal{E}\left[\mathcal{I}_{V}\left(q_{1_{\beta}^{\prime}}^{\prime} \frac{\partial b^{\prime}}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial^{2} c_{j}(\theta, b)}{\partial \beta \partial \beta^{\prime}}\left(\frac{\partial b}{\partial \theta^{\prime}} q_{1_{\beta}}-2 k_{1_{\beta}}\right)\right)\right]_{j=1, \ldots, l} \\
& +\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \mathcal{D}^{-1} \mathcal{I}_{V}\left(\left[\frac{\partial b^{\prime}}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial^{2} c_{j}(\theta, b)}{\partial \beta \partial \beta^{\prime}}\left(\frac{\partial b}{\partial \theta^{\prime}} q_{1_{\beta}}-k_{1_{\beta}}\right)\right]_{j=1, \ldots, l} W^{* *}(\theta) \mathcal{J}^{*} k_{1_{\beta}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, even under the scope of stochastic weighting, when $p=q=l$ and $b(\theta)$ is affine, then $\xi_{3}(\theta)=\left(\frac{\partial b}{\partial \theta^{\prime}}\right)^{-1} \mathcal{I}_{V}\left(k_{2_{\beta}}\right)$.

Proof. When $W^{* *}$ is independent of $x$ and $\theta$ and $b(\theta)$ is affine then $\mathcal{J}=0$ and $\mathcal{H}_{j}=\frac{\partial b^{\prime}}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial^{2} c_{j}(\theta, b)}{\partial \beta \partial \beta^{\prime}} \frac{\partial b}{\partial \theta^{\prime}}$. Hence by integrating w.r.t. $\left(1+\frac{\pi_{1}(z, \theta)}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \varphi_{V(\theta)}(z)$ the
following expression

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{D}^{-1} \mathcal{E} \frac{\partial c(\theta, b)}{\partial \beta^{\prime}} k_{1_{\beta}}+\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{n}} \mathcal{D}^{-1} \mathcal{E}\left[k_{1_{\beta}^{\prime}}^{\prime} \frac{\partial^{2} c_{j}(\theta, b)}{\partial \beta \partial \beta^{\prime}} k_{1_{\beta}}\right]_{j=1, \ldots, l} \\
& +\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{n}} \mathcal{D}^{-1} \mathcal{E}\left[q_{1_{\beta}^{\prime}}^{\prime} \frac{\partial b^{\prime}}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial^{2} c_{j}(\theta, b)}{\partial \beta \partial \beta^{\prime}}\left(\frac{\partial b}{\partial \theta^{\prime}} q_{1_{\beta}}-2 k_{1_{\beta}}\right)\right]_{j=1, \ldots, l} \\
& +\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \mathcal{D}^{-1}\left[\frac{\partial b^{\prime}}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial^{2} c_{j}(\theta, b)}{\partial \beta \partial \beta^{\prime}}\left(\frac{\partial b}{\partial \theta^{\prime}}{q_{1}}-k_{1_{\beta}}\right)\right]_{j=1, \ldots, l} W^{* *}(\theta) \mathcal{J}^{*} k_{1_{\beta}}
\end{aligned}
$$

we get the result. On the other hand, when $p=q=l$ and $b(\theta)$ is affine then $\mathcal{D}^{-1} \mathcal{E}=\left(\frac{\partial c(\theta, b)}{\partial \beta^{\prime}} \frac{\partial b}{\partial \theta^{\prime}}\right)^{-1}, \mathcal{J}^{*}=0, q_{1_{\beta}}=\left(\frac{\partial b}{\partial \theta^{\prime}}\right)^{-1} k_{1_{\beta}}, q_{1_{\beta}}=\left(\frac{\partial b}{\partial \theta^{\prime}}\right)^{-1} k_{1_{\beta}}$, and $\mathcal{H}_{j}=\frac{\partial b^{\prime}}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial^{2} c_{j}(\theta, b)}{\partial \beta \partial \beta^{\prime}} \frac{\partial b}{\partial \theta^{\prime}}$. Hence we the expression is

$$
\left(\frac{\partial b}{\partial \theta^{\prime}}\right)^{-1} k_{1_{\beta}}
$$

and integrating the above w.r.t. $\left(1+\frac{\pi_{1}(z, \theta)}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \varphi_{V(\theta)}(z)$ we get the result.
Lemma 3.5 i). Under the assumptions in lemma 2.6. A and for $s^{*} \geq 3$ we have that $\xi_{1}(\theta)=\xi_{3}(\theta)$ uniformly over $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)$.
ii) Under the assumptions in lemma 2.6. B and for $s^{*} \geq 4$ we have that $\xi_{2}(\theta)=\xi_{3}(\theta)$ uniformly over $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)$.

Proof of Lemma 3.5. i). The result follows from lemmas 2.6.A and 3.2. Notice that as $p=q=l$, we have that $\mathcal{C}^{-1} \frac{\partial b^{\prime}}{\partial \theta} W^{*}=\left(\frac{\partial b}{\partial \theta^{\prime}}\right)^{-1}$. ii). The result follows from lemmas 2.6, $\mathbf{B}$ and 3.3.

### 3.2 MSE $2^{\text {nd }}$ order Approximations for the Indirect Estimators

Lemma 3.6 Let $\theta_{n}$ denote either the GMR1, or the GMR2 estimator. If $W^{*}(x, \theta)$ is independent of $x$ and $\theta, b$ is affine and assumptions A.1, A.2. A.3, A.4, A.6 and A.7, A.8, A.9 hold for $s^{*} \geq 5$ then, for any $\varepsilon_{*}<\varepsilon$

$$
\left\|E_{\theta}\left(n\left(\theta_{n}-\theta\right)\left(\theta_{n}-\theta\right)^{\prime}\right)-H_{1}(\theta)-\frac{H_{2}(\theta)}{\sqrt{n}}\right\|=o\left(n^{-1 / 2}\right)
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{1}(\theta)=\mathcal{C}^{-1} \frac{\partial b^{\prime}}{\partial \theta} W^{*} V(\theta) W^{*} \frac{\partial b}{\partial \theta^{\prime}} \mathcal{C}^{-1} \\
& H_{2}(\theta)=\mathcal{C}^{-1} \frac{\partial b^{\prime}}{\partial \theta} W^{*} \mathcal{I}_{V}\left(k_{2_{\beta}} k_{1_{\beta}}^{\prime}\right) W^{*} \frac{\partial b}{\partial \theta^{\prime}} \mathcal{C}^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. For both estimators we have that due to lemma 3.1, theorem 3.1 of Arvanitis and Demos [1] along with the approximations employed in lemmas 3.2, 3.3

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{\theta}\left(n\left(\theta_{n}-\theta\right)\left(\theta_{n}-\theta\right)^{\prime}\right)= & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{q}} \mathcal{C}^{-1} \frac{\partial b^{\prime}}{\partial \theta} W^{*}\left(k_{1_{\beta}}(z, \theta)+\frac{k_{2_{\beta}}(z, \theta)}{\sqrt{n}}\right)\left(k_{1_{\beta}}(z, \theta)+\frac{k_{2_{\beta}}(z, \theta)}{\sqrt{n}}\right)^{\prime} \\
& W^{*} \frac{\partial b}{\partial \theta^{\prime}} \mathcal{C}^{-1} \varphi_{V(\theta)}(z) d z,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $k_{1_{\beta}}(z, \theta)=z, k_{2_{\beta}}(z, \theta)=z \pi_{1}(z, \theta)$. Keeping the relevant order terms, the result follows.

Lemma 3.7 Let $\theta_{n}$ denote the GT estimator. If $W^{* *}(x, \theta)$ is independent of $x$ and $\theta, b$ is affine, $E_{\theta} c_{n}(\beta)=c(\theta, \beta)$ and assumptions A.1, A.2, A.3. A.4, A.6, A.7, A.8, and A.13 hold for $s^{*} \geq 4$ then, uniformly on $\overline{\mathcal{O}_{\varepsilon}}\left(\theta_{0}\right)$

$$
\left\|E_{\theta}\left(n\left(\theta_{n}-\theta\right)\left(\theta_{n}-\theta\right)^{\prime}\right)-H_{1}(\theta)-\frac{H_{2}(\theta)}{\sqrt{n}}\right\|=o\left(n^{-1 / 2}\right)
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{1}(\theta)=\mathcal{D}^{-1} \mathcal{E} \frac{\partial c(\theta, b)}{\partial \beta^{\prime}} V(\theta) \frac{\partial c^{\prime}(\theta, b)}{\partial \beta} \mathcal{E}^{\prime} \mathcal{D}^{-1} \\
& H_{2}(\theta)=\mathcal{D}^{-1} \mathcal{E} \frac{\partial c(\theta, b)}{\partial \beta^{\prime}} \mathcal{I}_{V}\left(k_{2_{\beta}} k_{1_{\beta}}^{\prime}\right) \frac{\partial c^{\prime}(\theta, b)}{\partial \beta} \mathcal{E}^{\prime} \mathcal{D}^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Again we have that due to lemma 3.1, theorem 3.1 of Arvanitis and Demos [1] along with the approximations used in lemma 3 when $W^{* *}$ is independent of $x$ and $\theta$ and $b(\theta)$ is affine, we get from the proof of lemma 3 that we have to integrate w.r.t. $\left(1+\frac{\pi_{1}(z, \theta)}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \varphi_{V(\theta)}(z)$ the following expression:
$\mathcal{D}^{-1} \mathcal{E} \frac{\partial c(\theta, b)}{\partial \beta^{\prime}} k_{1_{\beta}} k_{1_{\beta}}^{\prime}\left(\mathcal{D}^{-1} \mathcal{E} \frac{\partial c(\theta, b)}{\partial \beta^{\prime}}\right)^{\prime}+\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{n}} \mathcal{D}^{-1} \mathcal{E}\left[k_{1_{\beta}}^{\prime} \frac{\partial^{2} c_{j}(\theta, b)}{\partial \beta \partial \beta^{\prime}} k_{1_{\beta}}\right]_{j=1, \ldots, l} k_{1_{\beta}}^{\prime}\left(\mathcal{D}^{-1} \mathcal{E} \frac{\partial c(\theta, b)}{\partial \beta^{\prime}}\right)^{\prime}$
$+\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{n}} \mathcal{D}^{-1} \mathcal{E}\left[q_{1_{\beta}}^{\prime} \frac{\partial b^{\prime}}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial^{2} c_{j}(\theta, b)}{\partial \beta \partial \beta^{\prime}}\left(\frac{\partial b}{\partial \theta^{\prime}} q_{1_{\beta}}-2 k_{1_{\beta}}\right)\right]_{j=1, \ldots, l} k_{1_{\beta}}^{\prime}\left(\mathcal{D}^{-1} \mathcal{E} \frac{\partial c(\theta, b)}{\partial \beta^{\prime}}\right)^{\prime}$
$+\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \mathcal{D}^{-1}\left[\frac{\partial b^{\prime}}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial^{2} c_{j}(\theta, b)}{\partial \beta \partial \beta^{\prime}}\left(\frac{\partial b}{\partial \theta^{\prime}} q_{1_{\beta}}-k_{1_{\beta}}\right)\right]_{j=1, \ldots, l} W^{* *}(\theta) \mathcal{J}^{*} k_{1_{\beta}} k_{1_{\beta}}^{\prime} \frac{\partial c^{\prime}(\theta, b)}{\partial \beta} \mathcal{E}^{\prime} \mathcal{D}^{-1}$
$+\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{n}} \mathcal{D}^{-1} \mathcal{E} \frac{\partial c(\theta, b)}{\partial \beta^{\prime}} k_{1_{\beta}}\left[\begin{array}{c}\left(\left[k_{1_{\beta}}^{\prime} \frac{\partial^{2} c_{j}(\theta, b)}{\partial \beta \partial \beta^{\prime}} k_{1_{\beta}}\right]_{j=1, \ldots, l}\right)^{\prime} \mathcal{E}^{\prime} \\ \left(\left[q_{1_{\beta}}^{\prime} \frac{\partial b^{\prime}}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial^{2} c_{j}(\theta, b)}{\partial \beta \partial \beta^{\prime}}\left(\frac{\partial b}{\partial \theta^{\prime}} q_{1_{\beta}}-2 k_{1_{\beta}}\right)\right]_{j=1, \ldots, l}\right)^{\prime} \mathcal{E}^{\prime} \\ k_{1_{\beta}}^{\prime}\left(W^{* *}(\theta) \mathcal{J}^{*}\right)^{\prime}\left(\left[\frac{\partial b^{\prime}}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial^{2} c_{j}(\theta, b)}{\partial \beta \partial \beta^{\prime}}\left(\frac{\partial b}{\partial \theta^{\prime}} q_{1_{\beta}}-k_{1_{\beta}}\right)\right]_{j=1, \ldots, l}\right)^{\prime}\end{array}\right] \mathcal{D}^{-1}$,
where $k_{1_{\beta}}(z, \theta)=z$. and $q_{1_{\beta}}=\mathcal{D}^{-1} \mathcal{E} \frac{\partial c(\theta, b)}{\partial \beta^{\prime}} z$. Now notice that
$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{q}} \mathcal{D}^{-1} \mathcal{E} \frac{\partial c(\theta, b)}{\partial \beta^{\prime}} k_{1_{\beta}} k_{1_{\beta}}^{\prime}\left(W^{* *}(\theta) \mathcal{J}^{*}\right)^{\prime}\left(\left[\frac{\partial b^{\prime}}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial^{2} c_{j}(\theta, b)}{\partial \beta \partial \beta^{\prime}}\left(\frac{\partial b}{\partial \theta^{\prime}} q_{1_{\beta}}-k_{1_{\beta}}\right)\right]_{j=1, \ldots, l}\right)^{\prime} \varphi_{V}(z) d z=$
0 as it involves the integral of $(z)^{3}$, which is zero-mean normally distributed.
Hence by integrating the above expression w.r.t. $\left(1+\frac{\pi_{1}(z, \theta)}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \varphi_{V(\theta)}(z)$ we get: $E_{\theta}\left(n\left(\theta_{n}-\theta\right)\left(\theta_{n}-\theta\right)^{\prime}\right)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{q}} \mathcal{D}^{-1} \mathcal{E} \frac{\partial c(\theta, b)}{\partial \beta^{\prime}} k_{1_{\beta}} k_{1_{\beta}}^{\prime}\left(\mathcal{D}^{-1} \mathcal{E} \frac{\partial c(\theta, b)}{\partial \beta^{\prime}}\right)^{\prime}\left(1+\frac{\pi_{1}(z, \theta)}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \varphi_{V}(z) d z$ and taking into account that $k_{1_{\beta}}(z, \theta)=z, k_{2_{\beta}}(z, \theta)=z \pi_{1}(z, \theta)$ and that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{p}} \mathcal{D}^{-1} \mathcal{E} \frac{\partial c(\theta, b)}{\partial \beta^{\prime}} k_{1_{\beta}} k_{1_{\beta}}^{\prime}\left(\mathcal{D}^{-1} \mathcal{E} \frac{\partial c(\theta, b)}{\partial \beta^{\prime}}\right)^{\prime} \varphi_{V}(z) d z=\mathcal{D}^{-1} \mathcal{E} \frac{\partial c(\theta, b)}{\partial \beta^{\prime}} V(\theta)\left(\mathcal{D}^{-1} \mathcal{E} \frac{\partial c(\theta, b)}{\partial \beta^{\prime}}\right)^{\prime}$ we get the result.

## 4 Recursive GMR2

Let $\theta_{n}^{(0)}$ denote any consistent estimator of $\theta$.
Definition D. 5 Let $\zeta \in \mathbb{N}$, the recursive $\zeta$ - GMR2 estimator (denoted by $\theta_{n}^{(\zeta)}$ is defined in the following steps:

1. $\theta_{n}^{(1}=\arg \min _{\theta}\left\|\theta_{n}^{(0}-E_{\theta} \theta_{n}^{\theta^{0}}\right\|$,
2. for $\zeta>1 \theta_{n}^{(\zeta}=\arg \min _{\theta}\left\|\theta_{n}^{(\zeta-1}-E_{\theta} \theta_{n}^{(\zeta-1}\right\|$.

Using the results of the previous section, we are now able to prove the following lemma.

Lemma 4.1 Suppose that assumptions A.6, A.8, A.11 hold for $\theta_{n}^{(0}$ for $s^{*} \geq$ $2 \zeta+4$. Moreover suppose that $E_{\theta} \sup _{\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)}\left\|n \bar{s}_{n}\right\|^{2}<+\infty$ and $E_{\theta} \sup _{\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)}\left\|n \bar{H}_{n}\right\|<$ $+\infty$ for all $\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)$ and $\sqrt{n} \bar{s}_{n}(\theta)$ admits a locally uniform Edgeworth expansion of order 6 . Then the $\zeta$ - GMR2 estimator is of order $s=2 \zeta+1$ unbiased and has the same MSE with the $(\zeta-1)$ - GMR2, up to $2 \zeta$ order, uniformly over $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon_{*}}\left(\theta_{0}\right)$ for any $\varepsilon_{*}<\varepsilon$.

Proof. First notice that in any step of the procedure the binding function is the identity. Next the $o\left(n^{-a^{*}}\right)$ uniform consistency of $\theta_{n}^{(0}$ ensures the analogous for any step of the recursion. Then validity of the Edgeworth expansion for $\sqrt{n} \bar{s}_{n}(\theta)$ along with lemma 3.1 and remark R. 1 imply that

$$
\sup _{\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)} E\left\|n \bar{s}_{n}(\theta) \bar{s}_{n}^{\prime}(\theta)+E \bar{H}_{n}(\theta)\right\|^{2}=O(1)
$$

and since by the same lemma $\sup _{\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)} E\left\|\left(\theta_{n}^{(0}-\theta\right)\right\|^{2}=O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)$ and $E_{\theta} \sup _{\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)}\left\|n \bar{s}_{n}\right\|^{2}<$ $+\infty$ and $E_{\theta} \sup _{\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)}\left\|n \bar{H}_{n}\right\|<+\infty$ we have that $\sup _{\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)}\left\|D^{2} E_{\theta} \theta_{n}^{(1}\right\|<$ $M$. Hence lemma 2.5 applies and accordingly $\theta_{n}^{(1}$ admits a locally uniform Edgeworth expansion of order $s^{*}$. Given this the exact same reasoning implies the same result for $\theta_{n}^{(h}$ for any $h$. Moreover assumption A. 11 follows for the expansions in every step of the procedure due to the previous. The proof for the moment approximations for the case $h=1$ follows easily. Using induction, let us assume that the result holds for some $h$, i.e. assume that the appropriate expression for $\sqrt{n}\left(\theta_{n}^{(h}-\theta\right)$ is given by:

$$
E_{\theta} \sqrt{n}\left(\theta_{n}^{(h}-\theta\right)=\frac{1}{n^{\frac{2 h+1}{2}}} \mathcal{I}_{V}\left(k_{2 h+2}\right)+\frac{1}{n^{\frac{2 h+2}{2}}} \mathcal{I}_{V}\left(k_{2 h+3}\right)+o\left(n^{-\frac{2 h+2}{2}}\right) .
$$

uniformly over $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)$. Hence for $\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)$, by lemma 2.4 it follows that

$$
\sqrt{n}\left(E_{\theta_{n}^{(h+1}} \theta_{n}^{(h}-E_{\theta} \theta_{n}^{(h)}\right)-\left(\operatorname{Id}_{p}+\frac{1}{n^{\frac{2 h+2}{2}}} \frac{\partial \mathcal{I}_{V}\left(k_{2 h+2}^{\prime}\right)}{\partial \theta}\right) \sqrt{n}\left(\theta_{n}^{(h+1}-\theta\right)
$$

is bounded by a real sequence of order $o\left(n^{-\frac{2 h+3}{2}}\right)$ that is independent of $\theta$, with $P_{\theta}$-probability $1-o\left(n^{-\frac{2 h+3}{2}}\right)$ independent of $\theta$. The $h+1^{\text {st }}$-step GMR2 estimator satisfies with $P_{\theta}$-probability $1-o\left(n^{-\frac{2 h+3}{2}}\right)$ independent of $\theta, \theta_{n}^{(h}=E_{\theta_{n}^{(h+1}} \theta_{n}^{(h}$. Hence lemma 3.1 and Theorem 3.1 of Arvanitis and Demos [1] imply that the required approximation would be given by the integration of the Edgeworth density in the $h^{t h}$ step of the following approximation

$$
\sqrt{n}\left(\theta_{n}^{(h}-\theta\right)-\left(\frac{1}{n^{\frac{2 h+1}{2}}} \mathcal{I}_{V}\left(k_{2 h+2}\right)+\frac{1}{n^{\frac{2 h+2}{2}}} \mathcal{I}_{V}\left(k_{2 h+3}\right)\right)
$$

This integration gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{n^{\frac{2 h+1}{2}}} \mathcal{I}_{V}\left(k_{2 h+2}\right)+\frac{1}{n^{\frac{2 h+2}{2}}} \mathcal{I}_{V}\left(k_{2 h+3}\right) \\
& -\left(\frac{1}{n^{\frac{2 h+1}{2}}} \mathcal{I}_{V}\left(k_{2 h+2}\right)+\frac{1}{n^{\frac{2 h+2}{2}}} \mathcal{I}_{V}\left(k_{2 h+3}\right)\right)+o\left(n^{-\frac{2 h+2}{2}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

as $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{p}}\left(1+\sum_{i=1}^{2 h+2} \frac{\pi_{i}(z, \theta)}{n^{2 / 2}}\right) \varphi_{V(\theta)}(z) d z=1+o\left(n^{-\frac{2 h+2}{2}}\right)$ due to the validity of the Edgeworth approximation of the distribution of $\sqrt{n}\left(\theta_{n}^{(h}-\theta\right)$ and the result follows. For the MSE approximation the result follows analogously, by simply noticing that $\left(\operatorname{Id}_{p}+\frac{1}{n^{2 \frac{2 h+2}{2}}} \frac{\partial I_{V}\left(k_{2 h+2}^{\prime}\right)}{\partial \theta}\right)^{-1}=\operatorname{Id}_{p}+o$ (1).

## 5 Examples and Monte Carlo Experiments

Here we present an analytic proof the $\operatorname{GARCH}(1,1)$ example only.

### 5.1 The $\operatorname{GARCH}(1,1)$ Case

Consider the set of stationary ergodic and covariance stationary processes defined by the recursion

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y_{j}^{2}=\varepsilon_{j}^{2} h_{j} \\
& h_{j}=\theta_{1}\left(1-\theta_{2}-\theta_{3}\right)+\left(\theta_{2} \varepsilon_{j-1}^{2}+\theta_{3}\right) h_{j-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the $\left(\varepsilon_{j}\right)$ are iid, $E \varepsilon_{0}=0, E \varepsilon_{0}^{2}=1, E \varepsilon_{0}^{28}<+\infty$ the distribution of $\varepsilon_{0}$ admits a positive continuous density and $\theta=\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}, \theta_{3}\right)^{\prime} \in \Theta=\left[\underline{\eta}_{\omega}, \bar{\eta}_{\omega}\right] \times$ $\left[\underline{\eta}_{\alpha}, \bar{\eta}_{\alpha}\right] \times\left[\underline{\eta}_{\beta}, \bar{\eta}_{\beta}\right]$ where $\underline{\eta}_{\omega}, \underline{\eta}_{\alpha}, \underline{\eta}_{\beta}>0$ and for any $\theta \in \Theta, E\left(\theta_{2} \varepsilon_{0}^{2}+\theta_{3}\right)^{14}<$

Let

$$
b(\theta)=\left(\theta_{1}, \frac{\theta_{2}\left(1-\left(\theta_{2}+\theta_{3}\right) \theta_{3}\right)}{1-2 \theta_{2} \theta_{3}-\theta_{3}^{2}}, \theta_{2}+\theta_{3}\right)^{\prime}
$$

and for some compact $B \supseteq b(\Theta)$ and $c_{n}(\beta)=\left(\left(\overline{y^{2}}, \widehat{\rho_{1}}, \widehat{\widehat{\rho_{2}}}\right)-\beta\right)^{\prime}$ define

$$
\beta_{n} \in \arg \min _{\beta \in B} \frac{1}{2}\left\|c_{n}(\beta)\right\|^{2}
$$

where $\overline{y^{2}}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} y_{j}^{2}, \widehat{\rho}_{i}=\frac{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(y_{y}^{2} y_{t-i}^{2}\right)-\left(\overline{y^{2}}\right)^{2}}{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(y_{t}^{4}\right)-\left(\overline{y^{2}}\right)^{2}}$. Furthermore define

$$
\mathrm{GMR} 1 \in \arg \min _{\theta \in \Theta} \frac{1}{2}\left\|\beta_{n}-b(\theta)\right\|^{2}
$$

Now employing the GMR2 estimator, treating the GMR1 as an auxiliary one, we get the 1 - GMR2 estimator. Again, the $E_{\theta}$ (GMR1) needs to be evaluated.

Proposition 4 If the distribution of $\varepsilon_{0}$ admits a positive and continuous density then $\beta_{n}$ and GMR1 admit $4^{\text {th }}$ order valid Edgeworth expansions, uniformly over $\Theta$. Furthermore if the distribution of $\varepsilon_{0}$ is standard normal, then GMR2, 1-GMR2 and GT admit $4^{\text {th }}$ order valid Edgeworth expansions, uniformly over any compact subset of $\Theta$.

Proof: For any $\theta \in \times$ let $X_{j}(\theta)=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}y_{j}^{2} & y_{j}^{4} & y_{j}^{2} y_{j-1}^{2} & y_{j}^{2} y_{j-2}^{2}\end{array}\right)^{\prime}$, and $S_{n}(\theta)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(X_{i}(\theta)-E X_{0}(\theta)\right)$. Then as $E\left(\theta_{2} \varepsilon_{0}^{2}+\theta_{3}\right)^{14}<1$, the monotonicity of $h$ w.r.t. $\theta$ and a dominated convergence argument imply that $E\left(y_{j}^{m}(\theta)\right)$ exists and is continuous on $\Theta$ for any $m=1, \ldots, 24$. Therefore $\sup _{\theta \in \times} E\left\|X_{0}(\theta)\right\|^{7}<+\infty$ establishing A.2-M in Arvanitis and Demos [1]. This also implies that if the formal Edgeworth expansion is valid, the polynomials of its density are equicontinuous functions of these moments and the covariance matrix is continuous on $\Theta$ and positive definite. The validity of the the formal Edgeworth expansion follows from the verification of conditions A.2-WD, A.3-CPD and A.3-NDD in Arvanitis and Demos [1] (for details see proposition 1 in Arvanitis and Demos [1]).

Let us define the function fact that $f(x)=\left(x_{1}, \frac{x_{3}-x_{1}^{2}}{x_{2}-x_{1}^{2}}, \frac{x_{4}-x_{1}^{2}}{x_{2}-x_{1}^{2}}\right)$ which is continuous. A $4^{\text {th }}$ order Taylor expansion of $f$-which is independent of $\theta$ around $E\left(X_{0}(\theta)\right)$ of gives

$$
\sqrt{n}\left(\left(\overline{y^{2}}, \widehat{\rho_{1}}, \frac{\widehat{\rho_{2}}}{\widehat{\rho}_{1}}\right)^{\prime}-b^{\prime}(\theta)\right)=\sum_{i=0}^{3} \frac{1}{n^{i / 2}} D^{(i+1)} f\left(E\left(X_{0}(\theta)\right)\right)\left(S_{n}(\theta)\right)^{i+1}+R_{n}(\theta)
$$

where

$$
R_{n}(\theta)=\frac{1}{n^{3 / 2}}\left(D^{4} f\left(R_{n}^{+}(\theta)\right)\left(S_{n}(\theta)\right)^{4}-D^{4} f\left(E\left(X_{0}(\theta)\right)\right)\left(S_{n}(\theta)\right)^{4}\right)
$$

$R_{n}^{+}(\theta)$ lies between $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} X_{j}(\theta)$ and $E\left(X_{0}(\theta)\right)$ with probability $1-o\left(n^{-\frac{3}{2}}\right)$ that does not depend on $\theta$. Due to the continuity of $D^{4} f$ on some compact neighborhood of $E\left(X_{0}(\theta)\right)$ we have that

$$
\left\|R_{n}(\theta)\right\| \leq \frac{\left\|R_{n}^{+}(\theta)\right\|\left\|S_{n}(\theta)\right\|^{4}}{n^{3 / 2}}
$$

Hence the definition of $R_{n}^{+}(\theta)$, along with the fact that $S_{n}(\theta)$ has a valid Edgeworth expansion uniformly on $\Theta$ proposition, and lemmas AL. 2 and 3.3 in Arvanitis and Demos [1] imply that the result will hold if

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{3} \frac{1}{n^{i / 2}} D^{(i+1)} f\left(E\left(X_{0}(\theta)\right)\right)\left(S_{n}(\theta)\right)^{i+1}
$$

admits the relevant Edgeworth expansion. But this holds due to the fact that $D f\left(E\left(X_{0}(\theta)\right)\right)$ has rank 3 for any $\theta$. Hence by theorem 3.1 in Arvanitis and Demos [1] it follow that $\sqrt{n}\left(\left(\overline{y^{2}}, \widehat{\rho_{1}}, \frac{\widehat{\rho_{2}}}{\rho_{1}}\right)-b(\theta)\right)-b^{\prime}(\theta)$ admits a locally uniform Edgeworth expansion of order 4. As now $\beta_{n}=\left(\overline{y^{2}}, \widehat{\rho_{1}}, \frac{\widehat{\rho_{2}}}{\rho_{1}}\right)$ with
probability $1-o\left(n^{-\frac{s-2}{2}}\right)$ that does not locally depend on $\theta$, by lemma 3.3 in Arvanitis and Demos [1] we get $\sqrt{n}\left(\beta_{n}-b(\theta)\right)$ admits a locally uniform Edgeworth expansion of order 4 with Edgeworth polynomials that are, locally on $\Theta$, equicontinuous functions.

Let us call GMR1 by $\theta_{n}$. Initially observe that due to the first part, for some $\Theta^{*}=\left[\underline{\eta}_{\omega}^{*}, \bar{\eta}_{\omega}^{*}\right] \times\left[\underline{\eta}_{\alpha}^{*}, \bar{\eta}_{\alpha}^{*}\right] \times\left[\underline{\eta}_{\beta}^{*}, \bar{\eta}_{\beta}^{*}\right]$ where $0<\underline{\eta}_{m}^{*}<\underline{\eta}_{m}, \bar{\eta}_{m}^{*}>\bar{\eta}_{m}$ for $m=\omega, \alpha, \beta$, such that $\operatorname{Int}(\Theta) \supset \Theta^{*} \supset \Theta^{\prime}$

$$
\sup _{\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}\left(\theta_{0}, \delta\right)} P\left(\beta_{n}(\theta) \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}\left(\theta_{0}, \delta^{*}\right)\right)=1-o\left(n^{-\frac{s-2}{2}}\right)
$$

and it is easy to see that $\frac{\partial b}{\partial \theta^{\prime}}$ has full rank for any $\theta$ in $\overline{\mathcal{O}}\left(\theta_{0}, \delta^{*}\right)$, hence with probability $1-o\left(n^{-\frac{3}{2}}\right)$ that does not locally depend on $\theta, \theta_{n}$ satisfies $\beta_{n}=b\left(\theta_{n}\right)$. The mean value theorem along with the constant full rank and continuity of $\frac{\partial b}{\partial \theta^{\prime}}$ on $\Theta^{\prime}$ imply that for some $c>0$ independent of $\theta$

$$
\sup _{\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}\left(\theta_{0}, \delta\right)} P\left(\sqrt{n}\left\|\theta_{n}-\theta\right\| \leq c \sqrt{n}\left\|\beta_{n}-b(\theta)\right\|\right)=1-o\left(n^{-\frac{3}{2}}\right)
$$

which along with lemma AL. 2 in Arvanitis and Demos [1] imply that for some $C^{*}>0$ independent of $\theta$

$$
\sup _{\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}\left(\theta_{0}, \delta\right)} P\left(\sqrt{n}\left\|\theta_{n}-\theta\right\|>C^{*} \ln ^{1 / 2} n\right)=o\left(n^{-\frac{s-2}{2}}\right)
$$

A Taylor expansion of $b\left(\theta_{n}\right)$ around $b(\theta)$ of order 4 implies that
$0_{3 \times 1}=\sqrt{n}\left(\beta_{n}-b(\theta)\right)+\sqrt{n} \sum_{i=0}^{3} \frac{1}{n^{i / 2}} D^{(i+1)} b(\theta)\left(\sqrt{n}\left(\theta_{n}-\theta\right)\right)^{i+1}+R_{n}(\theta)$
where

$$
R_{n}(\theta)=\frac{1}{n^{3 / 2}}\left(D^{4} b\left(\theta_{n}^{+}\right)\left(\sqrt{n}\left(\theta_{n}-\theta\right)\right)^{4}-D^{4} b(\theta)\left(\sqrt{n}\left(\theta_{n}-\theta\right)\right)^{4}\right)
$$

$\theta_{n}^{+}$lies between $\theta_{n}$ and $\theta$ with probability $1-o\left(n^{-\frac{3}{2}}\right)$ that does not depend on $\theta$. Due to the continuity of $D^{4} b(\theta)$ on some compact neighborhood of $\theta$ we have that

$$
\left\|R_{n}(\theta)\right\| \leq \frac{\left\|\theta_{n}^{+}-\theta\right\|\left\|\sqrt{n}\left(\theta_{n}-\theta\right)\right\|^{4}}{n^{3 / 2}}
$$

Hence due to the definition of $\theta_{n}^{+}$, the fact that $\theta_{n}$ is uniformly tight, the uniform expansion of $\beta_{n}$ and the constant full rank of the Jacobian of $b$ and
application of theorem 3.2 in Arvanitis and Demos [1] delivers the result for $\theta_{n}$.

Let us now call GMR2 as $\theta_{n}^{*}$. Notice first that uniform consistency of $\beta_{n}$ to $b(\theta)$ along with the boundeness of $\Theta$ imply by uniform integrability that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{\theta \in \Theta}\left|E_{\theta} \beta_{n}-b(\theta)\right|=o(1) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

hence for any $\varepsilon>0$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sup _{\theta^{*} \in \Theta} P\left(\sup _{\theta \in \Theta}| | \beta_{n}-E \beta_{n}(\theta)\left|-\left|b\left(\theta^{*}\right)-b(\theta)\right|\right|>\varepsilon\right) \\
\leq & \sup _{\theta^{*} \in \Theta} P\left(\left|\beta_{n}-b\left(\theta^{*}\right)\right|+o(1)>\varepsilon\right)=o\left(n^{-\frac{3}{2}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

due to the analogous consistency of $\beta_{n}$. Hence

$$
\sup _{\theta^{*} \in \Theta} P\left(\theta_{n}^{*} \in \mathcal{O}\left(\theta^{*}, \varepsilon\right) \cap \Theta\right)=1-o\left(n^{-\frac{3}{2}}\right)
$$

for any $\varepsilon>0$. Then from lemma AL. 9 and lemma 2.5 we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{\theta^{*} \in \Theta^{\prime \prime}} P\left(\sqrt{n}\left|\theta_{n}^{*}-\theta\right|>C \ln ^{1 / 2} n\right)=o\left(n^{-\frac{3}{2}}\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some appropriate $C>0$. Now by recursive examination it is easy to see that $E h_{0}^{m}(\theta)$ is 4 times continuously differentiable for any $\theta$ in $\Theta^{\prime \prime}$ for all $m=1, \ldots, 5$. This along the analogous differentiability of $f$ imply that the $\pi_{i}$ there are also 4 times continuously differentiable for any $\theta$ in $\Theta^{\prime \prime}$ for any $z \in \mathbb{R}$. Then dominated convergence implies the same for $\mathcal{I}_{V}\left(k_{i}(z, \theta)\right)$ for all $i=1, \ldots, 3$. Then lemma 2.4 along with lemma AL.9 imply that $\frac{\partial E_{\theta_{n}^{*}}\left(\beta_{n}\right)}{\partial \theta}$ converges to $\frac{\partial b(\theta)}{\partial \theta^{\prime}}$ for any $\theta$ in $\Theta^{\prime \prime}$ with probability $1-o\left(n^{-\frac{3}{2}}\right)$ independent of $\theta$, hence with the same probability $\theta_{n}^{*}$ satisfies $\beta_{n}=E_{\theta_{n}^{*}} \theta_{n}$. Hence with probability $1-o\left(n^{-\frac{3}{2}}\right)$ independent of $\theta, \theta_{n}^{*}$ satisfies

$$
0=\sqrt{n}\left(\beta_{n}-E_{\theta} \theta_{n}^{*}\right)+A_{n}(\theta)+R_{n}(\theta)
$$

where $\sup _{\theta \in \Theta^{\prime \prime}} P\left(\left\|R_{n}(\theta)\right\|>o\left(n^{-1}\right)\right)=o\left(n^{-3 / 2}\right)$. The result follows from 8 , proposition AL.8, lemma AL. 2 and theorem 3.2 in Arvanitis and Demos [1]. Notice that by the definition of $c_{n}(\beta)$ we have that $E_{\theta}\left(c_{n}\left(\beta_{n}\right)\right)=E_{\theta} \beta_{n}-\beta_{n}$, i.e. $\mathrm{GT}=\mathrm{GMR} 2$.

Finally, the case of 1-GMR2 follows in complete analogy to the previous by simply replacing in the previous proof any invocation to $f$ with $b^{-1}(\varphi)=$ $\left(\varphi_{1}, \frac{1-\varphi_{3}^{2}-\sqrt{\left(1-\left(2 \varphi_{2}-\varphi_{3}\right)^{2}\right)\left(1-\varphi_{3}^{2}\right)}}{2\left(\varphi_{2}-\varphi_{3}\right)}, \frac{-\left(1-2 \varphi_{2} \varphi_{3}+\varphi_{3}^{2}\right)+\sqrt{\left(1-\left(2 \varphi_{2}-\varphi_{3}\right)^{2}\right)\left(1-\varphi_{3}^{2}\right)}}{2\left(\varphi_{2}-\varphi_{3}\right)}\right)$ and of $b$ with the identity.
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## Appendix-General Proofs

The following are a collection of helpful results that are frequently used in the proofs of the main results.

Lemma AL. 1 Suppose that:
-UUC

$$
\sup _{\theta \in \Theta} P_{\theta}\left(\sup _{\beta \in B}\left|c_{n}(\beta)-c(\theta, \beta)\right|>\varepsilon\right)=o\left(n^{-a}\right), \quad \forall \varepsilon>0
$$

$-\mathrm{AB} c(\theta, \beta)$ is jointly continuous and $\gamma(\theta)=\arg \min _{\beta \in B} c(\theta, \beta)$, then

$$
\sup _{\theta \in \Theta} P_{\theta}\left(\left\|\beta_{n}-\gamma(\theta)\right\|>\varepsilon\right)=o\left(n^{-a}\right), \quad \forall \varepsilon>0
$$

where $\beta_{n} \in \arg \min _{\beta \in B} c_{n}(\beta)$.
Proof. For $\varepsilon>0$ independent of $\theta$, and for any $\beta$ for which

$$
\|\beta-\gamma(\theta)\|>\varepsilon
$$

there must exist a $\delta>0$ such that

$$
c(\theta, \beta)-c(\theta, \gamma(\theta))>\delta
$$

due to the compactness of $B$ the continuity of $c(\theta, \cdot)$ and the uniqueness of $b(\theta)$ as a minimizer of $c(\theta, \beta)$ for any $\theta$. The compactness of $\Theta \times B$ and the joint continuity of $c$ implies that it can be chosen independent of $\theta$. Suppose that this is not the case which implies that $\inf _{\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)} \delta=0$. Then there exists a sequence $\theta_{m}$ in $\Theta$ for which, for any $\varepsilon>0$ there exists an $m(\varepsilon)$ such that $c\left(\theta_{m}, \beta\right)-c\left(\theta_{m}, \gamma(\theta)\right)<\varepsilon$ for all $m \geq m(\varepsilon)$. Due to compactness $\theta_{m}$ can be chosen convergent, say to $\theta_{*}$. Then due to the joint continuity of $c$ and the continuity of $b$ we have that $c\left(\theta_{*}, \beta_{n}\right)-c\left(\theta_{*}, \gamma\left(\theta_{*}\right)\right)=0$ which is impossible if $\beta \neq \gamma\left(\theta_{*}\right)$ due to the property of $\gamma$. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sup _{\theta \in \Theta} P_{\theta}\left(\left\|\beta_{n}-\gamma(\theta)\right\|>\varepsilon\right) \\
\leq & \sup _{\theta \in \Theta} P_{\theta}\left(\left|c\left(\theta, \beta_{n}\right)-c(\theta, \gamma(\theta))\right|>\delta\right) \\
\leq & \sup _{\theta \in \Theta} P_{\theta}\left(\sup _{\beta \in B}\left|c_{n}(\beta)-c(\theta, \beta)\right|>\frac{\delta}{2}\right)=o\left(n^{-a^{*}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies the result.

Lemma AL. 2 Let assumptions A. 7 a and A. 6 hold. Then for $j=*, * *$

$$
\sup _{\theta \in \Theta} P_{\theta}\left(\left\|W_{n}^{j}\left(\theta_{n}^{*}\right)-E_{\theta} W^{j}(\theta)\right\|>\varepsilon\right)=o\left(n^{-a^{*}}\right), \forall \varepsilon>0
$$

Furthermore, there exists $K>0$ for which

$$
\sup _{\theta \in \Theta} P_{\theta}\left(\left\|W_{n}^{j}\left(\theta_{n}^{*}\right)\right\|>K\right)=o\left(n^{-a^{*}}\right)
$$

Proof. Assumptions A.6, A.7a) and the triangle inequality imply that for any $\varepsilon>0$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sup _{\theta \in \Theta} P_{\theta}\left(\left\|W_{n}^{j}\left(\theta_{n}^{*}\right)-E_{\theta} W^{j}(\theta)\right\|>\varepsilon\right) \\
\leq & \sup _{\theta \in \Theta} P_{\theta}\left(\left\|W_{n}^{j}\left(\theta_{n}^{*}\right)-E_{\theta} W^{j}\left(\theta_{n}^{*}\right)\right\|>\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)+\sup _{\theta \in \Theta} P_{\theta}\left(\left\|E_{\theta} W^{j}\left(\theta_{n}^{*}\right)-E_{\theta} W^{j}(\theta)\right\|>\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right) \\
\leq & o\left(n^{-a^{*}}\right)+\sup _{\theta \in \Theta} P_{\theta}\left(\left\|E_{\theta} W^{j}\left(\theta_{n}^{*}\right)-E_{\theta} W^{j}(\theta)\right\|>\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right) \text { by assumption A.7.a) } \\
\leq & o\left(n^{-a^{*}}\right)+\sup _{\theta \in \Theta} P_{\theta}\left(\kappa^{*}(\theta)\left\|\theta_{n}^{*}-\theta\right\|>\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right) \text { by assumption A.7.a) } \\
= & o\left(n^{-a^{*}}\right) \text { by assumption A.6 }
\end{aligned}
$$

due to the fact that $\sup _{\theta \in \Theta} \kappa^{j}(\theta)<+\infty$. Now for $K>\sup _{\theta \in \Theta}\left\|E_{\theta} W^{j}(\theta)\right\|>$ 0 which exists due to assumption A.7.a) and $\varepsilon=K-\sup _{\theta \in \Theta}\left\|E_{\theta} W^{j}(\theta)\right\|$ we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sup _{\theta \in \Theta} P_{\theta}\left(\left\|W_{n}^{j}\left(\theta_{n}^{*}\right)\right\|>K\right) & =\sup _{\theta \in \Theta} P_{\theta}\left(\left\|W_{n}^{j}\left(\theta_{n}^{*}\right)\right\|>\varepsilon+\left\|E_{\theta} W^{j}(\theta)\right\|\right) \\
& =\sup _{\theta \in \Theta} P_{\theta}\left(\left\|W_{n}^{j}\left(\theta_{n}^{*}\right)\right\|-\left\|E_{\theta} W^{j}(\theta)\right\|>\varepsilon\right) \\
& \leq \sup _{\theta \in \Theta} P_{\theta}\left(\left\|W_{n}^{j}\left(\theta_{n}^{*}\right)-E_{\theta} W^{j}(\theta)\right\|>\varepsilon\right) \\
& =o\left(n^{-a^{*}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma AL. 3 Suppose that

$$
c_{n}(\beta)=\sqrt{q_{n}^{\prime}(\beta) W_{n}^{j}\left(\theta_{n}^{*}\right) q_{n}(\beta)}
$$

for some appropriate random element $q_{n}$ where $W_{n}^{j}$, $\theta_{n}^{*}$ satisfy assumptions A.7. a, A.6 and for $q$ an appropriate jointly continuous function on $\Theta \times B$

$$
\sup _{\theta \in \Theta} P_{\theta}\left(\sup _{\beta \in B}\left\|q_{n}(\beta)-q(\theta, \beta)\right\|>\varepsilon\right)=o\left(n^{-a^{*}}\right), \forall \varepsilon>0
$$

Then AL.1.UUC holds for $c(\theta, \beta)=\sqrt{q^{\prime}(\theta, \beta) E_{\theta} W^{j}(\theta) q(\theta, \beta)}$ which is jointly continuous.

Proof. Due to the triangle inequality the submultiplicativity and the monotonicity of the square root, we have pointwise that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|c_{n}(\beta)-c(\theta, \beta)\right| \\
= & \left|c_{n}(\beta) \pm \sqrt{q^{\prime}(\theta, \beta) W_{n}^{j}\left(\theta_{n}^{*}\right) q(\theta, \beta)}-c(\theta, \beta)\right| \\
\leq & \left\|q_{n}^{\prime}(\beta)-q(\theta, \beta)\right\|_{W_{n}^{j}\left(\theta_{n}^{*}\right)}+\sqrt{\left|q^{\prime}(\theta, \beta)\left(W_{n}^{j}\left(\theta_{n}^{*}\right)-E_{\theta} W^{j}(\theta)\right) q(\theta, \beta)\right|} \\
\leq & \left\|q_{n}^{\prime}(\beta)-q(\theta, \beta)\right\|_{W_{n}^{j}\left(\theta_{n}^{*}\right)}+\left\|q^{\prime}(\theta, \beta)\right\| \sqrt{\left\|W_{n}^{j}\left(\theta_{n}^{*}\right)-E_{\theta} W^{j}(\theta)\right\|} \\
\leq & \left\|q_{n}^{\prime}(\beta)-q(\theta, \beta)\right\| \sqrt{\left\|W_{n}^{j}\left(\theta_{n}^{*}\right)\right\|}+\|q(\theta, \beta)\| \sqrt{\left\|W_{n}^{j}\left(\theta_{n}^{*}\right)-E_{\theta} W^{j}(\theta)\right\|}
\end{aligned}
$$

therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sup _{\theta \in \Theta} P_{\theta}\left(\sup _{\beta \in B}\left\|c_{n}(\beta)-c(\theta, \beta)\right\|>\varepsilon\right) \\
\leq & \sup _{\theta \in \Theta} P_{\theta}\left(\sup _{\beta \in B}\left\|q_{n}^{\prime}(\beta)-q(\theta, \beta)\right\| \sqrt{\left\|W_{n}^{j}\left(\theta_{n}^{*}\right)\right\|}>\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right) \\
& +\sup _{\theta \in \Theta} P_{\theta}\left(\sup _{(\theta, \beta) \in \Theta \times B}\|q(\theta, \beta)\| \sqrt{\left\|W_{n}^{j}\left(\theta_{n}^{*}\right)-E_{\theta} W^{j}(\theta)\right\|}>\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Now continuity of $q$ and compactness of $\Theta \times B$ imply that $\sup _{(\theta, \beta) \in \Theta \times B}\|q(\theta, \beta)\|<$ $M$. Furthermore, for $c=\sqrt{K}$ and $K$ as in lemma AL.2, that applies due to assumptions A.7. $a$ and A.6, we have that the right hand side of the previous inequality is bounded by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sup _{\theta \in \Theta} P_{\theta}\left(\sup _{\beta \in B}\left\|q_{n}^{\prime}(\beta)-q(\theta, \beta)\right\|>\frac{\varepsilon}{2 c}\right) \\
& +\sup _{\theta \in \Theta} P_{\theta}\left(\left\|W_{n}^{j}\left(\theta_{n}^{*}\right)-E_{\theta} W^{j}(\theta)\right\|>\sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon}{2 M}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and AL.1.UUC follows due to the hypotheses and lemma AL.2. The joint continuity follows from the hypothesis for $q$ and the the fact that $E_{\theta} W^{j}(\theta)$ is continuous due to A.7. $a$.

Lemma AL. 4 Suppose $W_{n}^{j}$, $\theta_{n}^{*}$ satisfy assumptions A.7, A.6, $\beta_{n}$ and $\gamma(\theta)$ are as in lemma AL.1, $\gamma$ is continuous on $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)$ and that: -FOC $\beta_{n}$ satisfies

$$
\frac{\partial q_{n}^{\prime}\left(\beta_{n}\right)}{\partial \beta} W_{n}^{j}\left(\theta_{n}^{*}\right) q_{n}\left(\beta_{n}\right)=\mathbf{0}
$$

with $P_{\theta}$-probability $1-o\left(n^{-a^{*}}\right)$ that is independent of $\theta$, -HUB for some $\delta, M>0$ independent of $\theta$ such that $\gamma\left(\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)\right) \subset \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\delta}\left(\gamma\left(\theta_{0}\right)\right)$
and for all $i, \sup _{\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)} P_{\theta}\left(\sup _{\beta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\delta}\left(\gamma\left(\theta_{0}\right)\right)}\left\|\frac{\partial^{2} q_{n}^{\prime}\left(\beta_{n}\right)}{\partial \beta \beta \beta_{i}}\right\|>M\right)=o\left(n^{-a^{*}}\right)$,
-RANK for any $\beta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\delta}\left(\gamma\left(\theta_{0}\right)\right)$, $\frac{\partial q_{n}(\beta)}{\partial \beta^{\prime}}$ is of full rank with $P_{\theta}$-probability $1-o\left(n^{-a^{*}}\right)$ that is independent of $\theta$ and,
-TIGHT for some $C>0$ independent of $\theta, \sup _{\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)} P_{\theta}\left(\sqrt{n}\left\|q_{n}(\gamma(\theta))\right\|>C \ln ^{1 / 2} n\right)=$ $o\left(n^{-a^{*}}\right)$, then

$$
\sup _{\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)} P_{\theta}\left(\sqrt{n}\left\|\beta_{n}-\gamma(\theta)\right\|>C^{+} \ln ^{1 / 2} n\right)=o\left(n^{-a^{*}}\right)
$$

for some $C^{+}>0$ independent of $\theta$.
Proof. Due to AL.4.HUB-RANK, A. 7 and the mean value theorem we have that with $P_{\theta}$-probability $1-o\left(n^{-a^{*}}\right)$ that is independent of $\theta$

$$
\frac{\partial q_{n}^{\prime}(b(\theta))}{\partial \beta} W_{n}^{j}\left(\theta_{n}^{*}\right) \sqrt{n} q_{n}(\gamma(\theta))+A_{n} \sqrt{n}\left\|\beta_{n}-\gamma(\theta)\right\|=\mathbf{0}
$$

with

$$
A_{n}=\left[\frac{\partial^{2} q_{n}^{\prime}\left(\beta_{n}^{+}\right)}{\partial \beta \partial \beta_{i}} W_{n}^{j}\left(\theta_{n}^{*}\right) q_{n}\left(\beta_{n}^{+}\right)\right]_{i}+\frac{\partial q_{n}^{\prime}\left(\beta_{n}^{+}\right)}{\partial \beta} W_{n}^{j}\left(\theta_{n}^{*}\right) \frac{\partial q_{n}\left(\beta_{n}^{+}\right)}{\partial \beta^{\prime}}
$$

where $\beta_{n}^{+}$lies between $\beta_{n}$ and $\gamma(\theta)$. We have that due to submultiplicativity

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\frac{\partial q_{n}^{\prime}(\gamma(\theta))}{\partial \beta} W_{n}^{j}\left(\theta_{n}^{*}\right) \sqrt{n} q_{n}(\gamma(\theta))\right\| \\
\leq & \left\|\frac{\partial q_{n}^{\prime}(\gamma(\theta))}{\partial \beta}\right\|\left\|W_{n}^{j}\left(\theta_{n}^{*}\right)\right\| \sqrt{n}\left\|q_{n}(\gamma(\theta))\right\|
\end{aligned}
$$

and due to AL.4. HUB we have that $\frac{\partial q_{n}^{\prime}(\gamma(\theta))}{\partial \beta}$ is asymptotically equi-Lipschitz and therefore there exists some constant $m^{*}>0$, independent of $\theta$ for which

$$
\sup _{\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)} P_{\theta}\left(\sup _{\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)}\left\|\frac{\partial q_{n}^{\prime}(\gamma(\theta))}{\partial \beta}\right\|>m^{*}\right)=o\left(n^{-a^{*}}\right)
$$

furthermore assumptions A.7, A.6 along with lemma AL. 2 imply that there exists $K>0$ independent of $\theta$ for which

$$
\sup _{\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)} P_{\theta}\left(\left\|W_{n}^{j}\left(\theta_{n}^{*}\right)\right\|>K\right)=o\left(n^{-a^{*}}\right)
$$

hence due to AL.4.TIGHT

$$
\sup _{\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)} P_{\theta}\left(\left\|\frac{\partial q_{n}^{\prime}(b(\theta))}{\partial \beta} W_{n}^{j}\left(\theta_{n}^{*}\right) \sqrt{n} q_{n}(\gamma(\theta))\right\|>C^{*} \ln ^{1 / 2} n\right)=o\left(n^{-a^{*}}\right)
$$

for any $C^{*} \geq \frac{C}{m^{*} K}$ which is obviously independent of $\theta$. Furthermore, due to AL.4.HUB and the mean value theorem with $P_{\theta}$-probability $1-o\left(n^{-a^{*}}\right)$ that is independent of $\theta$

$$
q_{n}\left(\beta_{n}^{+}\right)=q_{n}(\gamma(\theta))+\frac{\partial q_{n}^{\prime}\left(\beta_{n}^{++}\right)}{\partial \beta}\left(\beta_{n}^{+}-b(\theta)\right)
$$

where $\beta_{n}^{++}$lies between $\beta_{n}^{+}$and $\gamma(\theta)$. As before due to the definitions of $\beta_{n}^{+}, \beta_{n}^{++}$and due to AL.4.TIGHT

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sup _{\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)} P_{\theta}\left(\left\|\frac{\partial q_{n}^{\prime}\left(\beta_{n}^{++}\right)}{\partial \beta}\right\|>m^{*}\right) & =o\left(n^{-a^{*}}\right), \\
\sup _{\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)} P_{\theta}\left(\left\|\beta_{n}^{+}-\gamma(\theta)\right\|>\varepsilon\right) & =o\left(n^{-a^{*}}\right) \text { for any } \varepsilon>0
\end{aligned}
$$

and due to AL.4.TIGHT

$$
\sup _{\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)} P_{\theta}\left(\left\|q_{n}\left(\beta_{n}^{+}\right)\right\|>\varepsilon\right)=o\left(n^{-a^{*}}\right), \forall \varepsilon>0
$$

which furthermore along with AL.4.HUB and lemma AL. 2 imply that

$$
\sup _{\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)} P_{\theta}\left(\left\|\left[\frac{\partial^{2} q_{n}^{\prime}\left(\beta_{n}^{+}\right)}{\partial \beta \partial \beta_{i}} W_{n}^{j}\left(\theta_{n}^{*}\right) q_{n}\left(\beta_{n}^{+}\right)\right]_{i}\right\|>\varepsilon\right)=o\left(n^{-a^{*}}\right), \forall \varepsilon>0
$$

Also, AL.4.RANK via the Weierstrass theorem which implies that with $P_{\theta^{-}}$ probability $1-o\left(n^{-a^{*}}\right)$ that is independent of $\theta, \inf _{\beta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\delta}(b(\theta))} \operatorname{rank} \frac{\partial q_{n}^{\prime}(\beta)}{\partial \beta}$ is full. A. 7 imply that, with $P_{\theta}$-probability $1-o\left(n^{-a^{*}}\right)$ that is independent of $\theta \sup _{\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)} P_{\theta}\left(\lambda_{n}^{\min }<k\right)=o\left(n^{-a^{*}}\right)$ for some $k>0$ independent of $\theta$, where $\lambda_{n}^{\min }$ denotes the smallest absolute eigenvalue of $W_{n}^{j}\left(\theta_{n}^{*}\right)$. These imply that with $P_{\theta}$-probability $1-o\left(n^{-a^{*}}\right)$ that is independent of $\theta$ $\sup _{\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)} P_{\theta}\left(\mu_{n}^{\min }<k^{*}\right)=o\left(n^{-a^{*}}\right)$ for some $k^{*}>0$ independent of $\theta$, where $\mu_{n}^{\min }$ denotes the smallest absolute eigenvalue of $\left(\frac{\partial q_{n}^{\prime}\left(\beta_{n}^{+}\right)}{\partial \beta} W_{n}^{j}\left(\theta_{n}^{*}\right) \frac{\partial q_{n}\left(\beta_{n}^{+}\right)}{\partial \beta^{\prime}}\right)$. Hence with $P_{\theta}$-probability $1-o\left(n^{-a^{*}}\right)$ that is independent of $\theta, A_{n}^{-1}$ exists and is of the form $\left(\frac{\partial q_{n}^{\prime}\left(\beta_{n}^{+}\right)}{\partial \beta} W_{n}^{j}\left(\theta_{n}^{*}\right) \frac{\partial q_{n}\left(\beta_{n}^{+}\right)}{\partial \beta^{\prime}}\right)^{-1}+B_{n}$, with $\sup _{\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)} P_{\theta}\left(\left\|B_{n}\right\|>\varepsilon\right)=$
$o\left(n^{-a^{*}}\right)$ for any $\varepsilon>0$. Furthermore due to the fact that $\left(\frac{\partial q_{n}^{\prime}\left(\beta_{n}^{+}\right)}{\partial \beta} W_{n}^{j}\left(\theta_{n}^{*}\right) \frac{\partial q_{n}\left(\beta_{n}^{+}\right)}{\partial \beta^{\prime}}\right)^{-1}$ is symmetric we have that

$$
\left\|\left(\frac{\partial q_{n}^{\prime}\left(\beta_{n}^{+}\right)}{\partial \beta} W_{n}^{j}\left(\theta_{n}^{*}\right) \frac{\partial q_{n}\left(\beta_{n}^{+}\right)}{\partial \beta^{\prime}}\right)^{-1}\right\| \leq \frac{r}{\left(\mu_{n}^{\min }\right)^{2}}
$$

where $r$ is the rank of the matrix. Hence for an $\varepsilon>0$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sup _{\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)} P_{\theta}\left(\left\|A_{n}^{-1}\right\|>c\right) \\
\leq & \sup _{\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)} P_{\theta}\left(\frac{r}{\left(\mu_{n}^{\min }\right)^{2}}+\varepsilon>c\right)+o\left(n^{-a^{*}}\right) \\
\leq & \sup _{\theta \in \overline{\overline{\mathcal{O}}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)} P_{\theta}\left(\frac{r}{\left(k^{*}\right)^{2}}+\varepsilon>c\right)+o\left(n^{-a^{*}}\right)=o\left(n^{-a^{*}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for any $c \geq \frac{r}{\left(k^{*}\right)^{2}}+\varepsilon$. These imply that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sup _{\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)} P_{\theta}\left(\sqrt{n}\left\|\beta_{n}-\gamma(\theta)\right\|>C^{+} \ln ^{1 / 2} n\right) \\
\leq & \sup _{\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)} P_{\theta}\left(\left\|A_{n}^{-1}\right\|\left\|\frac{\partial q_{n}^{\prime}(b(\theta))}{\partial \beta} W_{n}^{j}\left(\theta_{n}^{*}\right) \sqrt{n} q_{n}(\gamma(\theta))\right\|>C^{+} \ln ^{1 / 2} n\right)+o\left(n^{-a^{*}}\right) \\
\leq & \sup _{\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)} P_{\theta}\left(\left\|\frac{\partial q_{n}^{\prime}(b(\theta))}{\partial \beta} W_{n}^{j}\left(\theta_{n}^{*}\right) \sqrt{n} q_{n}(\gamma(\theta))\right\|>\frac{C^{+}}{c} \ln ^{1 / 2} n\right)+o\left(n^{-a^{*}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

which is $o\left(n^{-a^{*}}\right)$ for any $C^{+} \geq c C^{*}$.
Lemma AL. 5 Suppose that:
-FOC $\beta_{n}$ satisfies

$$
Q_{n}\left(\beta_{n}\right) W_{n}^{j}\left(\theta_{n}^{*}\right) q_{n}\left(\beta_{n}\right)=\mathbf{0}
$$

with $P_{\theta}$-probability $1-o\left(n^{-a^{*}}\right)$ that is independent of $\theta$,
-UTIGHT There exists a $C^{+}>0$ independent of $\theta$ for which

$$
\sup _{\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)} P_{\theta}\left(\sqrt{n}\left\|\beta_{n}-\gamma(\theta)\right\|>C^{+} \ln ^{1 / 2} n\right)=o\left(n^{-a^{*}}\right)
$$

-UEDGE There exists a random element $M_{n}(\theta)$ with values in an Euclidean space, containing the elements of $\sqrt{n}\left(\theta_{n}^{*}-\theta\right)$, the distribution of which admits a uniform over $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)$ Edgeworth expansion $\Psi_{n, s}(\theta)$. The $i^{\text {th }}$ polynomial, say, $\pi_{i}(z, \theta)$ of $\Psi_{n, s}(\theta)$ is equicontinuous on $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right) \forall z \in \mathbb{R}^{q}$, for
$i=1, \ldots, s-2$, and if $\Sigma(\theta)$ denotes the variance matrix in the density of $\Psi_{n, s}(\theta)$ then it is continuous on $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)$ and positive definite.
-EXPAND The following hold with $P_{\theta}$-probability $1-o\left(n^{-a^{*}}\right)$ that is independent of $\theta$

$$
\begin{gathered}
Q_{n}\left(\beta_{n}\right)=\sum_{i=0}^{s^{*}-1} \frac{1}{n^{i / 2}} \sum_{j=0}^{i} C_{i j_{n}}^{*}(\theta)\left(M_{n}(\theta)^{j}, S_{n}(\theta)^{i-j}\right)+R_{n}^{*}\left(\beta_{n}, \theta\right) \\
W_{n}^{j}\left(\theta_{n}^{*}\right)=\sum_{i=0}^{s^{*}-1} \frac{1}{n^{i / 2}} C_{i_{n}}^{* *}(\theta)\left(M_{n}(\theta)^{i}\right)+R_{n}^{* *}\left(\theta_{n}^{*}, \theta\right) \\
\sqrt{n} q_{n}\left(\beta_{n}\right)=\sum_{i=0}^{s^{*}-1} \frac{1}{n^{i / 2}} \sum_{j=0}^{i+1} C_{i j_{n}}^{\#}(\theta)\left(M_{n}(\theta)^{j}, S_{n}(\theta)^{i+1-j}\right)+R_{n}^{\#}\left(\widetilde{\beta}_{n}, \theta\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

where $S_{n}(\theta)=\sqrt{n}\left(\beta_{n}-\gamma(\theta)\right), C_{i j_{n}}^{*}: \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right) \times \mathbb{R}^{q^{i}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{p}, C_{i_{n}}^{* *}: \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right) \times$ $\mathbb{R}^{q^{i}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{p}$ are i-linear, $C_{i j_{n}}: \Theta \times \mathbb{R}^{q^{i+1}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{p}$ is $(i+1)$-linear $\forall \theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)$, $C_{00_{n}}^{*}, C_{0_{n}}^{* *}, C_{00_{n}}^{\#}(\theta), C_{01_{n}}^{\#}(\theta)$ are independent of $n$ and have full rank $\forall \theta \in$ $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right), C_{i_{n}}^{*}, C_{i_{n}}^{* *}, C_{i j_{n}}^{\#}$ are equicontinuous on $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)$, and

$$
\sup _{\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)} P_{\theta}\left(\left\|R_{n}^{l}\right\|>\gamma_{n}^{l}\right)=o\left(n^{-a^{*}}\right), l=*, * *, \#
$$

for real sequence $\gamma_{n}^{l}=o\left(n^{-a^{*}}\right)$ independent of $\theta$, for , $l=*, * *$, \#.
Then $\sqrt{n}\left(\beta_{n}-\gamma(\theta)\right)$ admits a locally uniform Edgeworth expansion, $\Psi_{n, s}^{*}(\theta)$, over $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)$. The $i^{\text {th }}$ polynomial, say, $\pi_{i}^{*}(z, \theta)$ of the density of $\Psi_{n, s}^{*}(\theta)$ is equicontinuous on $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right) \forall z \in \mathbb{R}^{q}$, for $i=1, \ldots, s-2$, and if $\Sigma^{*}(\theta)$ denotes the variance matrix in the density of $\Psi_{n, s}^{*}(\theta)$ then it is continuous on $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)$ and positive definite.

Proof. Due to conditions UTIGHT and EXPAND condition FOC implies that with $P_{\theta}$-probability $1-o\left(n^{-a^{*}}\right)$ that is independent of $\theta$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left(\sum_{i=0}^{s^{*}-1} \frac{1}{n^{i / 2}} \sum_{j=0}^{i} C_{i j_{n}}^{*}(\theta)\left(M_{n}(\theta)^{j},\left(\sqrt{n}\left(\beta_{n}-\gamma(\theta)\right)\right)^{i-j}\right)+R_{n}^{*}\left(\beta_{n}, \theta\right)\right) \times \\
&\left(\sum_{i=0}^{s^{*}-1} \frac{1}{n^{i / 2}} C_{i_{n}}^{* *}(\theta)\left(M_{n}(\theta)^{i}\right)+R_{n}^{* *}\left(\theta_{n}^{*}, \theta\right)\right) \times \\
&\left(\sum_{i=0}^{s^{*}-1} \frac{1}{n^{i / 2}} \sum_{j=0}^{i+1} C_{i j_{n}}^{\#}(\theta)\left(M_{n}(\theta)^{j},\left(\sqrt{n}\left(\beta_{n}-\gamma(\theta)\right)\right)^{i+1-j}\right)+R_{n}^{\#}\left(\beta_{n}, \theta\right)\right) \\
&=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Gathering terms of the same order we obtain that with $P_{\theta}$-probability 1 -$o\left(n^{-a^{*}}\right)$ that is independent of $\theta$
$\sum_{i=0}^{s^{*}-1} \frac{1}{n^{i / 2}} \sum_{j=0}^{i+1} C_{i j_{n}}(\theta)\left(M_{n}(\theta)^{j},\left(\sqrt{n}\left(\beta_{n}-\gamma(\theta)\right)\right)^{i+1-j}\right)+R_{n}\left(\beta_{n}, \theta_{n}^{*}, \theta\right)=\mathbf{0}$
with $C_{00_{n}}(\theta)=C_{00_{n}}^{*}(\theta) C_{0_{n}}^{* *}(\theta) C_{00_{n}}^{\#}(\theta), C_{01_{n}}(\theta)=C_{00_{n}}^{*}(\theta) C_{00_{n}}^{* *}(\theta) C_{01_{n}}^{\#}(\theta)$ which are obviously independent of $n$ and of full rank,

$$
C_{i j_{n}}(\theta)=\sum_{j_{0}+j_{1}+j_{2}=j, i_{0}+i_{1}+j_{1}=i} C_{i_{0} j_{0}}^{*}(\theta) C_{j_{1 n}}^{* *}(\theta) C_{i_{1} j_{2 n}}^{\#}(\theta)
$$

which is obviously equicontinuous on $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)$. Moreover $R_{n}\left(\beta_{n}, \theta_{n}^{*}, \theta\right)$ is a sum containing terms of the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{n}= & \frac{1}{n^{\left(i_{0}+i_{1}+j_{1}\right) / 2}} C_{i_{0} j_{0 n}}^{*}(\theta)\left(M_{n}(\theta)^{j_{0}},\left(\sqrt{n}\left(\beta_{n}-\gamma(\theta)\right)\right)^{i_{0}-j_{0}}\right) C_{j_{1 n}}^{* *}(\theta)\left(M_{n}(\theta)^{j_{1}}\right) \times \\
& C_{i_{1} j_{2 n}}^{\#}(\theta)\left(M_{n}(\theta)^{j_{2}},\left(\sqrt{n}\left(\beta_{n}-\gamma(\theta)\right)\right)^{i_{1}+1-j_{2}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for which $i_{1}+i_{2}+j_{1}>s^{*}-1$. Due to equicontinuity which along with the compactness of $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)$ imply that the $C$ functions and thereby their products have uniformly bounded coefficients, and the submultiplicativity we have that for some $M>0$ independent of $\theta$

$$
\left\|A_{n}\right\| \leq \frac{M}{n^{\left(i_{0}+i_{1}+j_{1}\right) / 2}}\left\|\sqrt{n}\left(\beta_{n}-\gamma(\theta)\right)\right\|^{i_{0}+i_{1}+1-j_{1}-j_{2}}\left\|M_{n}(\theta)\right\|^{j_{1}+j_{2}}
$$

hence due to UTIGHT, UEDGE which along with lemma AL. 2 of Arvanitis and Demos [1] imply the existence of a constant $C>0$ independent of $\theta$ for which

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sup _{\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)} P_{\theta}\left(\left\|A_{n}\right\|>\gamma_{n}\right) \\
\leq & \sup _{\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)} P_{\theta}\left(\frac{M}{n^{\left(i_{0}+i_{1}+j_{1}\right) / 2}}\left\|\sqrt{n}\left(\beta_{n}-\gamma(\theta)\right)\right\|^{i_{0}+i_{1}+1-j_{1}-j_{2}}\left\|M_{n}(\theta)\right\|^{j_{1}+j_{2}}>\gamma_{n}\right) \\
\leq & o\left(n^{-a^{*}}\right)+\sup _{\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)} P_{\theta}\left(\frac{M\left(C^{+}\right)^{i_{0}+i_{1}+1-j_{1}-j_{2}} C^{j_{1}+j_{2}}}{n^{\left(i_{0}+i_{1}+j_{1}\right) / 2}} \ln ^{i_{1}+i_{2}+1} n^{2}\right. \\
> & \left.\gamma_{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

which is of order $o\left(n^{-a^{*}}\right)$ for $\gamma_{n}=\frac{M\left(C^{+}\right)^{i_{0}+i_{1}+1-j_{1}-j_{2}} C^{j_{1}+j_{2}}}{n^{\left.i_{0}+i_{1}+j_{1}\right) / 2}} \ln \frac{i_{1+i}+i_{2}+1}{2} n=o\left(n^{-a^{*}}\right)$ and is obviously independent of $\theta$. Furthermore $R_{n}\left(\beta_{n}, \theta\right)$ contains terms of the form

$$
B_{n}=\frac{R_{n}^{*}\left(\beta_{n}, \theta\right)}{n^{\left(i_{1}+i_{2}\right) / 2}} C_{i_{1 n}}^{* *}(\theta)\left(M_{n}(\theta)^{i_{1}}\right) C_{i_{2} j_{n}}^{\#}(\theta)\left(M_{n}(\theta)^{j},\left(\sqrt{n}\left(\beta_{n}-\gamma(\theta)\right)\right)^{i_{2}+1-j}\right)
$$

and of the form

$$
\Gamma_{n}=\frac{R_{n}^{*}\left(\beta_{n}, \theta\right)}{n^{i_{1} / 2}} C_{i_{1 n}}^{* *}(\theta)\left(M_{n}(\theta)^{i_{1}}\right) R_{n}^{\#}\left(\beta_{n}, \theta\right)
$$

and of the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{n}= & \frac{1}{n^{\left(i_{1}+i_{2}\right) / 2}} C_{i_{1} j_{0 n}}^{*}(\theta)\left(M_{n}(\theta)^{j_{0}},\left(\sqrt{n}\left(\beta_{n}-\gamma(\theta)\right)\right)^{i_{1}-j_{0}}\right) R_{n}^{* *}\left(\theta_{n}^{*}, \theta\right) \\
& \times C_{i_{2} j_{2 n}}^{\#}(\theta)\left(M_{n}(\theta)^{j_{2}},\left(\sqrt{n}\left(\beta_{n}-\gamma(\theta)\right)\right)^{i_{2}+1-j_{2}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and of the form

$$
E_{n}=\frac{1}{n^{i_{1} / 2}} C_{i_{1} j_{0 n}}^{*}(\theta)\left(M_{n}(\theta)^{j_{0}},\left(\sqrt{n}\left(\beta_{n}-\gamma(\theta)\right)\right)^{i_{1}-j_{0}}\right) R_{n}^{* *}\left(\theta_{n}^{*}, \theta\right) R_{n}^{\#}\left(\beta_{n}, \theta\right)
$$

for any compatible $i_{0}, i_{1}, i_{2}, j, j_{1}, j_{2}$ and finally the term

$$
Z_{n}=R_{n}^{*}\left(\beta_{n}, \theta\right) R_{n}^{* *}\left(\theta_{n}^{*}, \theta\right) R_{n}^{\#}\left(\beta_{n}, \theta\right)
$$

and using the same arguments as before along with condition EXPAND, we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sup _{\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)} P_{\theta}\left(\left\|B_{n}\right\|>\gamma_{n}\right) \\
& \leq \sup _{\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)} P_{\theta}\left(\frac{M}{n^{\left(i_{1}+i_{2}\right) / 2}}\left\|R_{n}^{*}\left(\beta_{n}, \theta\right)\right\|\left\|M_{n}(\theta)\right\|^{i_{1}}\left\|\sqrt{n}\left(\beta_{n}-\gamma(\theta)\right)\right\|^{i_{2}+1-j}>\gamma_{n}\right) \\
& \leq o\left(n^{-a^{*}}\right)+\sup _{\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)} P_{\theta}\left(\frac{M\left(C^{+}\right)^{i_{2}+1-j}}{n^{\left.i_{1}+i_{2}\right) / 2}} C^{i_{1}}\right. \\
&\left.\ln ^{\frac{i_{1}+i_{2}-j+1}{2}} n \gamma_{n}^{*}>\gamma_{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

which is of order $o\left(n^{-a^{*}}\right)$ for $\gamma_{n}=\frac{M\left(C^{+}\right)^{i_{2}+1-j} C^{i_{1}}}{n^{\left.i_{1}+i_{2}\right) / 2}} \ln ^{\frac{i_{1}+i_{2}-j+1}{2}} n \gamma_{n}^{*}=o\left(n^{-a^{*}}\right)$ and is obviously independent of $\theta$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sup _{\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)} P_{\theta}\left(\left\|\Gamma_{n}\right\|>\gamma_{n}\right) \\
\leq & \sup _{\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)} P_{\theta}\left(\frac{M}{n^{i_{1} / 2}}\left\|R_{n}^{*}\left(\beta_{n}, \theta\right)\right\|\left\|M_{n}(\theta)\right\|^{i_{1}}\left\|R_{n}^{\#}\left(\beta_{n}, \theta\right)\right\|>\gamma_{n}\right) \\
\leq & o\left(n^{-a^{*}}\right)+\sup _{\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)} P_{\theta}\left(\frac{M C^{i_{1}}}{n^{i_{1} / 2}} \ln ^{\frac{i_{1}}{2}} n \gamma_{n}^{*} \gamma_{n}^{\#}>\gamma_{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

which is of order $o\left(n^{-a^{*}}\right)$ for $\gamma_{n}=\frac{M C^{i_{1}}}{n^{i_{1} / 2}} \ln { }^{\frac{i_{1}}{2}} n \gamma_{n}^{*} \gamma_{n}^{\#}=o\left(n^{-a^{*}}\right)$ and is obviously independent of $\theta$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sup _{\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)} P_{\theta}\left(\left\|\Delta_{n}\right\|>\gamma_{n}\right) \\
\leq & \sup _{\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)} P_{\theta}\left(\frac{M}{n^{\left(i_{1}+i_{2}\right) / 2}}\left\|\sqrt{n}\left(\beta_{n}-\gamma(\theta)\right)\right\|^{i_{1}+i_{2}+1-j_{0}-j_{2}}\left\|M_{n}(\theta)\right\|^{j_{0}+j_{2}}\left\|R_{n}^{* *}\left(\theta_{n}^{*}, \theta\right)\right\|>\gamma_{n}\right) \\
\leq & o\left(n^{-a^{*}}\right)+\sup _{\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)} P_{\theta}\left(\frac{M C^{j_{0}+j_{2}}\left(C^{+}\right)^{i_{1}+i_{2}+1-j_{0}-j_{2}}}{n^{\left(i_{1}+i_{2}\right) / 2}} \ln ^{\frac{i_{1}+i_{2}+1}{2}} n \gamma_{n}^{* *}>\gamma_{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

which is of order $o\left(n^{-a^{*}}\right)$ for $\gamma_{n}=\frac{M C^{j_{0}+j_{2}}\left(C^{+}\right)^{i_{1}+i_{2}+1-j_{0}-j_{2}}}{n^{\left(i_{1}+i_{2}\right) / 2}} \ln ^{\frac{i_{1}+i_{2}+1}{2}} n \gamma_{n}^{* *}=$ $o\left(n^{-a^{*}}\right)$ and is obviously independent of $\theta$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sup _{\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)} P_{\theta}\left(\left\|E_{n}\right\|>\gamma_{n}\right) \\
\leq & \sup _{\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)} P_{\theta}\left(\frac{M}{n^{i_{1} / 2}}\left\|M_{n}(\theta)\right\|^{j_{0}}\left\|\sqrt{n}\left(\beta_{n}-\gamma(\theta)\right)\right\|^{i_{1}-j_{0}}\left\|R_{n}^{* *}\left(\beta_{n}, \theta\right)\right\|\left\|R_{n}^{\#}\left(\beta_{n}, \theta\right)\right\|>\gamma_{n}\right) \\
\leq & o\left(n^{-a^{*}}\right)+\sup _{\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)} P_{\theta}\left(\frac{M\left(C^{+}\right)^{i_{1}-j_{0}} C^{j_{0}}}{n^{i_{1} / 2}} \ln ^{\frac{i_{1}}{2}} n \gamma_{n}^{* *} \gamma_{n}^{\#}>\gamma_{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

which is of order $o\left(n^{-a^{*}}\right)$ for $\gamma_{n}=\frac{M\left(C^{+}\right)^{i_{1}-j_{0}} C^{j_{0}}}{n^{i_{1} / 2}} \ln ^{\frac{i_{1}}{2}} n \gamma_{n}^{* *} \gamma_{n}^{\#}=o\left(n^{-a^{*}}\right)$ and is obviously independent of $\theta$ and finally

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sup _{\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)} P_{\theta}\left(\left\|Z_{n}\right\|>\gamma_{n}\right) \\
\leq & \sup _{\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)} P_{\theta}\left(\left\|R_{n}^{* *}\left(\beta_{n}, \theta\right)\right\|\left\|R_{n}^{* *}\left(\theta_{n}^{*}, \theta\right)\right\|\left\|R_{n}^{\#}\left(\beta_{n}, \theta\right)\right\|>\gamma_{n}\right) \\
\leq & \sup _{\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)} P_{\theta}\left(\gamma_{n}^{*} \gamma_{n}^{* *} \gamma_{n}^{\#}>\gamma_{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

which is of order $o\left(n^{-a^{*}}\right)$ for $\gamma_{n}=\gamma_{n}^{*} \gamma_{n}^{* *} \gamma_{n}^{\#}=o\left(n^{-a^{*}}\right)$ and is obviously independent of $\theta$. Hence there exists a real sequence $\gamma_{n}=o\left(n^{-a^{*}}\right)$ independent of $\theta$ for which

$$
\sup _{\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)} P_{\theta}\left(\left\|R_{n}\left(\beta_{n}, \theta_{n}^{*}, \theta\right)\right\|>\gamma_{n}\right)=o\left(n^{-a^{*}}\right)
$$

The result follows then from theorem 3.2 of Arvanitis and Demos [1].
Lemma AL. 6 Under assumptions A. 7 and A.8 condition EXPAND hold for $W_{n}^{j}\left(\theta_{n}^{*}\right)$ where $M_{n}(\theta)=\sqrt{n} m_{n}(\theta)$.

Proof. Due to assumption A.7. $b$ for any $\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)$ and any $\theta_{*}$ sufficiently close to $\theta, W_{n}^{j}\left(\theta_{*}\right)$ admits a Taylor expansion of order $s^{*}-1$ around $\theta$ of the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
W_{n}^{j}\left(\theta_{*}\right)= & \sum_{i=0}^{s^{*}-1} \frac{1}{i!} D^{i} W_{n}^{j}(\theta)\left(\left(\theta_{*}-\theta\right)^{i}\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{\left(s^{*}-1\right)!}\left(D^{s^{*}-1} W_{n}^{j}\left(\theta^{+}\right)-D^{s^{*}-1} W_{n}^{j}(\theta)\right)\left(\left(\theta_{*}-\theta\right)^{s^{*}-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\theta^{+}$lies between $\theta_{*}$ and $\theta$. Due to the assumption A. 8 the elements of $\sqrt{n}\left(\theta^{*}-\theta\right)$ are in $M_{n}(\theta)$. Furthermore there exist $K^{i}(\theta) i$-linear functions such that the coefficients of $\sqrt{n}\left(D^{i} W_{n}^{j}(\theta)-K^{i}(\theta)\right)$ are also in $M_{n}(\theta)$. Due to assumption A.7. $b$ the elements of $K^{i}(\theta)$ can be identified as the uniform probability limits of the corresponding elements of $D^{i} W_{n}^{j}(\theta)$ and thereby are continuous on $\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)$. Obviously $K^{0}(\theta)=E_{\theta} W_{n}^{j}(\theta)$ due to A.7. $a$. The previous along with lemma AL. 2 of Arvanitis and Demos [1] imply the existence of a constant $C>0$ independent of $\theta$ for which

$$
\sup _{\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)} P_{\theta}\left(\sqrt{n}\left\|\theta_{n}^{*}-\theta\right\|>C \ln ^{1 / 2} n\right)=o\left(n^{-a^{*}}\right)
$$

hence we obtain that with probability $1-o\left(n^{-a^{*}}\right)$ that is independent of $\theta$

$$
\begin{aligned}
W_{n}^{j}\left(\theta_{n}^{*}\right)= & E_{\theta} W_{n}^{j}(\theta)+\sum_{i=1}^{s^{*}-1} \frac{1}{i!} \frac{1}{n^{i / 2}} K^{i}(\theta)\left(\left(\sqrt{n}\left(\theta_{n}^{*}-\theta\right)\right)^{i}\right) \\
& +\sum_{i=1}^{s^{*}-1} \frac{1}{i!} \frac{1}{n^{i / 2}} \sqrt{n}\left(D^{i-1} W_{n}^{j}(\theta)-K^{i-1}(\theta)\right)\left(\left(\sqrt{n}\left(\theta_{n}^{*}-\theta\right)\right)^{i}\right) \\
& +R_{n}^{* *}\left(\theta_{n}^{*}, \theta\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{n}^{* *}\left(\theta_{n}^{*}, \theta\right)= & \frac{1}{\left(s^{*}-1\right)!} \frac{1}{n^{\frac{s^{*}-1}{2}}}\left(D^{s^{*}-1} W_{n}^{j}\left(\theta^{+}\right)-D^{s^{*}-1} W_{n}^{j}(\theta)\right)\left(\left(\sqrt{n}\left(\theta_{n}^{*}-\theta\right)\right)^{s^{*}-1}\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{\left(s^{*}-1\right)!} \frac{1}{n^{\frac{s^{*}}{2}}} \sqrt{n}\left(D^{s^{*}-1} W_{n}^{j}(\theta)-K^{s^{*}-1}(\theta)\right)\left(\left(\sqrt{n}\left(\theta_{n}^{*}-\theta\right)\right)^{s^{*}-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Furthermore due to submultiplicativity, A.7.b, A. 8 and lemma AL. 2 of Arvanitis and Demos [1] there exist $M, C>0$ and independent of $\theta$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sup _{\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)} P_{\theta}\left(\left\|R_{n}^{* *}\left(\theta_{n}^{*}, \theta\right)\right\|>\gamma_{n}^{* *}\right) \\
& \leq \sup _{\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)} P_{\theta}\left(\| \begin{array}{c}
\left.\frac{1}{\left(s^{*}-1\right)!} \frac{1}{n^{\frac{s^{*}-1}{2}}}\left(D^{s^{*}-1} W_{n}^{j}\left(\theta^{+}\right)-D^{s^{*}-1} W_{n}^{j}(\theta)\right) \times \|>\frac{\gamma_{n}^{* *}}{2}\right) \\
\left(\left(\sqrt{n}\left(\theta_{n}^{*}-\theta\right)\right)^{s^{*}-1}\right)
\end{array}\right. \\
& +\sup _{\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)} P_{\theta}\left(\| \begin{array}{l}
\left.\frac{1}{\left(s^{*}-1\right)!} \frac{1}{n^{\frac{s^{*}}{2}}} \sqrt{n}\left(D^{s^{*}-1} W_{n}^{j}(\theta)-K^{s^{*}-1}(\theta)\right) \times \|>\frac{\gamma_{n}^{* *}}{2}\right) \\
\left(\left(\sqrt{n}\left(\theta_{n}^{*}-\theta\right)\right)^{s^{*}-1}\right)
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

which is less than or equal to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sup _{\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)} P_{\theta}\binom{\frac{1}{n^{s^{*}-1}} \sup _{\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon_{0}}\left(\theta_{0}\right)}\left\|D^{s^{*}} W_{n}^{j}(\theta)\right\|\left\|\theta_{n}^{*}-\theta\right\| \times}{\left\|\sqrt{n}\left(\theta_{n}^{*}-\theta\right)\right\|^{\|^{*}-1}>\frac{\gamma_{n}^{* *}}{2}} \\
& +\sup _{\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)} P_{\theta}\binom{\frac{1}{n^{\frac{s^{*}}{2}}}\left\|\sqrt{n}\left(D^{s^{*}-1} W_{n}^{j}(\theta)-K^{s^{*}-1}(\theta)\right)\right\| \times}{\left\|\sqrt{n}\left(\theta_{n}^{*}-\theta\right)\right\|^{s^{*}-1}>\frac{\gamma_{n}^{* *}}{2}} \\
& \leq o\left(n^{-a^{*}}\right)+\sup _{\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)} P_{\theta}\left(\frac{1}{\left(s^{*}-1\right)!} \frac{M}{n^{\frac{s^{*}}{2}}} \ln ^{s^{*} / 2} n>\frac{\gamma_{n}^{* *}}{2}\right) \\
& +\sup _{\theta \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{0}\right)} P_{\theta}\left(\frac{1}{\left(s^{*}-1\right)!} \frac{C^{s^{*}}}{n^{\frac{s^{*}}{2}}} \ln ^{s^{*} / 2} n>\frac{\gamma_{n}^{* *}}{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

which is of order $o\left(n^{-a^{*}}\right)$ when $\gamma_{n}^{* *}=\frac{1}{\left(s^{*}-1\right)!} \frac{2 \max \left(M, C^{s^{*}}\right)}{n^{\frac{s^{*}}{2}}} \ln ^{s^{*} / 2} n=o\left(n^{-a^{*}}\right)$ independent of $\theta$. Hence due to the rank condition on $E_{\theta} W_{n}^{j}(\theta)$ from assumption A. 7 , $a$ the result follows.

Lemma AL. 7 For real valued functions $f_{n}$, $f$ defined on $\Theta^{\prime} \supseteq \Theta$, suppose that: $\sup _{\theta \in \Theta}\left|f_{n}-f\right|=o(1)$, and $\sup _{\theta \in \Theta}\left\|D^{2} f_{n}\right\|, \sup _{\theta \in \Theta}\left\|D^{2} f\right\|<M$. Then $\sup _{\theta \in \Theta}\left\|D f_{n}-D f\right\|=o(1)$.

Proof. For any with $\theta_{m} \neq \theta$ and $D_{i}=\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_{i}}$ for any $i$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sup _{\theta \in \Theta}\left|D_{i} f_{n}(\theta)-D_{i} f(\theta)\right| \\
\leq & \sup _{\theta \in \Theta}\left|D_{i} f_{n}(\theta)-\frac{f_{n}\left(\theta_{m}\right)-f_{n}(\theta)}{\left|\theta_{i_{m}}-\theta\right|}\right|+\sup _{\theta \in \Theta}\left|\frac{f\left(\theta_{m}\right)-f(\theta)}{\left|\theta_{i_{m}}-\theta\right|}-D_{i} f(\theta)\right| \\
& +\sup _{\theta \in \Theta}\left|\frac{f_{n}\left(\theta_{m}\right)-f\left(\theta_{m}\right)}{\left|\theta_{i_{m}}-\theta\right|}\right|+\sup _{\theta \in \Theta}\left|\frac{f_{n}(\theta)-f(\theta)}{\left|\theta_{i_{m}}-\theta\right|}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

which is less than or equal

$$
2 M\left\|\theta_{m}-\theta\right\|+\frac{1}{c_{m}}\left(\sup _{\theta \in \Theta}\left|f_{n}\left(\theta_{m}\right)-f\left(\theta_{m}\right)\right|+\sup _{\theta \in \Theta}\left|f_{n}(\theta)-f(\theta)\right|\right)
$$

where $c_{m}=\min _{\theta \in \Theta}\left|\theta_{i_{m}}-\theta\right|$ which exists due to the compactness of $\Theta$ and continuity and it is different from zero due to the definition of $\theta_{m}$, which converges as $n \rightarrow \infty$ to

$$
2 M\left\|\theta_{m}-\theta\right\|
$$

letting then $\theta_{m} \rightarrow \theta$ we obtain the needed result.

Lemma AL. 8 Suppose that $\sqrt{n} m_{n}(\theta)$ admits a locally uniform Edgeworth expansion, say $\Psi_{n, s}(\theta)$, of order $s$ over $\Theta^{\prime}$, the polynomials of the density of which, say, $\pi_{i}(z, \theta)$ of $\Psi_{n, s}(\theta)$ are equicontinuous on $\Theta \forall z \in \mathbb{R}^{q}$, for $i=1, \ldots, s-1$, and $V(\theta)$ denotes the variance matrix in the density of $\Psi_{n, s}(\theta)$ then it is continuous on $\Theta$ and positive definite. Let the random element $\sqrt{n} \gamma_{n}(\theta)$ be comprised by elements of $\sqrt{n} m_{n}(\theta)$ such that its support is bounded by $\sqrt{n} \Gamma$ for $\Gamma$ a bounded set of some Euclidean space. Then $\sqrt{n} m_{n}^{*}(\theta)=\sqrt{n}\binom{m_{n}(\theta)}{\gamma_{n}(\theta)-E \gamma_{n}(\theta)}$ admits a locally uniform Edgeworth expansion of order $s-1$ over $\overline{\mathcal{O}}\left(\theta_{0}, \delta\right)$, the polynomials of the density of which are equicontinuous, as well.

Proof. As $\sqrt{n} \gamma_{n}(\theta)$ is part of $\sqrt{n} m_{n}(\theta)$ (a projection) we have that $\sqrt{n} \gamma_{n}(\theta)$ admits a locally uniform Edgeworth expansion of order $s$ over $\Theta^{\prime}$ (see lemma AL. 1 in Arvanitis and Demos [1]), the polynomials of the density of which are equicontinuous on $\Theta$. Due to lemma 3.1, above, we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sup _{\theta \in \Theta^{\prime}}\left|\sqrt{n} E_{\theta} \gamma_{n}-\int_{\mathbb{R}} z\left(1+\sum_{i=1}^{s-2} \frac{\pi_{i}(z, \theta)}{n^{i / 2}}\right) \varphi_{V(\theta)}(z) d z\right| \\
= & \sup _{\theta \in \Theta^{\prime}}\left|\sqrt{n} E_{\theta} \gamma_{n}-\sum_{i=1}^{s-2} \frac{\mathcal{I}_{V}\left(k_{i}(z, \theta)\right)}{n^{i / 2}}\right|=o\left(n^{-\frac{s-2}{2}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\left(1+\sum_{i=1}^{s-2} \frac{\pi_{i}(z, \theta)}{n^{i / 2}}\right) \varphi_{V(\theta)}(z)$ denotes the density of the Edgeworth distribution truncated up to the $O\left(n^{-\frac{s-2}{2}}\right)$ order, i.e. of the (obviously) valid locally uniform Edgeworth expansion of order $s-1, k_{i}(z, \theta)=z \pi_{i}(z, \theta)$ and $\mathcal{I}_{V}\left(k_{i}(z, \theta)\right)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} k_{i}(z, \theta) \varphi_{V(\theta)}(z) d z$. Using the fact that the $\pi_{i}$ 's are equicontinuous on $\Theta$ it is easy to see that so do the $\mathcal{I}_{V}\left(k_{i}(z, \theta)\right)$. It is also obvious that the random vector $\sqrt{n} l_{n}(\theta)=\sqrt{n}\binom{m_{n}(\theta)}{\gamma_{n}(\theta)}$ admits a locally uniform Edgeworth expansion of order $s-1$ over $\Theta^{\prime}$, the polynomials of the density (say $\pi_{i}^{*}$ ) of which are equicontinuous on $\Theta$. Consider the vector
$v_{n}=\binom{0_{\operatorname{dim}\left(m_{n}\right)}}{\sum_{i=1}^{s-2} \frac{I_{V}\left(k_{i}(z, \theta)\right)}{n^{i} / 2}}$. For an arbitrary Borel set $A$ due to the previous

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P\left(\sqrt{n} m_{n}^{*}(\theta) \in A\right) \\
= & P\left(\sqrt{n} l_{n}(\theta) \in A+v_{n}+o\left(n^{-\frac{s-2}{2}}\right)\right) \\
= & \int_{A \cap \mathcal{H}_{n}^{c}(C)}\left(1+\sum_{i=1}^{s-3} \frac{\pi_{i}^{*}\left(z+\binom{0_{\operatorname{dim}\left(m_{n}\right)}^{s-2} \mathcal{I}_{V}\left(k_{i}(z, \theta)\right)}{n_{i=1}^{i / 2}}+o\left(n^{-\frac{s-2}{2}}\right), \theta\right)}{n^{i / 2}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\times \varphi_{V(\theta)}\left(z+\binom{0_{\operatorname{dim}\left(m_{n}\right)}}{\sum_{i=1}^{s-2} \frac{\mathcal{I}_{V}\left(k_{i}(z, \theta)\right)}{n^{i / 2}}}+o\left(n^{-\frac{s-2}{2}}\right)\right) d z+o\left(n^{-\frac{s-2}{2}}\right)
$$

where $\mathcal{H}_{n}^{c}(C)$ analogously to the relevant term in the proof of theorem 3.1 in Arvanitis Demos [1]. Expanding and holding terms of relevant order, by noticing that the $\pi_{i}$ are polynomial in $z$, and that the $o\left(n^{-\frac{s-2}{2}}\right)$ are independent of $\theta$ we obtain the needed result.

The second auxiliary result is the only one employing the assumption of normality.

Lemma AL. 9 Suppose that $\sqrt{n}\left(\varphi_{n}-b(\theta)\right)$ and $\sqrt{n}\left(\theta_{n}-\theta\right)$ admit locally uniform Edgeworth expansions of order $s$ over $\Theta^{\prime}$ the polynomials of the densities of which, say, $\pi_{i}(z, \theta)$ are equicontinuous on $\Theta^{\prime} \forall z \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$, for $i=$ $1, \ldots, s-1$, and the distribution of $\varepsilon_{0}$ is standard normal. Then $E\left(\varphi_{n}(\theta)\right)$ and $E\left(\theta_{n}(\theta)\right)$ are two times differentiable on $\Theta^{\prime}$ and for any $\theta \in \Theta^{\prime}$ and any sequence $\theta_{n} \neq \theta$ with values in $\Theta^{\prime}$ such that $\left\|\theta_{n}-\theta\right\| \leq C \frac{\ln ^{1 / 2} n}{n^{1 / 2}}$ for $C>0, i=1,2\left\|\frac{\partial M_{i_{n}}\left(\theta_{n}\right)}{\partial \theta^{\prime}}-K_{i}(\theta)\right\|=o(1)$ where $M_{1_{n}}(\theta)=E\left(\varphi_{n}(\theta)\right)$, $M_{2_{n}}(\theta)=E\left(\theta_{n}(\theta)\right), K_{1}=\frac{\partial b}{\partial \theta^{\prime}}, K_{2}=\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}$.

Proof. Consider first the case of $E\left(\varphi_{n}(\theta)\right)$. Let $\sigma\left(\varepsilon_{0}\right)$ the smallest sub $\sigma$-algebra of $\mathcal{F}$ w.r.t. the $\varepsilon_{0}, \varepsilon_{-1}, \ldots$ are measurable. We have that

$$
E\left(\varphi_{n}(\theta)\right)=E\left(E\left(\varphi_{n}(\theta) / \sigma\left(\varepsilon_{0}\right)\right)\right)
$$

Now notice that

$$
E\left(\varphi_{n}(\theta) / \sigma\left(\varepsilon_{0}\right)\right)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \varphi_{n} \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2 \pi)^{n} \prod_{j=1}^{n} h_{i}(\theta)}} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{y_{j}^{2}(\theta)}{h_{j}(\theta)}\right) d z
$$

and the differentiability result would follow via the dominated convergence theorem if

$$
E\left(\sup _{\theta \in \Theta^{\prime}}\left\|s_{n}(\theta)\right\|\right) \text { and } E\left(\sup _{\theta \in \Theta^{\prime}}\left\|H_{n}(\theta)\right\|\right)
$$

are finite where $s_{n}(\theta) \doteqdot \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(\varepsilon_{j}^{2}-1\right) \frac{1}{h_{j}(\theta)} \frac{\partial h_{j}(\theta)}{\partial \theta}, H_{n}(\theta) \doteqdot \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(\varepsilon_{j}^{2}-1\right) \frac{1}{h_{j}(\theta)} \frac{\partial^{2} h_{j}(\theta)}{\partial \theta \partial \theta^{\prime}}-$ $\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(2 \varepsilon_{j}^{2}-1\right) \frac{1}{h_{j}^{2}(\theta)} \frac{\partial h_{j}(\theta)}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial h_{j}(\theta)}{\partial \theta^{\prime}}, \bar{s}_{n}(\theta)=\frac{1}{n} s_{n}(\theta), \bar{H}_{n}(\theta)=\frac{1}{n} H_{n}(\theta)$. First notice that $h_{j}(\theta) \geq \underline{\eta}_{\omega}\left(1-\bar{\eta}_{\alpha}-\bar{\eta}_{\beta}\right) \doteqdot c_{*}$ and due to the fact that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial h_{j}(\theta)}{\partial \theta_{1}}=\left(1-\theta_{2}-\theta_{3}\right)+\left(\theta_{2} \varepsilon_{j-1}^{2}+\theta_{3}\right) \frac{\partial h_{j-1}(\theta)}{\partial \theta_{1}} \\
& \frac{\partial h_{j}(\theta)}{\partial \theta_{2}}=-\theta_{1}+\varepsilon_{j-1}^{2} h_{j-1}(\theta)+\left(\theta_{2} \varepsilon_{j-1}^{2}+\theta_{3}\right) \frac{\partial h_{j-1}(\theta)}{\partial \theta_{2}} \\
& \frac{\partial h_{j}(\theta)}{\partial \theta_{3}}=-\theta_{1}+h_{j-1}(\theta)+\left(\theta_{2} \varepsilon_{j-1}^{2}+\theta_{3}\right) \frac{\partial h_{j-1}(\theta)}{\partial \theta_{3}}
\end{aligned}
$$

hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E\left(\sup _{\theta \in \Theta^{\prime}}\left\|\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(\varepsilon_{j}^{2}-1\right) \frac{1}{h_{j}(\theta)} \frac{\partial h_{j}(\theta)}{\partial \theta}\right\|\right) \\
\leq & \frac{1}{c_{*}} \sum_{j=1}^{n} E^{1 / 2}\left|\varepsilon_{j}^{2}-1\right|^{2} E^{1 / 2} \sup _{\theta \in \Theta^{\prime}}\left\|\frac{\partial h_{j}(\theta)}{\partial \theta}\right\|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

and for $\theta^{*}=\left(\bar{\eta}_{\omega}^{*}, \underline{\eta}_{\alpha}^{*}, \underline{\eta}_{\beta}^{*}\right)^{\prime}$ it is easy to see that

$$
E \sup _{\theta \in \Theta^{\prime}}\left\|\frac{\partial h_{j}(\theta)}{\partial \theta}\right\|^{2} \leq E\left\|\frac{\partial h_{j}\left(\theta^{*}\right)}{\partial \theta}\right\|^{2}<+\infty
$$

Furthermore, since

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial^{2} h_{j}(\theta)}{\partial \theta_{1}^{2}}=0 \\
& \frac{\partial^{2} h_{j}(\theta)}{\partial \theta_{2}^{2}}=-\theta_{1}+\varepsilon_{j-1}^{2} \frac{\partial h_{j}(\theta)}{\partial \theta_{2}}+\varepsilon_{j-1}^{2} \frac{\partial h_{j-1}(\theta)}{\partial \theta_{2}}+\left(\theta_{2} \varepsilon_{j-1}^{2}+\theta_{3}\right) \frac{\partial h_{j-1}(\theta)}{\partial \theta_{2}^{2}} \\
& \frac{\partial^{2} h_{j}(\theta)}{\partial \theta_{3}^{2}}=-\theta_{1}+2 \frac{\partial h_{j-1}(\theta)}{\partial \theta_{3}}+\left(\theta_{2} \varepsilon_{j-1}^{2}+\theta_{3}\right) \frac{\partial^{2} h_{j-1}(\theta)}{\partial \theta_{3}^{2}} \\
& \frac{\partial^{2} h_{j}(\theta)}{\partial \theta_{1} \partial \theta_{2}}=-1+\varepsilon_{j-1}^{2} \frac{\partial h_{j-1}(\theta)}{\partial \theta_{1}}+\left(\theta_{2} \varepsilon_{j-1}^{2}+\theta_{3}\right) \frac{\partial h_{j-1}(\theta)}{\partial \theta_{1} \partial \theta_{2}} \\
& \frac{\partial^{2} h_{j}(\theta)}{\partial \theta_{1} \partial \theta_{3}}=-1+\frac{\partial h_{j-1}(\theta)}{\partial \theta_{1}}+\left(\theta_{2} \varepsilon_{j-1}^{2}+\theta_{3}\right) \frac{\partial^{2} h_{j}(\theta)}{\partial \theta_{1} \partial \theta_{3}}
\end{aligned}
$$

we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E\left(\sup _{\theta \in \Theta^{\prime}}\left\|\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(\varepsilon_{j}^{2}-1\right) \frac{1}{h_{j}(\theta)} \frac{\partial^{2} h_{j}(\theta)}{\partial \theta \partial \theta^{\prime}}\right\|\right) \\
\leq & \frac{1}{c_{*}} \sum_{j=1}^{n} E^{1 / 2}\left|\varepsilon_{j}^{2}-1\right|^{2} E^{1 / 2}\left\|\frac{\partial^{2} h_{j}\left(\theta^{*}\right)}{\partial \theta \partial \theta^{\prime}}\right\|^{2}<+\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E\left(\sup _{\theta \in \Theta^{\prime}}\left\|\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(2 \varepsilon_{j}^{2}-1\right) \frac{1}{h_{j}^{2}(\theta)} \frac{\partial h_{j}(\theta)}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial h_{j}(\theta)}{\partial \theta^{\prime}}\right\|\right) \\
\leq & \frac{1}{c_{*}^{2}} \sum_{j=1}^{n} E^{1 / 2}\left|2 \varepsilon_{j}^{2}-1\right|^{2} E^{1 / 2}\left\|\frac{\partial h_{j}\left(\theta^{*}\right)}{\partial \theta}\right\|^{4}<+\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

Next notice that for any $\theta$ in $\Theta^{\prime}$ any $i=1, \ldots, 3$, and any sequence $\theta_{n}$ as described above we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\frac{\partial E\left(\varphi_{n}\left(\theta_{n}\right)\right)}{\partial \theta_{i}}-\frac{\partial b(\theta)}{\partial \theta_{i}}\right\| \\
\leq & 2 \sup _{\theta^{*} \in \Theta^{\prime}}\left\|\frac{\partial^{2} E\left(\varphi_{n}\left(\theta^{*}\right)\right)}{\partial \theta_{i} \partial \theta^{\prime}}\right\|\left\|\theta_{n}-\theta\right\|+\left\|\frac{E\left(\varphi_{n}\left(\theta_{n}\right)\right)-E\left(\varphi_{n}(\theta)\right)}{\theta_{i_{n}}-\theta_{i}}-\frac{\partial b(\theta)}{\partial \theta_{i}}\right\|
\end{aligned}
$$

Then lemma 2.4, above, implies that due to the behavior of $\theta_{n}$ the last term on the right hand side of the last display is $o(1)$. Hence the result would follow if $\sup _{\theta^{*} \in \Theta^{\prime \prime}}\left\|\frac{\partial^{2} E\left(\varphi_{n}\left(\theta^{*}\right)\right)}{\partial \theta_{i} \partial^{\prime}}\right\|=o\left(\frac{\sqrt{n}}{\ln ^{1 / 2} n}\right)$. The previous along with an application of the Cauchy-Schwarz and the triangle inequalities imply that for any $i$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sup _{\theta \in \Theta^{\prime}}\left\|\frac{\partial^{2} E\left(\varphi_{n}(\theta)\right)}{\partial \theta_{i} \partial \theta^{\prime}}\right\| \\
\leq & \sup _{\theta \in \Theta^{\prime}} E^{1 / 2}\left\|\varphi_{n}(\theta)-\theta\right\|^{2} \\
& \times\left(\sup _{\theta \in \Theta^{\prime}} E^{1 / 2}\left\|s_{n}(\theta) s_{n}^{\prime}(\theta)-E H_{n}(\theta)\right\|^{2}+\sup _{\theta \in \Theta^{\prime}} E^{1 / 2}\left\|H_{n}(\theta)-E H_{n}(\theta)\right\|^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Furthermore, due to assumed Edgeworth approximation for $\sqrt{n}\left(\varphi_{n}(\theta)-\theta\right)$, and the fact that $s \geq 5$ lemma 3.1 along with theorem 3.1 in Arvanitis Demos [1] imply that $\sup _{\theta \in \Theta^{\prime}} E^{1 / 2}\left\|\left(\varphi_{n}-b(\theta)\right)\right\|^{2}=O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$. Hence the result would follow if

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sup _{\theta \in \Theta^{\prime}} E\left\|n \bar{s}_{n}(\theta) \bar{s}_{n}^{\prime}(\theta)+E \bar{H}_{n}(\theta)\right\|^{2} & =o\left(\frac{n}{\ln n}\right) \\
\sup _{\theta \in \Theta^{\prime}} E\left\|\bar{H}_{n}(\theta)-E \bar{H}_{n}(\theta)\right\|^{2} & =o\left(\frac{n}{\ln n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

From the proof of Lemma A. 1 of Corradi and Inglesias [2], we can prove that $\sqrt{n}\left(S_{n}^{*}(\theta)-E\left(S_{n}^{*}(\theta)\right)\right)$, where $S_{n}^{*}$ contains stacked the elements of $\bar{s}_{n}$ and $\bar{H}_{n}$ admits a locally uniform Edgeworth expansion of order $s-3$ over $\Theta^{\prime}$ by establishing the conditions A2.M-WD and A3.EL-CPD in Arvanitis Demos [1] through the provision of bounds being independent of $\theta$ using the compactness of $\Theta^{\prime}$ and condition A3.NDD in Arvanitis Demos [1] using the result of the referenced proof, the $P$ almost everywhere continuity of the elements of $S_{n}^{*}(\theta)$ on $\Theta^{\prime}$, the continuity of determinant and the compactness of $\Theta^{\prime}$. Then remark R. 3 implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sup _{\theta \in \Theta^{\prime}} E\left\|n \bar{s}_{n}(\theta) \bar{s}_{n}^{\prime}(\theta)+E \bar{H}_{n}(\theta)\right\|^{2} & =O(1) \\
\sup _{\theta \in \Theta^{\prime}} E\left\|\bar{H}_{n}(\theta)-E \bar{H}_{n}(\theta)\right\|^{2} & =O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

which establish the needed bounds. The result about $E\left(\theta_{n}(\theta)\right)$ is derived analogously.

